



E-ISSN: 2320-7078

P-ISSN: 2349-6800

[www.entomoljournal.com](http://www.entomoljournal.com)

JEZS 2021; 9(2): 1099-1106

© 2021 JEZS

Received: 13-01-2021

Accepted: 15-02-2021

**V Vijayanand**

Assistant Professor, Resident  
Veterinary Services Section,  
Madras Veterinary College,  
Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

**M Balagangatharathilagar**

Assistant Professor, Department  
of Veterinary Clinical Medicine,  
Madras Veterinary College,  
Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

**P Tensingh Gnanaraj**

Registrar, Tamilnadu Veterinary  
and Animal Sciences University,  
Madhavaram Milk Colony,  
Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

**S Vairamuthu**

Professor and Head, Centralized  
Clinical Laboratory, Madras  
Veterinary College, Chennai,  
Tamil Nadu, India

## On field diagnostic indicators of sub clinical pregnancy toxemia in goats and its therapeutic evaluation

**V Vijayanand, M Balagangatharathilagar, P Tensingh Gnanaraj and S Vairamuthu**

**Abstract**

Periparturient mortality in goats have a great economic impact on the livelihood of marginal farmer. Pregnancy toxemia in small ruminants occur as a result of negative energy balance consequent to enhanced requirement for glucose by the developing foetuses in the last trimester (last 6 to 4 weeks) of gestation. Among the does treated for various medical conditions at Veterinary University Peripheral Hospital, Madhavaram Milk Colony, Chennai – 51 during the period October 2016 – September 2018, 72 does in their last six weeks of gestation carrying twins / triplets and presented with the history of off feed were subjected to determination of blood beta hydroxybutyric acid (BHBA) level by means of a portable blood ketone and glucose monitoring system and qualitative urinalysis using urine dip stick. Does with beta hydroxybutyric acid level > 0.8 mmol/L and < 1.6 mmol/L were classified as sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group (n = 12). The control animals were selected from adult Tellicherry does in the age group of 2 to 4 years maintained at Livestock Farm Complex (LFC), Madhavaram Milk Colony, Chennai – 600 051 and a private goat farm (ECR Goat Farm), Injambakkam, Chennai. The sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group (n = 12) were resorted to treatment with intravenous glucose therapy (5 per cent Dextrose) and oral administration of glycerine for 3-4 days @ 25 ml twice daily supported with parenteral Vitamin B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>6</sub> & B<sub>12</sub> therapy with an overall cure rate of 100 per cent. Reliable diagnostic indicators for subclinical form of pregnancy toxemia include presence of ketone body in urine and blood β-hydroxybutyric acid concentration (≥ 0.8 mmol/L).

**Keywords:** diagnostic indicators, sub clinical pregnancy toxemic goats, therapeutic evaluation

**Introduction**

Goat rearing plays a pivotal role in the economics of farming community wherein they are reared for meat, milk and hide. Periparturient mortality in goats have a great economic impact on the livelihood of marginal farmers. Pregnancy toxemia also called as gestational ketosis, twin-lamb disease, ketosis of pregnancy, kid disease, lambing sickness, kidding paralysis and lambing or kidding ketosis (Rook, 2000) [25] is a metabolic disease affecting pregnant ewes and does after a period of negative energy balance (NEB) and impaired gluconeogenesis (Lima *et al.*, 2012) [18]. Pregnancy toxemia normally occur in the last trimester (last 6 to 4 weeks) of gestation in goat and sheep as a result of negative energy balance consequent to enhanced requirement for glucose by the developing foetuses (Schlumbohm and Harmeyer, 2008) [29]. Risk factors include multiple fetuses, poor quality of ingested energy, decreased dietary energy level, genetic factors, obesity, lack of good body condition, high parasitic load, stress factors and multiple pregnancies (Hefnawy *et al.*, 2011) [13]. The disease is characterized by hypoglycaemia, low concentrations of hepatic glycogen, increased fat catabolism leading to high plasma concentration of non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA), high concentration of ketone bodies (hyperketonaemia) and high mortality rate (Van Saun, 2000) [29]. The mortality rate can attain 100 per cent even with the initiation of treatment due to severe irreversible organ damage. In goat farming reliable diagnostic indicators of negative energy balance in the primary stage of the disease are the need of the hour for better herd health management.

**Materials and Methods**

The study was carried out at Veterinary University Peripheral Hospital (VUPH), Madhavaram Milk Colony, Chennai – 6000 051, Livestock Farm Complex (LFC), Madhavaram Milk Colony, Chennai – 600 051 and a private goat farm (ECR Goat Farm), Injambakkam, Chennai

**Corresponding Author:****V Vijayanand**

Assistant Professor, Resident  
Veterinary Services Section,  
Madras Veterinary College,  
Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

during the period October 2016 – September 2018. The control animals were selected from adult Tellicherry does in the age group of 2 to 4 years maintained at Livestock Farm Complex (LFC), Madhavaram Milk Colony, Chennai – 600 051 and a private goat farm (ECR Goat Farm), Injambakkam, Chennai. Non pregnant does (n = 12) and pregnant does (n = 12) carrying twins / triplets, without exhibiting signs of pregnancy toxemia throughout gestation from Livestock Farm Complex, Madhavaram Milk Colony and non pregnant does (n = 12) and pregnant does (n = 12) carrying twins / triplets, without exhibiting signs of pregnancy toxemia throughout gestation from ECR Goat Farm, Injambakkam, Chennai served as control. Does in their last six weeks of gestation carrying twins / triplets presented with the history of off feed to Veterinary University Peripheral Hospital, Madhavaram Milk Colony, Chennai were subjected to determination of blood beta hydroxybutyric acid (BHBA) concentration by means of a portable blood ketone and glucose monitoring system and qualitative urinalysis using urine dip stick. The pregnant does were subjected to radiography for conformation of pregnancy and assessment of fetal numbers. Does with beta hydroxybutyric acid level > 0.8 mmol/L and < 1.6 mmol/L were classified as sub clinical pregnancy toxemia.

#### Parameters included in the Study

**Clinical Signs:** The clinical signs exhibited by the pregnant does were recorded.

**Body Condition Score (BCS) :** Body condition score was assessed using 5 point scale (1.0 – 5.0) by evaluating the animals visually and by palpating the region of lumbar vertebrae and sternum (Villaquiran *et al.*, 2012)<sup>[31]</sup>.

**Blood  $\beta$ -hydroxybutyric acid (BHBA) concentration:** The blood  $\beta$ -hydroxybutyric acid (BHBA) concentration was determined using a portable blood ketone and glucose monitoring system (Fig. 1) (Free Style Optium Neo H – Abbott<sup>®</sup>) (Pichler *et al.*, 2014)<sup>[23]</sup>. The ear vein was punctured with a sterile 23 G needle and the ketone meter attached with blood ketone strip (Fig. 2) was directed towards the drop of blood (Fig.3). Sufficient quantity of blood droplet was absorbed at the tip of the strip by capillary action and within 10 seconds the blood  $\beta$ -hydroxybutyric acid (BHBA) concentration was displayed on to the digital meter.



**Fig 1:** Portable Blood ketone monitoring system (Free Style Optium Neo H – Abbott<sup>®</sup>)



**Fig 2:** Blood  $\beta$  - Ketone Test Strip



**Fig 3:** Recording of blood  $\beta$  – hydroxybutyric acid concentration using portable blood ketone monitoring system

**Urine sample:** Urine samples were obtained after a voluntary micturition or induced by covering the nose and mouth of does for a few seconds (Albay *et al.*, 2014)<sup>[4]</sup>. The urine samples were analyzed using Multistix 10 SG reagent strip (Fig. 4) (Siemens Healthcare Private Limited, India) for qualitative determination of ketone bodies, glucose and protein (Emam and Galhoom, 2008)<sup>[11]</sup>. The test strips were dipped into the collected urine and immediately compared with the colour chart provided on the label of the urine test strip container to determine the presence of ketone, glucose and protein in the urine. (Fig 5).



**Fig 4:** Urinalysis - Multistix 10SG reagent strip (Siemens Healthcare Pvt. Ltd.)



**Fig 5:** Urinalysis using Multistix 10SG reagent strip in sub clinical pregnancy toxaeamic doe

**Ultrasonography:** The pregnant does were subjected to ultrasonography to assess the stage of gestation and the viability of the fetuses. The estimated gestational age of the fetus in weeks was calculated using the formula  $Y = 4.712 + 0.445 X$ , where  $Y =$  Gestational age (wks) and  $X =$  Fetal parameter (cm) in case of crown rump length and  $Y = 2.675 + 3.229 X$  where  $Y =$  Gestational age (wks) and  $X =$  Fetal parameter (cm) in case of bi-parietal diameter (Abdelghafar *et al.*, 2011)<sup>[2]</sup>.

**Radiography:** To confirm pregnancy and assess the foetal numbers (Fig. 6 & 7).

**Haematology:** Haematological investigation was done with an automated haematology analyzer and the following parameters were analyzed: haemoglobin (g/dL, packed cell volume (%), red blood cell ( $X10^6$ /cmm), white blood cells (/cmm) and differential count.



**Fig 6:** Radiography in pregnant doe – Twins



**Fig 7:** Radiography in pregnant doe - Triplets

**Serum Biochemistry:** Serum biochemical parameters - blood urea nitrogen (mg/dL), creatinine (mg/dL), aspartate aminotransferase (IU/L), alanine aminotransferase (IU/L), glucose (mg/dL) and total protein (g/dL) were estimated in an automated biochemical analyzer.

**Serum Electrolytes:** The serum electrolytes - sodium (mmol/L), potassium (mmol/L), calcium (mg/dL), magnesium (mg/dL) and chloride (mmol/L) were estimated in an automated electrolyte analyzer.

**Serum Metabolites :** The serum was stored at  $-20^{\circ}C$  until analysis of levels of serum metabolites namely beta hydroxybutyric acid (BHBA) ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ) and non-esterified fatty acid (NEFA) ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ) by Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) method using goat specific BHBA and NEFA ELISA kits (My Bio Source Inc., USA) while the level of serum cortisol (nmol/L) was analyzed by Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) method using goat specific Cortisol ELISA kit (Cusabio Biotech Co. Ltd.) as per the manufacturer's instruction and the optical density value was read in the ELISA microplate reader at 450 nm.

**Therapy :** The sub clinical pregnancy toxaeamic does were treated with intravenous glucose therapy (5 per cent Dextrose) and oral administration of glycerine for 3-4 days @ 25 ml twice daily supported with parenteral Vitamin B<sub>1</sub>, B<sub>6</sub> & B<sub>12</sub> therapy. The response to therapy was evaluated 3-5 days post initiation of therapy and the efficacy was assessed based on the clinical signs, haematology, serum biochemistry, metabolic and hormonal parameters.

**Cure Rate and Case Fatality Rate:** The cure rate and case fatality rate were evaluated based on the response to treatment.

**Statistical Analysis:** The data collected were statistically analyzed by One Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using Statistical Software IBM® SPSS® Version 20.0 for Windows® and critically discussed.

### Results and Discussion

The clinical signs recorded in sub clinical pregnancy toxaeamia anorexia (100 per cent), dullness in 10 (83 per cent), bruxism in 7 (58 per cent). The dung voiding was normal in all the does with a standing posture and normal carriage of head and neck.

The body condition score was assessed using a 5 point scale (1.0 to 5.0) at 0.5 increments and were evaluated visually by palpating the region of lumbar vertebrae and sternum. Two (25 per cent) pregnant does in control group of Livestock Farm Complex had a BCS of 2.5 while six (75 per cent) had 3.0 whereas four (33 per cent) pregnant does in control group of ECR Goat Farm, Injambakkam had a BCS of 2.5 while eight (67 per cent) had BCS of 3. Among the sub clinical pregnancy toxaeamic does, eight (67 per cent) had a BCS of 2.0 while four (33 per cent) had BCS of 2.5 and the reasons for the pregnancy toxaeamic does to have a body condition score of 2.0 to 2.5 may be due to increased fat and protein catabolism as a result of severe under nutrition (Rook, 2000)<sup>[25]</sup>. Body condition scoring should be included for effective monitoring of feeding and herd health management program for the development of a healthy and productive herd (Russel, 1984)<sup>[26]</sup>.

The  $\beta$  – hydroxybutyric acid (BHBA) level in blood of non pregnant does ranged between 0.2 mmol/l to 0.6 mmol/l (Fig. 8) and between 0.9 mmol/l to 1.5 mmol/l in subclinical pregnancy toxaeamic does (Fig. 9) which were in accordance to Andrews (1997)<sup>[7]</sup> namely, normal does ( $<0.8$  mmol/l) and

subclinical form of pregnancy toxemia (0.8 – 1.6 mmol/l). The values obtained in the portable ketone meter were immediate, reliable and highly useful in screening does for pregnancy toxemia under field conditions. The human ketone meter can be successfully applied to estimate beta hydroxybutyrate level in goats at field conditions due to the non availability of other reliable spot tests (Yadav *et al.*, 2016)<sup>[32]</sup>.

Urinalysis in control group indicated absence of ketone bodies, glucose and protein. In the sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group, presence of trace quantities of ketone bodies in the urine of 9 does (75 per cent) and small quantities in 3 does (25 per cent) might be attributed to the increased fat hydrolysis (Cleon, 1988). Protein was completely absent in the urine sample of all the does while trace quantities of glucose was observed in the urine of 6 does (50 per cent) while the remaining 6 does (50 per cent) had 1 + grading. The qualitative analysis of urine samples for the presence of ketone bodies, glucose and protein under field conditions can be carried out with accuracy and reliability using Multistix 10 SG reagent strips (Emam and Galhoom, 2008)<sup>[11]</sup>.

The Mean  $\pm$  S.E. of Haemoglobin, Packed Cell Volume, Red Blood Cells and White Blood Cells in control (LFC and ECR Goat Farm) and pre and post treatment of sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group are presented in Table 1. The haemoglobin, packed cell volume and red blood cell values in sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group were higher than the control. Highly significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) difference was observed between pre and post treatment compared to that of control. The significant increase of the above values in the pregnancy toxemic does may be due to hemoconcentration and dehydration (Hefnawy *et al.*, 2011)<sup>[13]</sup>. The Mean  $\pm$  S.E. of Differential Count in control (LFC and ECR Goat Farm) and pre and post treatment of sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group are presented in Table 2. Neutrophilia was observed in sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group and showed a decreasing trend during the course of treatment. Highly significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) difference was observed between pre and post treatment compared with control. The neutrophilia might be due to the increased cortisol level which created a movement of granulocytes from the bone marrow to the peripheral blood (Alidadi *et al.*, 2012)<sup>[5]</sup>. The Lymphocytes in sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group was lower than the control and showed an increasing trend during the course of treatment. Highly significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) difference was observed between pre and post treatment compared to that of control. Lymphopenia in sub clinical pregnancy toxemic does might be due to the toxic and subtoxic concentration of beta hydroxybutyrate and acetoacetate in blood which inhibit the lymphocytic proliferation (Franklin and Young, 1991)<sup>[12]</sup> or may be due to increased cortisol level (Alidadi *et al.*, 2012)<sup>[5]</sup>. With respect to Basophils a significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) difference was observed between the sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group to that of control.

The Mean  $\pm$  S.E. of Blood Urea Nitrogen, Creatinine, Aspartate aminotransferase, Alanine aminotransferase, Glucose and Total Protein in control and pre and post treatment of sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group are presented in Table 3. A highly significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) difference was observed between sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group and control in blood urea nitrogen and creatinine levels. Elevated levels observed in sub clinical pregnancy toxemic does concurred with Hefnawy *et al.* (2011)<sup>[13]</sup>. The value of blood urea nitrogen started to decrease by 2.64 per cent and

creatinine by 8.27 per cent during the course of treatment in sub clinical pregnancy toxemic does. The reason for increased blood urea nitrogen and creatinine levels observed in the sub clinical pregnancy toxemic does may be due to severe kidney dysfunction due to the elevated ketone bodies in general circulation (El-Sayed and Siam, 1994)<sup>[10]</sup>, or due to reduced glomerular filtration due to fatty infiltration in tubular epithelium of kidney (Barakat *et al.*, 2007)<sup>[8]</sup> or due to death and decomposition of fetuses (Radostits *et al.*, 2000)<sup>[24]</sup>.

A highly significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) difference in aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase levels was observed between the pre and post treatment groups compared with that of control. Elevated activity of the enzymes correlated with Barakat *et al.* (2007)<sup>[8]</sup>. However the levels of the enzymes started to decrease during the course of treatment in the post treatment group. The reasons for increased aspartate aminotransferase and alanine aminotransferase activities in the sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group might be due to the damage of the hepatic cells and release of cellular enzymes into circulation as a result of fatty infiltration of the liver due of adipolysis and hepatic ketogenesis following energy deficit (Nassif *et al.*, 2005)<sup>[22]</sup>.

A highly significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) difference was observed between the post treatment sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group and control group. The value of glucose started to increase during the course of treatment in the post treatment group. The hypoglycemia observed in sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group might be due to long periods of starvation (Andrews, 1997)<sup>[7]</sup> or due to the increased demand for glucose by the developing twins or triplets or due to decreased hepatic gluconeogenesis and hypoglycemic effect by the increased level of beta hydroxybutyric acid level in blood which can suppress endogenous glucose production and reduction in food intake (Marteniuk and Herdt, 1988)<sup>[20]</sup> and (Schlumbohm and Harmeyer, 2004)<sup>[27]</sup>.

A highly significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) difference was observed in protein levels between the pregnant does of ECR Goat Farm, pregnant does of LFC at 120 days of pregnancy and sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group. Decreased protein levels were observed in sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group compared to that of control similar to Barakat *et al.* (2007)<sup>[8]</sup> and Hefnawy *et al.* (2011)<sup>[13]</sup>. The total protein levels started to increase during the course of treatment in the post treatment group. The reason for decreased total protein levels observed in the subclinical pregnancy toxemic group might be due to the anorexia and reduction in albumin synthesis due to hepatic insufficiency and albuminuria (Yarim and Ciftci, 2009)<sup>[33]</sup> or it might be due to malnutrition resulting in inadequate provision of amino acid substrate for general protein production (Nasr *et al.*, 1997)<sup>[21]</sup>.

The Mean  $\pm$  S.E. of Sodium, Potassium, Calcium, Magnesium and Chloride in control and sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group are presented in Table 4.

A highly significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) difference in sodium levels was observed between pre and post treatment groups of sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group, pregnant does of ECR Goat Farm and pregnant does of LFC at 150 days of pregnancy. However hyponatremia was observed in sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group which correlated with Hefnawy *et al.* (2011)<sup>[13]</sup>. The value of sodium started to increase during the course of treatment in the sub clinical pregnancy toxemic group. The hyponatremia observed might be attributed to the decrease in feed intake, dehydration or large quantity of sodium loss in the renal excretion of

acetoacetate and beta hydroxybutyrate (Judith and Thomas, 1988)<sup>[16]</sup>.

A highly significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) difference in potassium levels was observed between pre and post treatment of sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic group compared with that of pregnant does of LFC. Hypokalemia was observed in sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic group compared to control and this correlated with Albay *et al.* (2014)<sup>[4]</sup>. The value of potassium started to increase during the course of treatment in sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic group. The hypokalemia observed in pregnancy toxaeic does may be attributed to the decrease in feed intake and dehydration (Judith and Thomas, 1988)<sup>[16]</sup> or may be due to inadequate feed intake and incomplete renotubular absorption of potassium (Henze *et al.*, 1998)<sup>[14]</sup>, or may be due to lowered feed intake and due to loss of potassium ions in the urine as observed in human patients with ketonuria and ketoacidosis (Lima *et al.*, 2016)<sup>[19]</sup>.

A highly significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) difference was observed in calcium levels between pre and post treatment groups of sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic group and control. The hypocalcemia observed in sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic group correlated with Hefnawy *et al.* (2011)<sup>[13]</sup>. The level of calcium in sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic group started to increase during the course of treatment. The hypocalcemia observed in sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic goats may be due to the disturbance in the electrolytes and minerals which might be due to stress of starvation, dehydration, electrolyte imbalance or due to enhanced lipolysis (Judith and Thomas, 1988)<sup>[16]</sup>. Alternate reasons might be due to the high demand of calcium by the developing offspring at the late stage of gestation, due to enhanced lipolysis as a result of high cortisol level in circulation, or fatty liver interfering with hydroxylation of Vitamin D and decreased intestinal absorption of calcium (Andrews, 1997)<sup>[7]</sup> or anorexia and disturbance of acid base balance (acidosis) with the excretion of calcium ions in urine or might be the sequelae to renal insufficiency (Rook, 2000)<sup>[25]</sup>.

A highly significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) difference was observed in magnesium levels between pre and post treatment of sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic group with that of control. The hypomagnesemia observed in sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic group correlated with Hefnawy *et al.* (2011)<sup>[13]</sup>. However the magnesium levels started to increase during the course of treatment in sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic group. Hypomagnesemia in pregnancy toxaeic goats may be due to the disturbance in the electrolytes and some minerals related to stress of starvation, dehydration, involvement of the kidney or due to enhanced lipolysis (Judith and Thomas, 1988)<sup>[16]</sup>.

A highly significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) difference in chloride levels was observed between sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic group and control. The hyperchloridemia observed in sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic group correlated with Abdallah *et al.* (2015)<sup>[11]</sup>. However the value of chloride started to decline in the post treatment group. The reasons for hyperchloridemia in sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic might be attributed to the metabolic acidosis as a result of proportionally smaller loss of chloride than bicarbonate and improved renal reabsorption of chloride in response to decreased bicarbonate (Kaneko *et al.*, 1997)<sup>[17]</sup>.

The Mean  $\pm$  S.E. of serum beta hydroxybutyric acid ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ), non esterified fatty acid ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ ) and cortisol ( $\text{nmol/L}$ ) concentration in control and sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic group assessed by ELISA method are presented in Table 5. A highly significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) difference in serum

beta hydroxybutyric acid concentration was observed between sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic group and control which correlated with the findings of Ismail *et al.* (2008)<sup>[15]</sup>. Elevated levels of beta hydroxybutyric acid in the blood might be attributed to the oxidation of long chain fatty acids into ketone bodies namely acetoacetate and beta hydroxy butyrate in the liver following lipolysis during periods of negative energy balance (Nassif *et al.*, 2005)<sup>[22]</sup> or to the reduction of acetoacetate produced by the liver to beta hydroxybutyrate by hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase enzyme amounting to higher blood concentration of beta hydroxybutyrate (Hefnawy *et al.*, 2011)<sup>[13]</sup>. Elevated level of serum non esterified fatty acid in sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic does correlated with Ismail *et al.* (2008)<sup>[15]</sup>. Elevated levels of non esterified fatty acid might be the result of adipolysis during periods of negative energy balance (Vasava *et al.*, 2016)<sup>[30]</sup>. A highly significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ ) difference in serum cortisol concentration was observed between sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic group and control. Increasing trend of cortisol concentration in pregnant and sub clinical pregnancy toxaeic does correlated with Hefnawy *et al.* (2011)<sup>[13]</sup> and Abdallah *et al.* (2015)<sup>[11]</sup>. Increase in cortisol concentration might be due to hyperactivity of the adrenal glands as a result of hypoglycemia (Adel *et al.*, 2005)<sup>[3]</sup> or due to reduced hepatic metabolism of cortisol (Radostits *et al.*, 2000)<sup>[24]</sup> or due to increasing stress in the pregnant animals (Aly and Elshahawy, 2016)<sup>[6]</sup>.



**Fig 8:** Blood  $\beta$  – hydroxybutyric acid concentration in healthy non pregnant doe



**Fig 9:** Blood  $\beta$ -hydroxybutyric acid concentration in Sub Clinical Pregnancy Toxaemic Doe

**Table 1:** Mean  $\pm$  S.E. of Haemoglobin, Packed Cell Volume, Red Blood Cells and White Blood Cells in Control (Livestock Farm Complex and ECR Goat Farm) and Pre and Post Treatment of Sub Clinical Pregnancy Toxaemic Group

Parameters	Control : Pregnant Does - Gestation in days				Sub Clinical Pregnancy Toxaemic Group		'F' value
	Livestock Farm Complex (n = 12)		ECR Goat Farm (n = 12)		Pre Treatment (n = 12)	Post Treatment (n = 12)	
	120 days	150 days	120 days	150 days			
Haemoglobin (g/dL)	8.55 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.07	8.53 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.05	8.45 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.07	8.45 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.04	9.0 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.15	9.01 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.13	6.87**
Packed Cell Volume (%)	24.4 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 0.84	26.32 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 0.83	22.80 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.87	23.23 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.83	27.75 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.10	27.02 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.20	10.33**
Red Blood Cells (X10 <sup>6</sup> /cmm)	15.34 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.73	16.04 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.73	15.19 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.69	15.99 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.61	18.66 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.08	18.57 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.06	9.26**
White Blood Cells (/cmm)	19112.5 $\pm$ 2046.28	20250 $\pm$ 1399.74	20741.66 $\pm$ 1773.3	20558.33 $\pm$ 1496.93	22091.67 $\pm$ 166.27	21683.33 $\pm$ 203.69	0.59 <sup>NS</sup>

NS – Not Significant \*\* Highly Significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ )

Means bearing the same superscript within the same row do not differ significantly

**Table 2:** Mean  $\pm$  S.E. of Differential Count in Control (Livestock Farm Complex and ECR Goat Farm) and Pre and Post Treatment of Sub Clinical Pregnancy Toxaemic Group

Parameters	Control : Pregnant Does - Gestation in days				Sub Clinical Pregnancy Toxaemic Group		'F' value
	Livestock Farm Complex (n = 12)		ECR Goat Farm (n = 12)		Pre Treatment (n = 12)	Post Treatment (n = 12)	
	120 days	150 days	120 days	150 days			
Neutrophils (%)	32.12 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.63	32.0 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.46	32.75 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.46	33.16 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.62	40.91 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1.03	39.25 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1.12	23.79**
Lymphocytes (%)	63.62 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.41	63.37 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.26	62.33 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.43	62.75 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.50	55.16 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.96	57.0 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.06	24.67**
Monocytes (%)	2.5 $\pm$ 0.18	2.5 $\pm$ 0.26	2.66 $\pm$ 0.22	2.75 $\pm$ 0.21	2.41 $\pm$ 0.14	2.16 $\pm$ 0.16	1.13 <sup>NS</sup>
Eosinophils (%)	1.5 $\pm$ 0.26	1.75 $\pm$ 0.25	1.66 $\pm$ 0.25	1.08 $\pm$ 0.31	1.5 $\pm$ 0.19	1.58 $\pm$ 0.14	0.94 <sup>NS</sup>
Basophils (%)	0.25 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 0.16	0.37 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 0.18	0.5 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.15	0.25 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 0.13	0 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0	0 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0	3.11*

NS – Not Significant \* Significant ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) \*\* Highly Significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ )

Means bearing the same superscript within the same row do not differ significantly

**Table 3:** Mean  $\pm$  S.E. of Serum Biochemical Parameters in Control (Livestock Farm Complex and ECR Goat Farm) and Pre and Post Treatment of Sub Clinical Pregnancy Toxaemic Group

Parameters	Control : Pregnant Does - Gestation in days				Sub Clinical Pregnancy Toxaemic Group		'F' value
	Livestock Farm Complex (n = 12)		ECR Goat Farm (n = 12)		Pre Treatment (n = 12)	Post Treatment (n = 12)	
	120 days	150 days	120 days	150 days			
Blood Urea Nitrogen (mg/dL)	26.02 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.10	26.73 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.14	24.77 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.13	24.90 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.82	39.70 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.56	38.65 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.52	69.13**
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.62 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.04	0.76 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.04	0.76 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.04	0.73 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.02	1.45 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.09	1.33 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.09	26.40**
Aspartate aminotransferase (AST) (IU/L)	121.5 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ .92	122.25 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 1.79	105.5 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 3.04	112.91 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.99	131.51 <sup>d</sup> $\pm$ 1.12	128.1 <sup>cd</sup> $\pm$ 1.08	26.38**
Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) (IU/L)	24.12 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 1.24	26.12 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.66	44.41 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 2.14	45.41 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1.99	49.23 <sup>b</sup> 2.02	47.51 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1.78	30.14**
Glucose (mg/dL)	25.25 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 2.15	29.25 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1.66	31.08 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 1.72	30.08 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 1.15	32.0 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 0.69	35.0 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.51	5.38**
Total Protein (g/dL)	6.57 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 0.25	6.77 <sup>bc</sup> $\pm$ 0.07	7.11 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.13	7.12 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.12	6.36 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.07	6.46 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 0.06	7.44**

\*\* Highly Significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ )

Means bearing the same superscript within the same row do not differ significantly

**Table 4:** Mean  $\pm$  S.E. of Serum Electrolytes in Control (Livestock Farm Complex and ECR Goat Farm) and Pre and Post Treatment of Sub Clinical Pregnancy Toxaemic Group

Parameters	Control : Pregnant Does - Gestation in days				Sub Clinical Pregnancy Toxaemic Group		'F' value
	Livestock Farm Complex (n = 12)		ECR Goat Farm (n = 12)		Pre Treatment (n = 12)	Post Treatment (n = 12)	
	120 days	150 days	120 days	150 days			
Sodium (mmol/L)	142.2 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 0.45	154.45 <sup>d</sup> $\pm$ 1.04	146.35 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.75	145.97 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.48	141.33 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.35	143.18 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.29	56.22**
Potassium (mmol/L)	5.37 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.15	5.43 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.10	4.94 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.09	5.08 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.08	4.89 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.04	5.05 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.03	6.09**
Chloride (mmol/L)	108.38 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.56	109.61 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.76	108.75 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 0.38	108.72 <sup>ab</sup> $\pm$ 0.30	111.50 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.17	111.09 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.22	12.39**
Calcium (mg/dL)	12.71 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.61	12.17 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.17	11.35 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.10	11.32 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.15	9.75 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.11	9.95 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.09	26.21**
Magnesium (mg/dL)	3.03 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.05	3.03 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.04	3.05 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.05	3.09 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 0.06	2.57 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 0.04	2.8 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 0.06	14.55**

\*\* Highly Significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ )

Means bearing the same superscript within the same row do not differ significantly

**Table 5:** Mean  $\pm$  S.E. of Serum Beta Hydroxybutyric acid (BHBA), Non Esterified Fatty Acid (NEFA) and Cortisol Concentration by ELISA method in Control (Livestock Farm Complex) and Sub Clinical Pregnancy Toxaemic Group

Parameters	Control : Livestock Farm Complex (LFC)		Sub Clinical Pregnancy Toxaemic Group (n = 12)	'F' value
	Non Pregnant Does (n = 12)	Pregnant Does 120 days (n = 12)		
Beta hydroxybutyric acid (BHBA) ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ )	275.0 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 31.34	312.5 <sup>c</sup> $\pm$ 29.51	1308.33 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 58.34	8.86**
Non Esterified Fatty Acid (NEFA) ( $\mu\text{mol/L}$ )	406.56 $\pm$ 49.23	434.42 $\pm$ 77.14	534.52 $\pm$ 89.17	2.03 <sup>NS</sup>
Cortisol (nmol/L)	295.61 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 54.53	348.32 <sup>a</sup> $\pm$ 33.98	600.76 <sup>b</sup> $\pm$ 111.55	6.13**

NS – Not Significant \*\* Highly Significant ( $P \leq 0.01$ )

Means bearing the same superscript within the same row do not differ significantly

## Conclusion

The present study showed a cure rate of 100 per cent in sub clinical pregnancy toxaemic does. The early indicators of subclinical form of pregnancy toxaemia include presence of ketone body in the urine and blood  $\beta$ -hydroxybutyric acid concentration ( $\geq 0.8$  mmol/l). Hence the determination of blood  $\beta$ -hydroxybutyric acid (BHBA) concentration using a hand held portable blood ketone meter and qualitative urinalysis using urine dip stick for the presence of ketone bodies are reliable indicators in the diagnosis of subclinical form of pregnancy toxaemia under field conditions.

## References

1. Abdallah AAM, El-Deen NAMN and Ibrahim AA. Early biomarkers for diagnosis and prognosis of pregnancy toxemia in goats. *Zag. Vet. J* 2015;43:130-142.
2. Abdelghafar RM, Ahmed BM, Ibrahim MT, Mantis P. Prediction of gestational age by transabdominal real time ultrasonographic measurements in Saanen goats (*Capra hircus*). *Global Veterinaria* 2011;6:346-351.
3. Adel MA, Sahar S, El-Hamied A. Anion gap determination and its relationship to some serum biochemical indicators in pregnancy toxemia in does. *Zag. Vet. J* 2005;33:162-168.
4. Albay MK, Karakurum MC, Sahinduran S, Sezer K, Yildiz R. Selected serum biochemical parameters and acute phase protein levels in a herd of Saanen goats showing signs of pregnancy toxaemia. *Vet. Med* 2014;59:336-342.
5. Alidadi N, Rafia S, Moaddab H. Outbreak of primary pregnancy toxemia in fat tailed ewes due to ultrasonographic misdiagnosis of pregnancy. *Ir. J Vet. Res* 2012;13:870-879.
6. Aly MA, Elshahawy II. Clinico-biochemical diagnosis of pregnancy toxaemia in ewes with special reference to novel biomarkers. *Alex. J Vet. Sci* 2016;48:96-102.
7. Andrews A. Pregnancy toxaemia in the ewe. In *Pract* 1997;19:306-312.
8. Barakat SEM, Al-Bhanasawi NM, Elazhari G, Bakhiet AO. Clinical and serobiochemical studies on naturally occurring pregnancy toxaemia in Shammia goats. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv* 2007;6:768-772.
9. Cleon VK. In: *Disease of Sheep*. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., Lea and Febiger, Philadelphia, U.S.A 1988, 23-46.
10. El-Sayed R, Siam A. Pregnancy toxaemia in ewes. *Alex. J Vet Sci* 1994;1:31-35.
11. Emam EE, Galhoom KI. Hormonal, haematological blood biochemical changes in pregnancy toxaemia in Balady goats (*Caprines caprina*) with trials of treatment as a field study. *Egypt J. Comp. Pathol. Clinic. Pathol* 2008;21:121-138.
12. Franklin ST, Young JW. Effects of ketones, acetate, butyrate and glucose on bovine lymphocyte proliferation. *J. Dairy Sci* 1991;74:2507-2514.
13. Hefnawy AE, Shousha S, Youssef S. Hematobiochemical profile of pregnant and experimentally pregnancy toxemic goats. *J. Basic Applied Chem* 2011;1:65-69.
14. Henze P, Bickhardt K, Fuhrmann H, Sallmann HP. Spontaneous pregnancy toxaemia (ketosis) in sheep and the role of insulin. *J Am. Vet. Med. Assoc* 1998;45:255-266.
15. Ismail ZAB, Al-Majali AM, Amireh F, Al- Rawashdeh OF. Metabolic profiles in goat does in late pregnancy with and without subclinical pregnancy toxemia. *Vet. Clin. Pathol* 2008;37:434-437.
16. Judith VM, Thomas HH. Pregnancy toxemia and ketosis in ewes and does. *Vet. Clin. Nr. Am. Food Anim. Pract* 1988;4:307-315.
17. Kaneko JJ, Harvey JW, Bruss ML. *Clinical Biochemistry of Domestic Animals*. 5<sup>th</sup> ed., Academic Press, California, U.S.A 1997, 485-516.
18. Lima MS, Pascal RA, Stilwell GT. Glycaemia as a sign of the viability of the foetuses in the last days of gestation in dairy goats with pregnancy toxemia. *Ir. Vet. J* 2012;65:136-148.
19. Lima MS, Silveira JM, Carolino N, Lamas LP, Pascoal RA, Hjerpe CA. Usefulness of clinical observations and blood chemistry values for predicting clinical outcomes in dairy goats with pregnancy toxaemia. *Ir. Vet. J* 2016;69:16-24.
20. Marteniuk JV, Herdt TH. Pregnancy toxemia and ketosis of ewes and does. *Vet. Clin. Nr. Am. Food Anim. Pract* 1988;4:307-315.
21. Nasr M, Fauad FM, El-Said B. Studies on pregnancy toxaemia in does. *Alex. J Vet. Sci* 1997;13:79-86.
22. Nassif MN, Hafez AM, Nasser MH, Tahoon AM. Clinico-biochemical studies on experimentally induced pregnancy toxemia in does. *Zag. Vet. J* 2005;33:31-41.
23. Pichler M, Damberger A, Amholdt T, Schwendenwein I, Gasteiner J. Evaluation of 2 electronic handheld devices for diagnosis of ketonemia and glycemia in dairy goats. *J Dairy Sci* 2014;97:7538-7546.
24. Radostits OM, Blood DC, Gay CC. In: *Veterinary Medicine. A Textbook of the Diseases of Cattle, Sheep, Pigs, Goats and Horses*. 9<sup>th</sup> ed., W.B. Saunders, Canada 2000, 1452-1462.
25. Rook JS. Pregnancy toxemia of ewes, does, and beef cows. *Vet. Clin. Nr. Am. Food Anim. Pract* 2000;16:293-317.
26. Russel A. Body condition scoring of sheep. In *Pract* 1984;6:91-93.
27. Schlumbohm C, Harmeyer J. Hyperketonemia impairs glucose metabolism in pregnant ewes. *J Dairy Sci* 2004;87:350-358.
28. Schlumbohm C, Harmeyer J. Twin pregnancy increases susceptibility of ewes to hypoglycemic stress and

- pregnancy toxemia. Res. Vet. Sci 2008;84:286-299.
29. Van Saun RJ. Pregnancy toxemia in a flock of sheep. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc 2000;217:1536-1539.
  30. Vasava PR, Jani RG, Goswami HV, Rathwa SD, Tandel FB. Studies on clinical signs and biochemical alteration in pregnancy toxemic goats. Vet. World 2016;9:869-874.
  31. Villaquiran M, Gipson R, Merkel R, Goetsch A, Sahl T. Body condition scores in goats. Agriculture Research and Cooperative Extension. Langston University, Langston 2012.
  32. Yadav SN, Kalita DN, Phukan A, Tamuly S, Dutta TC, Mahato G *et al.* Diagnosis of caprine ketosis using human hand held ketone meter. Bangl. J. Vet. Med 2016;14:179-181.
  33. Yarim GF, Ciftci G. Serum protein pattern in ewe with pregnancy toxemia. Vet. Res. Commun 2009;33:431-438.