

#### E-ISSN: 2320-7078 P-ISSN: 2349-6800 www.entomoljournal.com JEZS 2024; 12(3): 07-15

© 2024 JEZS Received: 13-01-2024 Accepted: 14-02-2024

#### Dr. Heba Youssif El-Sayed Ibrahim

Plant Protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Dokki, Giza, Egypt

### Adel Hassan Abdel-Salam

Economic Entomology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Egypt

#### Mona Hatem Arafat Zalama

Economic Entomology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Egypt

Corresponding Author: Dr. Heba Youssif El-Sayed Ibrahim Plant Protection Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center (ARC), Dokki, Giza, Egypt

# Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies

Available online at www.entomoljournal.com



### Entomopathogenic microbes naturally infecting whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) on vegetable plants

## Dr. Heba Youssif El-Sayed Ibrahim, Adel Hassan Abdel-Salam and Mona Hatem Arafat Zalama

### DOI: https://doi.org/10.22271/j.ento.2024.v12.i3a.9312

### Abstract

Twelve fungal species naturally infected *B. tabaci* in tomato, cucumber and cabbage fields were recorded from October (2021) to September (2022). Seven genera showed safety to plants. Five of them showed good epizootic and ability to establish themselves in the environment. Theses genera were: *Beauveria bassiana*, *Metarhizium anisopliae*, *Cladosporium cladosporioides*, *Trichoderma longibrachiatum* and *Verticillium lecanii*. Also, two species of *Bacillus* were recorded. They were identified according to 16S rRNA gene sequencing as *Bacillus thuringiensis* and *B. velezensis*. They were bioassayed for contact toxicity to both adults and the 3<sup>rd</sup> instar nymphs, and both fungal and bacterial isolates showed significant virulence qualifying them to be used as eco-friendly microbial control agents.

Keywords: Bemisia tabaci, fungi, bacteria, identification, and contact toxicity

### 1. Introduction

Bemisia tabaci (Gennadius) (Homoptera: Sternorrhyncha: Aleyrodidae) is a major serious pest infesting over 600 plants belong to ornamentals and horticulture crops, in both open fields and greenhouses all over the world <sup>[1]</sup>. It causes authentic damage and economic losses to the susceptible crops <sup>[2]</sup>. Host plant damage may be happened directly by adults and nymphs feeding on phloem sap <sup>[3]</sup>, and indirectly by honeydew secretion which promotes the growth of sooty mold that impairs photosynthesis<sup>[4]</sup> and adversely affecting on crop productivity<sup>[5, 6]</sup>. In addition, B. tabaci is a transporter of more than 300 plant virus species [7, 8] including Begomovirus, Crinivirus, Carlavirus, Ipomovirus, and Torradovirus<sup>[9-12]</sup>. In some crops, the plant viral infections lead to plant growth suppression or total crop loss <sup>[13]</sup>. Excessive use of chemical insecticides adversely affected on humans, non-target organisms and environment. Also, it develop the insect resistance and subsequently population outbreaks <sup>[14, 15]</sup>. Therefore, searching for secure alternatives is a must. One of the most significant alternatives is using microbial control agents to control the insect pests. The aim of the present study was, therefore, to survey, identify and test the pathogenicity of the microbes naturally associated with both adults and nymphs of B. tabaci in order to employ and integrate them in programs of B. tabaci control.

### 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1 Insect Collection

*B. tabaci* adults and nymphs were collected from tomato, *Solanum lycopersicum*; cabbage, *Brassica oleracea* var. capitate, and cucumber, *Cucumis sativus* fields in Dakahlia governorate, Egypt from October 2021 to September 2022. Alive whiteflies without and/or with any infection symptoms were collected then transferred to the laboratory and were quickly surface sterilized in 70% ethanol, in 5% sodium hypochlorite solution followed by three washes in sterile water <sup>[16]</sup>.

### 2.2. Isolation and Purification of the microbes

Each *B. tabaci* cadaver was crushed and homogenized in 1ml of sterilized water, then one drop of this homogenate was incubated in Autoclaved Sabouraud dextrose yeast extract agar

(SADYA) media [40 g/l dextrose, 10 g/l yeast extract, 10 g/l peptone, and 20 g/l agar] at  $25\pm 2$  °C and  $70\pm5\%$  RH until further growth. Then, cultures were purified using single spore or hyphal tip technique for fungi and using streak plate method for bacteria.

### **2.3. Identification of the surveyed microbes 2.3.1. Identification of the fungal isolates**

The fungal isolates were identified based on the morphotaxonomic characteristics of spores according to the keys of Humber (1997) <sup>[17]</sup>.

### **2.3.2. Identification of the bacterial isolates**

**2.3.2.1. DNA isolation and Polymerase Chain Reaction** (**PCR**): Genomic DNA was extracted from the tested bacteria using QIAamp DNA Micro Kit (QIAGEN, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The PCR amplification was performed in a total volume of 50  $\mu$ l, containing 25  $\mu$ l Master Mix (sigma), 3  $\mu$ l of each primer (10 pcmol/  $\mu$ l) (Table 1), and 3  $\mu$ l template DNA (10ng/  $\mu$ l) and 16  $\mu$ l dH<sub>2</sub>O. PCR amplification was conducted in a Perkin-Elmer/GeneAmp® PCR System 9700 (PE Applied Biosystems) programmed to perform an initial denaturation cycle for 5 min at 94 °C, then fulfilled 40 cycles each cycle consisted of a denaturation step at 94 °C for 30 sec., an annealing step at 45 °C for 30 sec. and an elongation step at 72 °C for 1 min. In the final cycle, the primer extension segment was extended to 7 min at 72 °C.

**Table 1:** Primers employed in the current study.

Primer Code	Sequence	Product Size
27F	5'- AGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTAG -3'	1500 hm
1492R	5'- GGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT -3'	1300 bp

The amplification products were subjected to electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide (0.5ug/ml) in 1X TBE buffer at 95 volts. A 100bp DNA ladder was considered as a molecular size standard. PCR products were visualized by UV light and photographed using a Gel Documentation System (BIO-RAD 2000). Purification of PCR products were conducted by using EZ-10 spin column PCR products purification. PCR reaction mixture was transferred to 1.5 ml microfuge tube and three volumes of binding buffer 1 was added. Then, the mixture solution was transferred to the EZ-10 column and let it stand for 2 minutes at room temperature then centrifuge. 750 ul of wash solution was added to the column and centrifuge at 10.000 rpm for two minutes, repeated washing, and complete centrifuge at 10.000 rpm for an additional minute to get rid of any residual wash solution. The column was transferred into a clean 1.5 ml microfuge tube and adds 50 ul of elution buffer, incubated for 2 minutes at room temperature and when store purified DNA at -20 °C.

### 2.3.2.2. 16s sequencing analysis

Automatic sequencer ABI PRISM 3730XL Analyzer using Big Dye TM Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kits was used for sequencing the product PCR following the manufacturer's protocols. Single-pass sequencing was performed on each template using Rbcl Forward primer. Purification of the fluorescent-labeled fragments from unincorporated terminators was conducted with an ethanol precipitation protocol. The samples were homogenated in distilled water and analyzed by electrophoresis in an ABI 3730xl sequencer (Microgen Company). BLAST program was used for analyzing the sequences <sup>[18]</sup> and Align Sequences Nucleotide BLAST for sequences alignment.

### 2.4. Rearing of whitefly B. tabaci

A pure strain of *B. tabaci* biotype B was acquired from tomato plants under glass greenhouse at Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura Univ. The whitefly strain was kept on tomato seedlings planted in small pots inside plastic cages under laboratorial conditions of  $27\pm2$  °C,  $75\pm5$  RH and photoperiod of 14:10 Light: Dark.

### 2.5. Preparation of microbial suspensions

Isolates from SADYA slant was transferred into a fresh SADY broth and incubated at 27 °C for complete growth/sporulation. Spores from 14 days old fungal cultures were harvested and suspended in sterilized 0.05% aquoes Tween 80, then, filtered through nylon mesh to get rid of mycelia. Also, bacterial cells were harvested from 10 days old culture broth. Then the bacterial cells and/or spores were counted using a haemocytometer Neeubauer. Series of concentrations of each tested isolate were prepared.

### 2.5. Virulence of the tested microbes against *B. tabaci* 2.5.1. Contact toxicity on the third instar stage nymphs

Each 50 Adults of *B. tabaci* were released on a tomato seedling with 5 leaves, planted in small cubs kept in plastic cage with fine netting side panels for ventilation and allowed to oviposit for 48 hrs on leaves. Then, adults were removed, and whitefly eggs were allowed to develop into the third instar stage nymphs for assessment. Thirty individuals of the third instar stage nymphs were counted and marked with a water proof colored pen under dissecting microscope to represent a replicate. Three replicates in addition to control (sprayed only with water and 0.05% Tween 80) were used per treatment.

### **2.5.2.** Contact toxicity on adults

A sterilized tomato seedling with 3 leaves was sprayed with the tested concentration and kept in a cage. Then, after an hour of treatment, thirty adult individuals of *B. tabaci* were released inside the cage. Each cage represented a replicate, where each concentration represented by three ones, in addition to three replicates sprayed only with water and 0.05% Tween 80 to serve as control. The dead individuals were recorded daily.

Contact toxicity experiments for both nymphs and adults were conducted in stable conditions of  $27\pm2$  °C,  $70\pm5$  RH and 14:10 L: D. Mortality percentage was recorded daily along the seven days of the experiment.

### 2.6. Statistical analysis

The average of mortality percentages of *B. tabaci* adults and /or the third instar stage nymphs were calculated and corrected using Abbott's formula (1925) <sup>[19]</sup>. The LC<sub>50</sub>, LC<sub>90</sub> and slope values were estimated <sup>[20]</sup>. Also, the efficiency of different tested entomopathogens was measured and compared with the most effective one <sup>[21]</sup>.

### 3. Results and Discussions

### 3.1 Identification of the isolated microbes associated with *B. tabaci*

### 3.1.1 Identification of the fungal associates

The present study recorded 12 fungal species infected B.

*tabaci* in tomato, cucumber and cabbage fields from October (2021) to September (2022) as shown in Table 2. They belong to phylum Ascomycota (11 hyphomycetes\* and one Mucoromycota (Mucorales\*\*). Seven genera showed safety to plants. Five genera of them showed good epizootic and

proved their capacity to establish their selves in the environment. This was seemed clear through their coexistence with the pest in different weather conditions almost year-round. Theses genera were: *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae*, *C. cladosporioides*, *T. longibrachiatum* and *V. lecanii*.

Table 2: Fungal species naturally infecting B. t	<i>tabaci</i> in tomato,	cucumber and cabbage fields from	October (2021) to September
	(2022) in Daka	hlia governorate	

Fungi	Safety to plants	Host-Plant	Period
		Tomato	All year-round except January and August
B. bassiana (Ascomycota).	Safe	Cucumber	March, April, May and October
		Cabbage	February, March, April and May
		Tomato	February, March, April, May, October and November
M. anisopliae (Ascomycota).	Safe	Cucumber	February, March, April and November
		Cabbage	February, March, April and October
		Tomato	All year-round except July and August
C. cladosporioides (Ascomycota).	Safe	Cucumber	All year-round except August
		Cabbage	March, April, May, June, October and November
		Tomato	March, April, May, June and November
T. longibrachiatum (Ascomycota).	Safe	Cucumber	February, March, April, May, June, November
		Cabbage	March, April, May and November
		Tomato	March, April, May and November
V. lecanii (Ascomycota).	Safe	Cucumber	March, April, May, November and December
		Cabbage	February, March, April, May, June and November
	Safe	Tomato	February and March
Enice courses (Accomputed)		Cucumber	March, April and May
<i>Epicoccum</i> sp. (Ascomycola).		Cabbage	February, March and November
		Tomato	February, March, April
Paecilomyces fumosoroseus	Safe	Cucumber	February, March
		Cabbage	January, February, March and December
		Tomato	May, June, July, August and September
Aspergillus niger (Ascomycota).	Not safe	Cucumber	June, July, August and September
		Cabbage	June, July and August
		Tomato	April, May, June, July, August and September
A. Flavus (Ascomycota).	Not safe	Cucumber	May, June, July, August and September
		Cabbage	May, June and July
		Tomato	All year-round except January and July
Fusarium oxysporum (Ascomycota).	Not safe	Cucumber	All year-round except January and February
		Cabbage	
		Tomato	March, April, May, October and November
F. solani (Ascomycota).	Not safe	Cucumber	March, April, May, September and October
		Cabbage	April
		Tomato	June and July
Mucor sp. (Mucoromycota, Mucorales).	Not safe	Cucumber	July and October
		Cabbage	

### B. bassiana

It formed wide white circular colonies with smooth cottony surface texture turned to powdery type with age. It showed a flask-like shape in a conidiogenous cell, a branched conidiophore. Hyaline, smooth walled conidia were spherical to sub-globose in shape, Fig. 1. Earlier, the nature infection of *B. tabaci* by this fungus was recorded <sup>[22-25]</sup>.

### M. anisopliae

It formed circular colonies and a milky mycelium with cottony texture. Hyaline cylindrical conidia, with round edges and olive-green color with an average diameter of 4.5  $\mu$ m, Fig. 2. In previous studies, it was already recorded as natural microbial agent infecting whiteflies in tomato fields <sup>[26]</sup>.

### C. cladosporioides

Colonies are mostly olivaceous-green to olivaceous- brown. Branched conidiophores bearing many conidial chains arising below septa without swellings or sympodial elongations. Ellipsoidal, ovoid smooth-walled conidia are mostly olivaceous-brown, Fig. 3. The natural infection of *Cladosporium* spp of *B. tabaci* nymphs was 87.8% in Dakahlia Governorate <sup>[27]</sup>. Also, It has been reported to infect many species of insects naturally such as aphids and whiteflies <sup>[28]</sup>, *Aphis craccivora* <sup>[29]</sup> and *Brevicoryne brassicae* <sup>[30]</sup>.

### T. longibrachiatum

Hyaline branched phialides are flask –shape, appeared solitary or in clusters, and are attached to hyaline conidiophores which branched constructing a pyramidal arrangement. Conidia are round or ellipsoidal, smooth walled or rough, green colored with an average diameter of 3  $\mu$ m. It produce characteristic greenish-yellow pigments in the reverse of cultures, Fig. 4. It was recorded for the first time in all over the world as an entomopathogenic agent when isolated from *A. craccivora* <sup>[29]</sup>.

### V. lecanii

Colonies are velvet-like. Hyaline conidiophores are erect,

septate, simples or branched. phialides bears clusters of cylindrical, ellipsoid spores, Fig. 5. The nature infection of

this fungus was recorded in Aleyrodidae sp. [31].



(A)

(B)

Fig 1: (A) Culture of *B. bassiana* on SADYA media, (B) Light micrograph showing branched conidiophores bearing numerous spherical to subglobose conidia.



(A)

(B)

Fig 2: (A) Culture of *M. anisopliae* on SADYA media, (B) Light micrograph showing branched conidiophores bearing numerous spherical to sub-globose conidia.



Fig 3: (A) Culture of *C. cladosporioides* on SADYA media, (B) Light micrograph showing branched conidiophores bearing chains of ellipsoidal conidia.



(A)

(B)

Fig 4: (A) Culture of *T. longibrachiatum* on SADYA media, (B) Light micrograph showing flask –shape branched phialides. Conidia are round or ellipsoidal.



(A)

(B)

Fig 5: (A) Culture of V. lecanii on SADYA media, (B) Light micrograph showing phialides bears numerous cylindrical, ellipsoid spores.

### **3.1.2 Dentification of the bacterial isolates**

16Sr DNA BLASTx analyses revealed two bacterial isolates associated with *B. tabaci*. These bacterial isolates were identified as *B. thuringiensis* strain FDAARGOS\_793 and *B. velezensis* strain IICE-1. The phylogenetic tree of the bacterial isolates were constructed as shown in Fig. 6&7. *Bacillus* species are one of the most important biocontrol agents for controlling plant pathogens <sup>[32]</sup>; act as insect pathogens <sup>[33, 34]</sup>, and biofertilizers or biostimulators <sup>[35]</sup>.

*B. thuringiensis* is a rode Gram-positive, endospore-forming bacterium. It produces indigenous crystals during sporulation.

These crystals are mostly composed of one or more proteins (Cry and Cyt toxins) <sup>[36]</sup>, which is specific for insect orders causing gut paralysis in some insect orders, leading to feeding-inability, starvation then death <sup>[37]</sup>.

*B. velezensis* is a widely distributed Gram-positive aerobic, endospore-forming bacterium. It showed plant growth promotion and suppression of many pathogenic fungi growth, including *A. flavus* <sup>[38]</sup>, *F. oxysporum* <sup>[39]</sup>, bacteria, and nematodes by biosynthesis of secondary metabolites, such as lipopeptide antibiotics and  $\beta$ -1,3-1,4-glucanase <sup>[40, 41]</sup>.



Fig 6: Phylogenetic tree of B. thuringiensis isolate



Fig 7: Phylogenetic tree of *B. velezensis* isolate

### 3.2 Virulence of the tested microbes against B. tabaci

Potentiality of the five best fungal isolates: *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae*, *C. cladosporioides*, *T. longibrachiatum* and *V. lecanii* in addition to the two bacterial isolates, *B. thuringiensis* and *B. velezensis* were evaluated against both of the  $3^{rd}$  instar stage nymphs and adults. Data in Table 3 & 4 show that mortality percent of *B. tabaci* is directly proportional to the time elapsed after treatments with different tested microbes. In spite of delayed effect of the tested fungi, they revealed significant potentiality against both nymphs and adults after the  $3^{rd}$  day of treatment. This slow action was due to the nature mechanism of fungi depending on the time intervals for tissues invasion, depletion of nutrient resources and accumulation of toxins inside their cadavers. In contrast, bacterial isolates showed fast effect after 24 hrs reaching the top at the 5<sup>th</sup> day post treatment.

Regarding to the  $3^{rd}$  instar nymphs, *C. cladosporioides* was the most potential agent followed by *B. bassiana*, *V. lecanii*, *T. longibrachiatum*, *M. anisopliae*, then *B. thuringiensis* and *B. velezensis* with LC<sub>50</sub>s: 15.2x 10<sup>4</sup>, 20.3x10<sup>4</sup>, 2.1 x10<sup>5</sup>, 4.2x10<sup>5</sup>, 5.3x 10<sup>5</sup>, 6.1x 10<sup>5</sup>, 15x 10<sup>6</sup> and toxicity indexes at LC<sub>50</sub> of 100.00, 74.83, 72.774, 36.12, 28.90, 24.913 and 10.465%, respectively.

As for the adults, *M. anisopliae* showed the most efficacy for suppressing adults followed by *C. cladosporioides*, *B. thuringiensis*, *B. bassiana*, *T. longibrachiatum*, *B. velezensis*, then *V. lecanii* with  $LC_{50}$ s:  $1.9 \times 10^5$ ,  $2.1 \times 10^5$ ,  $2.5 \times 10^5$ ,  $3.2 \times 10^5$ ,  $3.6 \times 10^5$ ,  $4.6 \times 10^5$ ,  $7.6 \times 10^5$  and toxicity indexes at  $LC_{50}$  of 100.00, 91.149, 78.66, 60.193, 53.315, 42.457 and 25.547%, respectively. From the above, it is clear that there were clear difference of adults and the  $3^{rd}$  instar nymphs

susceptibility to the tested microbial isolates. This may be due to variation of different stage insect host habitat (settled or mobile) when feeding and its relation to the exposure rate to the tested microbes. Also, it may be due to different body topology of the insect stages and its relation of the attachment and consolidation of the pathogen within the host.

Present results agreed with previous studies proved efficacy of *B. bassiana* which caused 91.8% mortality on whiteflies 4<sup>th</sup> instar nymphs within 8 days on vegetable crops <sup>[42]</sup>. Also, it was demonstrated that *B. bassiana* reduced whitefly eggs by 65.3% and nymphs by 88.82% on cotton and tomato fields <sup>[43]</sup>. In another study, application of *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* with synthetic insecticides goal mortality rate of whiteflies ranging from 62 to 84% <sup>[44]</sup>.

Also, the pathogenicity of the entomopathogenic fungal isolates of *M. anisopliae* and *B. bassiana* was evaluated against adults and  $2^{nd}$  instar nymphs of whitefly, *Trialeurodes vaporariorum*. The tested isolates caused mortality of 45–93% in adults and 24–89% in the nymphs. *M. anisopliae* strains showed higher virulence to both developmental stages as compared to *B. bassiana* strains <sup>[45]</sup>.

The efficacy of *V. lecanii* was previously proved Reducing whitefly population on tomato, cucumber and melon <sup>[46]</sup>. Also, previous study reported a high mortality, 71% of *B. tabaci* on eggplant leaves under laboratory conditions when treated with at  $1 \times 10^8$  conidia/mL of *C. cladosporioides* <sup>[47]</sup>.

Also, high Virulence of *B. thuringiensis* isolate was reported against first- instar nymphs and newly emerged adults of *B. tabaci* with LC<sub>50</sub> values 17.7 and 18.21 CFU/ml respectively <sup>[48]</sup>.

Table 3: Efficiency of the tested entomopathogenic microbes against 3rd instar nymphs of B. Tabaci under laboratory conditions of 27±2 °C
70±5 RH and 14:10 L: D.

Treatment	Conc.	Mortality % at indicated day after treatment.			LC <sub>50</sub> (cell/ml) and confidence limits		LC <sub>90</sub> (cell/ml) and confidence limits		Slope ±	X <sup>2</sup>	Toxicity			
	(cen/nn)	3 day	5 day	7day	at 95%		at 95%		SE		muex			
	1x10 <sup>5</sup>	26.67	36.67	43.33	20.3x10 <sup>4</sup>		$20.2 \times 10^4$		6 Av 107		104 (4-107 0.514			
P bassiana	$1x10^{6}$	36.67	50.00	66.67			0.4X10		0.514	0 520	74.92			
D. Dassiana	$1x10^{7}$	46.67	63.33	76.67	10 0v 103	(7.0 - 104)	14.2. 106	$21.2 \times 10^8$	± 0.122	0.338	/4.65			
	$1x10^{8}$	53.33	73.33	93.33	10.0X 10	07.9X 10	14.2X 10 <sup>-</sup>	21.2X 10 <sup>4</sup>	0.122					
	1x10 <sup>5</sup>	13.33	26.67	36.67	5.3x10 <sup>5</sup>		29.5x 10 <sup>7</sup>		0.466 ±	0 1 4 4	28.00			
M. anisoplias	$1x10^{6}$	20.00	36.67	56.67										
m. anisopiue	$1x10^{7}$	30.00	46.67	70.00	$6.7 \times 10^{4}$	$17.4 \pm 105$	$0.6 \times 10^{7}$	25.1109 0.114	0.114	0.144	26.90			
	$1x10^{8}$	46.67	66.67	86.67	0.7 X10	17.4 X10°	9.0 X10 <sup>-</sup>	55.1 XIU						
	1x10 <sup>5</sup>	30.00	40.00	46.67	15.0 104		52.4 106							
С.	$1x10^{6}$	36.67	53.33	66.67	15.2 X10*		52.4X 10°		$0.51 \pm$	0 1 4 2	100.00			
cladosporioides	1x10 <sup>7</sup>	40.00	63.33	80.00	$10.5 \times 10^3$	54.2 - 104	11.0 - 106	6 10 5 108	0.123	0.143	100.00			
	1x10 <sup>8</sup>	53.33	76.67	93.33	10.5 X10 <sup>5</sup>	34.2 X 10 <sup>-</sup>	11.8 X10°	18.3 X10°						

	1x10 <sup>5</sup>	23.33	33.33	36.67	1 2	4.2x10 <sup>5</sup>		v 10 <sup>8</sup>			
Т.	$1x10^{6}$	33.33	46.67	60.00	4.2			5.0110		0 209	36.12
longibrachiatum	$1x10^{7}$	33.33	60.00	73.33	3.6 x10 <sup>4</sup> 15.3	15.3x 10 <sup>5</sup>	5 x10 <sup>7</sup>	$5 \times 10^7$ 10 6 $\times 10^{10}$	0.113	0.308	50.12
	$1x10^{8}$	40.00	70.00	83.33				10.6 X 10 <sup>1</sup>			
	$1x10^{5}$	20.00	30.00	43.33	2.1 x10 <sup>5</sup>		8.9x 10 <sup>7</sup>		$0.488 \pm 0.018$		
V. lecanii	$1x10^{6}$	26.67	46.67	63.33						0.010	72 774
	$1x10^{7}$	36.67	63.33	80.00	$1.6v \cdot 10^4$	7.3 x 10 <sup>5</sup>	17.8x 10 <sup>6</sup>	4.6x 10 <sup>9</sup>	0.12	0.016	12.114
	$1x10^{8}$	43.33	70.00	90.00	1.0X 10 <sup>-</sup>						
	$1x10^{5}$	30.00	36.67	36.67	6.1x 10 <sup>5</sup>		2.9x 10 <sup>9</sup>		0.348 ±	0 277	24.013
P thuringiansis	$1x10^{6}$	46.67	56.67	56.67							
D. inuringiensis	$1x10^{7}$	56.67	66.67	66.67	2 2- 104	2.9x 10 <sup>6</sup>	15.7x 10 <sup>7</sup>	$2.2 \times 10^{14}$	0.108	0.277	24.915
	$1x10^{8}$	70.00	76.67	76.67	2.3X 10			2.2X 10 <sup>-1</sup>			
B. velezensis	$1x10^{5}$	23.33	26.67	30.00	1.5 1.06		10.6 - 108				
	$1x10^{6}$	40.00	50.00	50.00	1.57	10	19.0 X10°		0.41 ±	0 124	10.465
	$1x10^{7}$	56.67	63.33	63.33	2.3x 10 <sup>5</sup>	5.7x 10 <sup>6</sup>	16.2x 10 <sup>7</sup>	22 Gr 1011	0.11	0.134	10.403
	$1x10^{8}$	63.33	76.67	76.67				55.0X 10 <sup>-5</sup>			

 Table 4: Efficiency of the tested entomopathogenic microbes against adults of *B. Tabaci* under laboratory conditions of 27±2 C°, 70±5 RH and 14:10 L: D.

Treatment	Conc. ( cell/ml)	Mortality aft	% at indi er treatme 5 day	cated day nt. 7day	LC50 (ce confidence	ell/ml) and limits at 95%	LC <sub>90</sub> (cell/ml) and confidence limits at 95%		Slope ± SE	X <sup>2</sup>	Toxicity index
	1x10 <sup>5</sup>	23.33	33.33	40.00							
	$1x10^{6}$	36.67	50.00	60.00	3.2	x 10 <sup>5</sup>	$1.1x \ 10^8$		0.505 +		
B. bassiana	1x10 <sup>7</sup>	46.67	60.00	76.67	2.0.104	10.0 105	2.2.107	4.0 100	0.12	0.016	60.193
	1x10 <sup>8</sup>	56.67	76.67	90.00	3.9x 10 <sup>4</sup>	$10.3 \times 10^{-5}$	$2.3 \times 10^7$	4.9x 10 <sup>3</sup>			
	$1x10^{5}$	20.00	36.67	46.67	1.0	105	3.8x 10 <sup>8</sup>				100.00
M. aniaonliao	1x10 <sup>6</sup>	23.33	46.67	60.00	1.9	x 10 <sup>5</sup>			$0.389 \pm$	0.002	
M. anisopiiae	$1x10^{7}$	36.67	56.67	73.33	$4.2 \times 10^3$	8 8 <sub>w</sub> 105	$4.2 \times 10^{7}$	4.8x 1011	0.113	0.093	100.00
	$1x10^{8}$	53.33	70.00	86.67	4.2X 10°	0.0X 10 <sup>+</sup>	4.2X 10	4.8X 10			
	1x10 <sup>5</sup>	26.67	36.67	46.67	2.1	x 10 <sup>5</sup>	8.2x 10 <sup>7</sup>		0.495 ±	0.646	91 149
C. cladosporioides	$1x10^{6}$	33.33	46.67	60.00	2.1	x 10					
	$1x10^{7}$	40.00	60.00	76.67	$1.8 \times 10^4$	7.3x 10 <sup>5</sup>	1.7x 10 <sup>7</sup>	3.7x 10 <sup>9</sup>	0.12	0.040	<i>J</i> 1.14 <i>J</i>
	$1x10^{8}$	56.67	80.00	93.33	1.0x 10						
	1x10 <sup>5</sup>	26.67	36.67	40.00	3.6x 10 <sup>5</sup>		20.2	$20.2 \times 10^7$		0.086	53 315
Т.	$1x10^{6}$	30.00	50.00	56.67			20.27 10		$0.467 \pm$		
longibrachiatum	$1x10^{7}$	40.00	63.33	76.67	$3.7 \times 10^4$	1.2x 10 <sup>6</sup>	33.5x 10 <sup>6</sup>	$20.1 \times 10^9$ 0.1	0.115	0.000	55.515
	$1x10^{8}$	50.00	73.33	86.67	5.7X 10						
	$1x10^{5}$	13.33	30.00	33.33	7.6x 10 <sup>5</sup>		4.4x 10 <sup>8</sup>		0.464 ±	0.028	
V lecanii	$1x10^{6}$	26.67	43.33	53.33							25 547
v. iecumi	$1x10^{7}$	36.67	53.33	70.00	$1.2 \times 10^5$	$2.5 \times 10^6$	$6.2 \times 10^7$	64.8x 10 <sup>9</sup> 0.113	0.113	0.028	23.347
	$1x10^{8}$	43.33	63.33	83.33	1.2X 10	2.5X 10	0.2X 10				
	$1x10^{5}$	36.67	43.33	43.33	2.5	x 10 <sup>5</sup>	5.9x 10 <sup>8</sup>		0.379 ±		78.66
R thuringionsis	$1x10^{6}$	50.00	60.00	60.00	2.5	x 10				0.026	
D. maningiensis	$1x10^{7}$	60.00	73.33	73.33	$5.8 \times 10^3$	$11 \ 1x \ 10^5$	$5.6 \times 10^7$	$1.7 \times 10^{12}$	0.112	0.020	/0.00
	$1x10^{8}$	80.00	83.33	83.33	5.04 10	11.17 10	5.07 10	1.7% 10			
	$1x10^{5}$	26.67	36.67	36.67	$4.6 \times 10^5$		$5.1 \times 10^8$				
B velezensis	$1x10^{6}$	46.67	60.00	60.00	4.0		5.17		0.421 ± 0.112	0 33	42,457
D. Verezensis	$1x10^{7}$	53.33	70.00	70.00	3.6x 10 <sup>4</sup>	$1.7 \times 10^{6}$	$6x \ 10^7$	$2.5 \times 10^{11}$		5.55	42.437
	$1x10^{8}$	80.00	83.33	83.33		1./A 10	04 10	2.3X 10 <sup>11</sup>			

### 4. Conclusion

The present study focused on the importance of identification of the microbes naturally associated with *B. tabaci* to enable us employing them as safe alternatives of traditional chemical insecticides for controlling *B. tabaci*. Our results indicated that there were variety of microbes infecting *B. tabaci* in different vegetable fields and greenhouses. They are belonging to fungi and bacteria and when evaluated for pathogenicity, they showed high contact toxicity to both of adults and the  $3^{rd}$  instar nymphs. This encouraging further studies for developing their application in wide scales as eco-friendly insecticides.

### 3. References

1. Oliveira MRV, Henneberry TJ, Anderson P. History, current status and collaborative research projects for

Bemisia tabaci. Crop Protection. 2001;20:709-723.

- Sani I, Ismail SI, Abdullah S, Jalinas J, Jamian S, Saad NA. Review of the biology and control of whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae), with special reference to biological control using entomopathogenic fungi. Insects. 2020;11:619.
- Gangwar RK, Charu G. Lifecycle, distribution, nature of damage and economic importance of whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius). Acta Scientiarum Agriculturae. 2018;2:36–39.
- 4. Stansly PA, Natwick ET. Integrated systems for managing *Bemisia tabaci* in protected on open field agriculture. In: Stansly PA, Naranjo SE (eds) *Bemisia*: bionomics and management of a global pest. Springer, Amsterdam; c2010. p. 467–489.

5. Perring TM, Stansly PA, Liu TX, Smith HA, Andreason

SA. Whiteflies: Biology, ecology, and management. In Sustainable Management of Arthropod Pests of Tomato, 1st ed.; Wakil W., Brust, G.E., Perring, T.M., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA; c2018. p. 73–110.

- 6. Solanki RD, Jha S. Population dynamics and biology of whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci* Gennadius) on sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2018;7:3055–3058.
- 7. Navas-Castillo J, Fiallo-Olive E, Sanchez-Campos S. Emerging virus diseases transmitted by whiteflies. Annual Review of Phytopathology. 2011;49:219–248. doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-072910-095235.
- Gilbertson RL, Batuman O, Webster CG, Adkins S. Role of the insect supervectors *Bemisia tabaci* and *Frankliniella occidentalis* in the emergence and global spread of plant viruses. Annual Review of Virology. 2015;2:67–93. Doi:10.1146/annurev-virology-031413-085410.
- Rodríguez E, Téllez M, Janssen D. Whitefly control strategies against tomato leaf curl New Delhi virus in greenhouse zucchini. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2019;16:2673.
- 10. Jones DR. Plant viruses transmitted by whiteflies. European Journal of Plant Pathology. 2003;109:195–219.
- 11. Götz M, Winter S. Diversity of *Bemisia tabaci* in Thailand and Vietnam and indications of species replacement. Journal of Asia-Pacific Entomology. 2016;19:537–543.
- 12. Lu S, Chen M, Li J, Shi Y, Gu Q, Yan F. Changes in *Bemisia tabaci* feeding behaviors caused directly and indirectly by cucurbit chlorotic yellows virus. Virology Journal. 2019;16:1–14.
- Lapidot M, Legg JP, Wintermantel WM, Polston JE. Management of whitefly-transmitted viruses in open-field production systems. Advances in Virus Research. Elsevier; c2014. p. 147–206. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-801246-8.00003-2.
- 14. Palumbo JC, Horowitz AR, Prabhaker N. Insecticidal control and resistance management for *Bemisia tabaci*. *Crop Protection*. 2001;20:739-765.
- 15. Irfan Ullah, M, Zahid SMA, Arshad M, Iftikhar Y, Khalid S, Ochoa, *et al.* Toxicity of Botanicals and Conventional Insecticides to *Aenasius bambawalei* Hayat, an Endoparasitoid of Cotton Mealybug, *Phenacoccus solenopsis* Tinsley. Southwestern Entomologist. 2017;42(4):941–952.
- Claire V, Lawrence AL, Jacques F. Pathogenicity of *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* (Deuteromycotina: Hyphomycetes) against *Bemisia argentifolii* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) with a description of a bioassay method. Journal of Economic Entomology. 1997;90(3):765–772.
- Humber RA. Fungi: Identification. In Manual of Techniques in Insect Pathology (L.A. Lacey, ed.). Academic Press: London. 1997:153-185.
- 18. BLAST program (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST).
- Abbott WS. A method for computing the effectiveness an insecticide. Journal of Economic Entomology. 1925;18:265–267.
- 20. Finney DJ. Probit analysis. A Statistical Treatment of the Sigmoid Response Curve. 7th Ed., Cambridge University Press, England. 1971.
- 21. Sun YP. Toxicity Index-An improved method of

comparing the relative toxicity of insecticides. Journal of Economic Entomology. 1950;43(1):45–53.

- 22. Ben-Ze'ev IS, Gindin G, Barash I, Raccah B. Identification of entomopathogenic fungi attacking *Bemisia tabaci* in Israel. *Bemisia Newsletter* (Special Issue). 1994;8:36.
- 23. Mascarin GM, Kobori NN Quintela ED, Delalibera I. The virulence of entomopathogenic fungi against *Bemisia tabaci* biotype B (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) and their conidial production using solid substrate fermentation. Biological Control. 2013;66:209–218.
- 24. Bugti GA, Bin W, Na C, Feng LH. Pathogenicity of *Beauveria bassiana* strain 202 against sap-sucking insect pests. Plant Protection Science. 2018;54:111–117.
- 25. Sain SK, Monga D, Kumar R, Nagrale DT, Kranthi S, Kranthi KR. Comparative effectiveness of bioassay methods in identifying the most virulent entomopathogenic fungal strains to control *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae). Egyptian Journal of Biological Pest Control. 2019;29:1–11.
- Borisade OA. Rearing tomato whitefly and field evaluation of modified and unmodified conidia of *Beauveria bassiana*, *Isaria farinosa*, *Metarhizium anisopliae* and low rates of chlorpyrifos under tropical conditions. African Crop Science Journal. 2015;23:177– 195.
- 27. Abdel-Baky NF. *Cladosporium spp.* An entomopathogenic fungus for controlling whiteflies and aphids in Egypt. Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences. 2000;3:1662–1667.
- 28. Abd-Allah RRH. Studies on some bio-agents against certain sucking insect pests. Master of Science thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, Zagazig University; c2004.
- 29. Ibrahim HYE. Action of Some Entomopathogenic fungi on Cowpea aphid, *Aphis craccivora* Koch. PhD Thesis. Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Egypt; c2012.
- Ibrahim HYE. Biodiversity of entomopathogenic fungi naturally infecting cabbage aphid, *Brevicoryne brassicae*.
   L. Journal of Plant Protection and Pathology, Mansoura University. 2017;8(12):631–634.
- 31. Mor H, Gindin G, Ben-Ze'ev IS, Raccah B, Geschtovt NU, Ajtkozhina N, Barash I. Diversity among isolates of *Verticillium lecanii* as expressed by DNA polymorphism and virulence towards *Bemisia tabaci*. Phytoparasitica. 1996;24:111-118.
- 32. Mnif I, Ghribi D. Potential of bacterial derived biopesticides in pest management. *Crop Protection*. 2015;77:52–64. doi: 10.1016/j.cropro.2015.07.017.
- 33. De Barjac H. Insect pathogens in the genus Bacillus. In: R.C.W. Berkeley and M. Goodfellow (eds) The Aerobic Endospore Forming Bacteria: Classification and Identification. Academic Press, New York; c1981. p. 241-250.
- 34. Krieg A. The genus *Bacillus*: Insect pathogens. In: MP Starr, H Stolp, HG Trüper, A Balows, HG Schlegel (eds) *The Prokaryotes*, Springer-Verlag New York. 1981;2:1743-1755.
- 35. Borriss R. Use of Plant-Associated Bacillus Strains as Biofertilizers and Biocontrol Agents in Agriculture. In: Maheshwari DK (ed) Bacteria in Agrobiology: Plant Growth Responses. Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany; c2011. p. 41–76.
- 36. Bravo A, Gill SS, Soberon M. Mode of action of *Bacillus thuringiensis* Cry and Cyt toxins and their potential for

insect control. *Toxicon*. 2007;49(4):423–435. DOI: 10.1016/j.toxicon.2006.11.022.

- 37. Facknath S. Control of *Plutella xylostella* and *Crocidolomia binotalis* through the combined effects of *Bacillus thuringiensis* and botanical pesticides. Food and Agricultural Research Council. 1999;99:87–92.
- Chen L, Shi H, Heng J, Wang D, Bian K. Antimicrobial, plant growth-promoting and genomic properties of the peanut endophyte *Bacillus velezensis* LDO2. Microbiological Research. 2019;218:41–48.
- 39. Cao Y, Pi H, Chandrangsu P, Li Y, Wang Y, Zhou H. Antagonism of two plant-growth promoting *Bacillus velezensis* isolates against *Ralstonia solanacearum* and *Fusarium oxysporum*. Scientific Reports. 2018;8:4360.
- Kim SY, Song H, Sang MK, Weon HY, Song J. The complete genome sequence of *Bacillus velezensis* strain GH1-13 reveals agriculturally beneficial properties and a unique plasmid. Journal of Biotechnology. 2017;259:221–227.
- 41. Fan B, Wang C, Song X, Ding X, Wu L, Wu H, Gao X, Borriss R. *Bacillus velezensis* FZB42 in 2018: The grampositive model strain for plant growth promotion and biocontrol. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2018;9:2491.
- 42. Olleka A, Mandour N, Ren S. Effect of host plant on susceptibility of whitefly *Bemisia tabaci* (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) to the entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* (Ascomycota: Hypocreales). Biocontrol Science and Technology. 2009;19:717–727.
- 43. Zafar J, Freed S, Khan BA, Farooq M. Effectiveness of *Beauveria bassiana* against cotton whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Aleyrodidae: Homoptera) on different host plants. Pakistan Journal of Zoology. 2016;48:91–99.
- 44. Iqbal M, Arif, MJ, Saeed S, Javed N. Biorational approach for management of whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae), on cotton crop. International Journal of Tropical Insect Science. 2021;42:1461–1469.
- 45. Paradza VM, Khamis FM, Yusuf AA, Subramanian S, Akutse KS. Virulence and horizontal transmission of *Metarhizium anisopliae* by the adults of the greenhouse whitefly *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) and the efficacy of oil formulations against its nymphs. *Heliyon*. 2021;7:e08277.
- 46. Koike M, Higashio T, Komori A, Akiyama K, Kishimoto N, Masuda E, Sasaki M, Yoshida S, Tani M, Kuramoti K, *et al. Verticillium lecanii (Lecanicillium spp.)* as epiphyte and its application to biological control of arthropod pests and diseases. IOBC/Wprs Bulletin. 2004;27:41–44.
- Islam T, Gupta DR, Surovy MZ, Nur Uddin Mahmud NU, Mazlan N, Tofazzal Islam T. Identification and application of a fungal biocontrol agent *Cladosporium cladosporioides* against *Bemisia tabaci*. Biotechnology & Biotechnological Equipment. 2019;33(1):1698–1705.
- 48. Imam II and Nawar MA. Role of *Bacillus thuringiensis* isolate as biological control agent against whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.) And the side effect on the predator, *Euseius scutalis*. Menoufia Journal of Plant Protection. 2020;5:41–50.