

#### E-ISSN: 2320-7078 P-ISSN: 2349-6800 www.entomoljournal.com

JEZS 2021; 9(2): 1019-1022 © 2021 JEZS Received: 13-01-2021 Accepted: 15-02-2021

#### Reena Nair

Assistant Professor, Department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

#### SB Das

Professor, Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

#### Jyothsna J

Ph.D. Research Scholar, Depart of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

Corresponding Author: Reena Nair Assistant Professor, Department

of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh, India

## Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies

Available online at www.entomoljournal.com



# Integrated management of aphid (*Hydaphis* coriandri (Das) and powdery mildew in coriander

#### Reena Nair, SB Das and Jyothsna J

#### Abstract

Coriander is an annual spice herb whose fresh and dried leaves; and seeds are used as food flavoring agents. The crop is prone to various pest and diseases, despite of the sowing season. The major pest of coriander namely three aphid species, Hyadaphis coriandri, Myzus persicae and Aphis carccivora results in 45 to 50 percent loss in yield, provided proper control measures are not taken. The powdery mildew of coriander, caused by Erysiphe polygoni, is the major disease that is as devastating as it could cause 50 per cent yield loss in absence of effective management. In order to scheme an integrated management strategy both controlling both the pest and disease, an experiment was taken up involving integrated application of biocontrol agent, fungicides and insecticides at different stage of crop growth. There were ten treatments, replicated thrice, involving the foliar application of biocontrol agent of Lecanicillium lecanii and pesticides namely Propiconozole, Carbendazim and Acetamiprid. This experiment was carried out at the Horticulture farm, College of Agriculture, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh. Two foliar sprays of Lecanicillium lecanii 1.15WP (1×109 cfu/g) (40 g/10 L.) + spray of Propiconazole 25 EC @ 0.05% (10 ml/10 L) (first spray) + spray of Carbendazim @ 0.1% (second spray) resulted the lowest aphid population (5.59%). Similarly, it was found that the two foliar sprays of Lecanicillium lecanii + spray of Carbendazim (first spray) + spray of Propiconazole (second spray) was found to be superior over all the other treatment with lowest percent disease incidence (12.27%). The integrated use of biocontrol agents, fungicides and insecticides offer an effective management against the aphids and powdery mildew disease of coriander.

Keywords: coriander, aphids, powdery mildew, biocontrol agent, acetamiprid, propiconazole, carbendazim

#### Introduction

The coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) is an essential spice of Indian cuisine which is widely used for its foliage and seeds. During 2019-20, India produced 7,56,000 MT of coriander from an area of 6,29,000 ha (NHB, 2021)<sup>[8]</sup>. Among the Indian states, Madhya Pradesh, Rajasthan and Gujarat tops in the volume of coriander seed production (Statista, 2021)<sup>[11]</sup>. The major limiting constraints of the coriander production are the pest and diseases. The coriander aphid (*Hyadaphis coriandri*) (Chaudhary *et al.*, 2015)<sup>[1]</sup> and the powdery mildew disease (caused by *Erysiphe polygoni*) are found to be the most destructing pest and diseases of coriander, respectively (Ushamalini and Nakkeeran, 2017)<sup>[10]</sup>.

The crop is prone to various pest and diseases, despite of the sowing season. A complex of sucking pest damages the coriander crop, among which the aphids causes the economic damage. The major pest of coriander namely three aphid species, *Hyadaphis coriandri, Myzus persicae* and *Aphis carccivora* results in 45 to 50 percent loss in yield, provided proper control measures are not taken. *Hyadaphis coriandri* is the major species of aphid infesting the coriander with a globe wide distribution. It is potential to cause upto 50 per cent yield loss during the flowering stage just with a population of 55-70 aphids/5 plants (Jain and Yadava, 1989)<sup>[4]</sup>. The maximum multiplication of pest population occurs during existence of a conducive temperature between 20-25 °C and 60-65 per cent relative humidity in the environment (Meena *et al.*, 2002)<sup>[7]</sup>. Amid various diseases infecting the coriander, the powdery mildew is one of the devastating diseases which could attribute to a huge loss in yield. The indiscriminate and intensive use of pesticides and fungicides have resulted various undesirable effects namely resistance, resurgence and environmental pollution. Bearing this in mind, this investigation is an attempt to derive a promising schedule of integrated pest and disease management in coriander.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The experiment was carried out in a randomized block design (RBD) with ten treatments, replicated thrice. The treatment details are furnished in table 1. The dimension of the experimental plot was  $3.0 \text{ m} \times 2.40 \text{ m}$  and a spacing of 30 cm between rows and 10 cm between the plants were adopted. To control the aphid pest, chemical insecticide acetamiprid 20 SP and entomo-pathogenic fungi, *Lecanicillium lecanii* 1.15 WP were employed in the experiment. The chemical fungicides namely propiconazole 25 EC and carbendazim 50 WP were put to study their effects in controlling the powdery mildew disease. All the chemical and bio-pesticides were given as foliar sprays, applied twice.

The observations on aphid population and powdery mildew incidence were recorded from randomly selected and tagged plants in each plot. The aphid population was observed a day prior and 3, 5 and 7 days after the insecticide and entomopathogen application whereas, the observation pertaining to powdery mildew disease was made a day before and 3, 5, 7 and 10 days after the fungicides sprays. The observations on plant growth parameters were recorded at the appropriate growth stages. The crop was raised with the recommended package of practices.

#### **Result and Discussion**

## Effect of chemical insecticide and entomopathogenic fungi on control of aphid population

The aphid population prior to the treatment was found insignificant among the different treatments while the effect of different pesticides imparted significant effect on the aphid population (Table 2). After 3 days of the first spray, the maximum reduction of aphid population (6.16) was exhibited by the treatment T5 that consisted of two foliar sprays of Lecanicillium lecanii + spray of Propiconazole (first spray) + spray of Carbendazim (second spray). It was observed that the aphid population decreased during the time after both the sprays. This treatment was followed by T8 (Two foliar sprays of Acetamiprid 20SP (0.004%) + spray of Carbendazim 50 WP @ 0.1% (20 g/10 L water) (first spray) + spray of Propiconazole 25 EC @ 0.05% (10 ml/10 L) (second spray)) and T7 (Two foliar sprays of Acetamiprid 20SP (0.004%) + spray of Propiconazole 25 EC @ 0.05% (10 ml/10 L) (first spray) + spray of Carbendazim 50 WP @ 0.1% (20 g/10L water) (second spray)). These results were in line the reports of Meena (2018) <sup>[6]</sup>, Prajapati *et al.* (2019) <sup>[9]</sup> and Trinh *et al.* (2020) <sup>[12]</sup>. The least reduction of aphid population was noted in the untreated plants.

## Effect of fungicides on control of powdery mildew disease incidence

The pre-count of the disease incidence was recorded to be non-significant however the pesticide treatments recorded significance across the various treatments (Table 3). After both the first and second sprays, maximum reduction in disease incidence per cent was observed under the treatment T6 that consisted of two foliar sprays of Lecanicillium lecanii 1.15WP (1×109 cfu/g) (40g/10  $\overline{L}$ ) + spray of Carbendazim 50 WP @ 0.1% (20 g/10 L water) (first spray) + spray of Propiconazole 25 EC @ 0.05% (10 ml/10 L) (second spray). This was followed by the treatments T7 (Two foliar sprays of Acetamiprid 20SP (0.004%) + spray of Propiconazole 25 EC @ 0.05% (10 ml/10 L) (first spray) + spray of Carbendazim 50 WP @ 0.1% (20 g/10L water) (second spray)) and T8 (Two foliar sprays of Acetamiprid 20SP (0.004%) + spray of Carbendazim 50 WP @ 0.1% (20 g/10 L water) (first spray) + spray of Propiconazole 25 EC @ 0.05% (10 ml/10 L) (second spray)). Similar results were reported by Deshmukh et al. (2018)<sup>[2]</sup>, Goswami et al. (2018)<sup>[3]</sup> and Khunt et al. (2017)<sup>[5]</sup>. The least reduction of powdery mildew disease incidence was noted in the untreated plants.

## Effect of pesticides on growth, yield and yield related traits

The growth and yield traits namely germination percentage, days to 50 per cent flowering, number of umbels plant<sup>-1</sup>, number of umbellets umbel<sup>-1</sup>, number of seeds umbel<sup>-1</sup>, test weight and seed yield ha<sup>-1</sup>. The growth traits namely germination percentage and days to 50 per cent flowering was found insignificant and the yield parameters were found significant (Table 4). The treatment T6 subjected to two foliar sprays of *Lecanicillium lecanii* 1.15WP (1×109 cfu/g) (40g/10 L) + spray of Carbendazim 50 WP @ 0.1% (20 g/10 L water) (first spray) + spray of Propiconazole 25 EC @ 0.05% (10 ml/10 L) (second spray) recorded the maximum seed yield ha<sup>-1</sup> (12.03 q ha<sup>-1</sup>).

Table 1: Treatment details

| <b>T</b> <sub>1</sub> | Sprays of Propiconazole 25 EC @ 0.05% (10 ml/10 L) (first & second spray) + Two foliar sprays of <i>Lecanicillium lecanii</i> 1.15WP (1×10 <sup>9</sup>                          |
|-----------------------|--|
| T                     |  |
| 12                    | Spray of Propiconazole 25 EC @ 0.05% (10 ml/10 L) (first & second spray) + 1 wo foliar sprays of Acetamiprid 20SP (0.004%)   |
| т                     | Spray of Carbendazim 50 WP @ 0.1% (20 g/10 L water) (first & second spray) + Two foliar sprays of <i>Lecanicillium lecanii</i> 1.15WP  |
| 13                    | $(1 \times 10^9 \text{ cfu/g}) (40 \text{g}/10 \text{ L.})$  |
| <b>T</b> 4            | Spray of Carbendazim 50 WP @ 0.1% (20 g /10 L water) (first & second spray) + Two foliar sprays of Acetamiprid 20SP (0.004 %)  |
| Тс                    | Two foliar sprays of Lecanicillium lecanii 1.15WP (1×10 <sup>9</sup> cfu/g) (40 g/10 L.) + spray of Propiconazole 25 EC @ 0.05% (10 ml/10 L)                                     |
| 15                    | (first spray) + spray of Carbendazim @ 0.1% (second spray)   |
| Т                     | Two foliar sprays of <i>Lecanicillium lecanii</i> 1.15WP $(1 \times 10^9 \text{ cfu/g}) (40g/10 \text{ L}) + \text{spray of Carbendazim 50 WP @ 0.1% (20 g/10 \text{ L water})}$ |
| 16                    | (first spray) + spray of Propiconazole 25 EC @ 0.05% (10 ml/10 L) (second spray)   |
| т-                    | Two foliar sprays of Acetamiprid 20SP (0.004%) + spray of Propiconazole 25 EC @ 0.05% (10 ml/10 L) (first spray) + spray of  |
| 17                    | Carbendazim 50 WP @ 0.1% (20 g/10L water) (second spray)   |
| Т                     | Two foliar sprays of Acetamiprid 20SP (0.004%) + spray of Carbendazim 50 WP @ 0.1% (20 g/10 L water) (first spray) + spray of  |
| 18                    | Propiconazole 25 EC @ 0.05% (10 ml/10 L) (second spray)  |
| То                    | Two foliar sprays of Imidachloprid (0.05%) + One foliar spray of SAAF (12% Carbendazim + 63% WP Mancozeb) @ 0.25% (first spray)  |
| 19                    | + one spray Carbendazim 50 WP @ 0.1% (20 g/10 L water) (second spray)  |
| T <sub>10</sub>       | Untreated control  |

| Table 2: Bio-efficacy | of bio-pesticides a | nd insecticide against | aphid infesting | coriander |
|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| 5                     | 1                   | 0                      | 1 0             |           |

| Treatments            | Pretreatment population | Aft             | er first sp     | ray             |                        | After second spray |                 |                 |                         |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| 1 reatments           | Aphid/10 cm twig        | 3 DAS*          | 5 DAS           | 7 DAS           | Mean of first<br>spray | 3DAS               | 5DAS            | 7DAS            | Mean of second<br>spray |
| $T_1$                 | 62.93 (7.96)            | 60.90<br>(7.83) | 50.51<br>(7.14) | 44.88<br>(6.73) | 7.233**                | 43.36<br>(6.62)    | 40.30<br>(6.37) | 36.48<br>(6.06) | 6.350**                 |
| $T_2$                 | 63.04(7.97)             | 53.20<br>(7.33) | 37.94<br>(6.19) | 40.40<br>(6.39) | 6.637                  | 28.30<br>(5.32)    | 25.55(5.08)     | 20.72(4.58)     | 4.993                   |
| T3                    | 62.31(7.93)             | 57.24<br>(7.59) | 52.34<br>(7.26) | 49.11<br>(7.03) | 7.293                  | 43.47<br>(6.61)    | 37.69(6.16)     | 34.73(5.93)     | 6.233                   |
| $T_4$                 | 63.11(7.97)             | 55.97<br>(7.51) | 48.86<br>(7.02) | 40.54<br>(6.39) | 6.973                  | 34.59<br>(5.91)    | 30.92(5.59)     | 26.39(5.17)     | 5.557                   |
| T5                    | 63.40(7.99)             | 37.49<br>(6.16) | 30.86<br>(5.60) | 25.07<br>(5.03) | 5.597                  | 16.36<br>(4.08)    | 12.21(3.52)     | 10.01(3.23)     | 3.610                   |
| T <sub>6</sub>        | 61.70 (7.88)            | 59.53<br>(7.75) | 50.66<br>(7.15) | 40.40<br>(6.38) | 7.093                  | 35.40<br>(5.98)    | 30.27(5.53)     | 27.45(5.25)     | 5.587                   |
| <b>T</b> <sub>7</sub> | 62.40(7.93)             | 41.10<br>(6.45) | 33.84<br>(5.85) | 30.87<br>(5.60) | 5.967                  | 26.65<br>(5.19)    | 21.20<br>(4.64) | 17.27<br>(4.14) | 4.657                   |
| $T_8$                 | 62.33(7.93)             | 38.53<br>(6.25) | 32.79<br>(5.77) | 29.86<br>(5.50) | 5.840                  | 21.90<br>(4.68)    | 17.51(4.19)     | 14.73<br>(3.84) | 4.237                   |
| <b>T</b> 9            | 60.25(7.79)             | 56.29<br>(7.53) | 51.41<br>(7.20) | 47.54<br>(6.92) | 7.217                  | 39.42<br>(6.31)    | 36.34(6.05)     | 31.16(5.61)     | 5.990                   |
| T <sub>10</sub>       | 62.81(7.96)             | 62.27<br>(7.91) | 60.91<br>(7.83) | 62.53<br>(7.92) | 7.887                  | 61.61<br>(7.87)    | 63.78(8.01)     | 62.87(7.96)     | 7.947                   |
| C.D.                  | NS                      | 0.48            | 7.49            | 0.79            | 0.40                   | 12.01              | 9.26            | 10.27           | 0.27                    |
| SE(m)                 | 0.06                    | 0.16            | 5.49            | 0.25            | 0.13                   | 4.01               | 3.09            | 3.43            | 0.09                    |
| SE(d)                 | 0.09                    | 0.23            | 5.60            | 0.37            | 0.19                   | 5.67               | 4.37            | 4.85            | 0.13                    |
| C.V.                  | 1.41                    | 3.82            | 7.36            | 7.17            | 3.42                   | 19.79              | 16.96           | 21.09           | 2.89                    |

\* DAS- Days After Spraying \*\*Figure in parentheses are transformed value

Table 3: Effect of bio-pesticides and fungicides on Percent disease incidence of Powdery mildew in coriander

| Treatmente      | Dres      | Reduction in percent disease incidence |          |          |               |                    |       |       |       |         |              |
|-----------------|-----------|--|----------|----------|---------------|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|---------|--------------|
| Treatments      | Pre       | After first spray                      |          |          | Moon of first | After second spray |       |       |       | Maan of |              |
|                 | Incidence | 3<br>DAS                               | 5<br>DAS | 7<br>DAS | 10DAS         | spray              | 3DAS  | 5DAS  | 7DAS  | 10DAS   | second spray |
|                 |           |  |          |          |               |                    |       |       |       |         |              |
| $T_1$           | 21.88     | 20.08                                  | 18.02    | 17.21    | 16.11         | 17.86              | 15.05 | 14.31 | 13.47 | 11.40   | 13.56        |
| $T_2$           | 21.29     | 24.11                                  | 23.67    | 23.03    | 21.28         | 23.02              | 19.35 | 17.81 | 17.06 | 16.34   | 17.64        |
| T <sub>3</sub>  | 21.94     | 21.48                                  | 19.75    | 18.95    | 17.11         | 19.32              | 15.84 | 14.59 | 14.24 | 12.33   | 14.25        |
| $T_4$           | 21.84     | 25.35                                  | 24.96    | 24.92    | 23.07         | 24.58              | 21.91 | 21.04 | 20.36 | 19.06   | 20.59        |
| T5              | 21.60     | 23.41                                  | 22.63    | 21.62    | 19.84         | 21.88              | 18.08 | 16.76 | 15.93 | 13.89   | 16.17        |
| T <sub>6</sub>  | 21.15     | 13.99                                  | 12.34    | 11.90    | 10.86         | 12.27              | 9.35  | 8.24  | 7.47  | 6.73    | 7.95         |
| T <sub>7</sub>  | 21.50     | 15.99                                  | 13.87    | 13.00    | 12.09         | 13.74              | 10.83 | 9.58  | 8.49  | 7.93    | 9.21         |
| T <sub>8</sub>  | 21.55     | 17.21                                  | 15.64    | 14.85    | 13.83         | 15.38              | 12.87 | 11.27 | 10.77 | 9.52    | 11.11        |
| T9              | 21.23     | 22.31                                  | 21.17    | 20.32    | 18.12         | 20.48              | 17.07 | 16.28 | 15.07 | 14.80   | 15.81        |
| T <sub>10</sub> | 21.68     | 24.17                                  | 24.92    | 25.52    | 26.68         | 25.32              | 26.93 | 26.86 | 26.01 | 26.13   | 26.48        |
| C.D.            | NS        | 0.63                                   | 0.54     | 0.43     | 0.50          | 1.30               | 0.34  | 0.46  | 0.63  | 0.73    | 3.46         |
| SE(m)           | 0.33      | 0.21                                   | 0.18     | 0.14     | 0.18          | 0.45               | 0.11  | 0.15  | 0.21  | 0.24    | 1.15         |
| SE(d)           | 0.46      | 0.29                                   | 0.25     | 0.21     | 0.24          | 0.63               | 0.16  | 0.22  | 0.29  | 0.34    | 1.63         |
| C.V.            | 2.62      | 1.75                                   | 1.58     | 1.31     | 1.64          | 4.61               | 1.16  | 1.72  | 2.45  | 3.05    | 14.27        |

Table 4: Effect of bio-pesticides and chemical fungicides on plant growth, yield and yield related traits in coriander

| S. No | Treatments            | Germination<br>% | Days to<br>50%<br>flowering | Number of<br>umbels per<br>plant | Number of<br>umbellets<br>per umbel | Number of<br>seeds per<br>umbels | Test<br>weight,<br>g | Seed yield<br>(q/ha) |
|-------|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1.    | $T_1$                 | 60.26            | 62.67                       | 23.01                            | 4.99                                | 18.27                            | 8.02                 | 9.22                 |
| 2.    | $T_2$                 | 61.29            | 64.67                       | 23.55                            | 4.62                                | 18.73                            | 8.90                 | 9.27                 |
| 3.    | <b>T</b> <sub>3</sub> | 60.28            | 64.33                       | 22.63                            | 5.28                                | 23.35                            | 8.35                 | 8.79                 |
| 4.    | $T_4$                 | 61.84            | 65.67                       | 25.59                            | 5.24                                | 23.79                            | 9.49                 | 9.05                 |
| 5.    | T5                    | 62.50            | 64.00                       | 26.26                            | 5.63                                | 30.49                            | 11.26                | 10.36                |
| 6.    | T <sub>6</sub>        | 61.31            | 65.00                       | 20.87                            | 5.68                                | 21.34                            | 10.46                | 12.03                |
| 7.    | <b>T</b> <sub>7</sub> | 59.27            | 66.67                       | 21.17                            | 4.67                                | 20.62                            | 8.47                 | 8.28                 |
| 8.    | T <sub>8</sub>        | 61.65            | 64.00                       | 20.69                            | 5.75                                | 23.79                            | 8.43                 | 8.54                 |
| 9.    | T9                    | 61.37            | 61.67                       | 20.43                            | 4.19                                | 21.20                            | 9.38                 | 9.76                 |
| 10.   | T <sub>10</sub>       | 60.53            | 67.00                       | 19.34                            | 4.43                                | 18.10                            | 7.91                 | 7.75                 |
|       | C.D.at 5%             | NS               | NS                          | 2.83                             | 0.76                                | 4.09                             | 1.75                 | 2.13                 |

| SE(m)± | 0.83 | 1.08 | 0.94 | 0.26 | 1.36  | 0.58  | 0.71  |
|--------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|
| C.V.%  | 2.35 | 2.90 | 7.32 | 8.77 | 10.76 | 11.17 | 13.25 |

#### Conclusion

With the effective control of aphid and powdery mildew incidence, the yield of coriander can be greatly enhanced. Through this study, it is well witnessed that the control of aphids with the biocontrol agents Lecanicillium lecanii is more efficient than the chemical control and offers the advantage of avoiding the environmental pollution from the chemical insecticides. Though the treatment T5 was found effective in controlling the aphids, it doesn't offer a good control against the powdery mildew pathogen Erysiphe polygoni. The treatment T6 proved effective control against the powdery mildew disease as well as produced the highest seed yield ha-1. Therefore, two foliar sprays of Lecanicillium lecanii + spray of Propiconazole (first spray) + spray of Carbendazim (second spray) could be an effective integrated pest and disease management strategy for controlling aphid and powdery mildew disease in coriander.

#### References

- Chaudhary HR, Ali M, Verma P, Ram B, Jadon C. Management of Coriander Aphid (*Hyadaphis Coriandri* Das) under Soybean – Coriander Cropping System. International J Seed Spices 2015;5:98-99.
- 2. Deshmukh NJ, Deokar CD, Kushare TD. Efficacy of Fungicides against Powdery Mildew of Pea Caused by *Erysiphe polygoni* DC. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 2018;7(5):1210-13.
- Goswami GJ, Akbari LF, Khunt AR. Management of Powdery Mildew (*Erysiphe polygoni* DC) in Coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.). International Journal of Chemical Studies 2018;6(2):1301–4.
- 4. Jain PC, Yadava CPS. Incidence of insect pests and their control and coriander. India cocoa Arecanut and Spice Journal 1989;13(2):61-62.
- Khunt AR, Akbari LF, Goswami GJ, Vamja AS. Efficacy of Various Fungicides for the Management of Cumin Powdery Mildew Caused by *Erysiphe Polygoni* DC. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 2017;6(4):1218-23.
- 6. Meena KA Bio-Efficacy of Some Newer Insecticides against Insect Pests of Cabbage. Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies 2018;6(5):1102-6.
- Meena PC, Sharma JK, Noor A.. Varietal reaction of coriander (*Coriandrum sativum* L.) and impact of date of sowing on incidence of aphid (*Hyadaphis coriander* Das). Indian Journal Entomology 2002;64(1):58-62.
- 8. NHB. Area and Production of Horticulture Crops: All India. Second advanced estimates. National horticulture board, Gurgaon Haryana, India 2021.
- Prajapati BG, Patel HB, Amin AU. Bio-Efficacy of Newer Molecules of Insecticides against Cumin Aphid. International Journal of Seed Spices 2019;9:64-71.
- Ushamalini C, S Nakkeeran. Studies on Management of Powdery Mildew in Coriander Using New Generation Fungicides, Journal of Spices and Aromatic Crops 2017;26(1):59-62.

https://doi.org/10.25081/josac.2017.v26.i1.810.

11. Statista, 2021. Available at https://www.statista.com/statistics/870969/corianderprod uction-

bystateindia/#:~:text=Estimated%20production%20volu

me%20of%20coriander%20seed%20India%20FY%2020 20%2C%20by%20state&text=Madhya%20Pradesh%20p roduced%20the%20largest,area%20of%20628%20thousa nd%20hectares

 Trinh, Duy Nam, Thi Kim, Lien Ha, Dewen Qiu. Biocontrol Potential of Some Entomopathogenic Fungal Strains Against Bean Aphid *Megoura Japonica*. Agriculture, 2020;10:114. https://doi:10.3390/agriculture10040114