

E-ISSN: 2320-7078 P-ISSN: 2349-6800 www.entomoljournal.com

JEZS 2021; 9(2): 660-662 © 2021 JEZS Received: 03-01-2021 Accepted: 07-02-2021

Bishana Ram

M. Sc (Ag), Department of Entomology, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner Rajasthan, India

VS Acharya

Associate Professor, Department of Entomology, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

Renu Choudhary

Ph. D Scholar, Department of Entomology, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner, Rajasthan, India

Corresponding Author: Bishana Ram M. Sc (Ag), Department of Entomology, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University, Bikaner Rajasthan, India

Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies

Available online at www.entomoljournal.com



Effect of plant spacing on incidence of whitefly, Bemisia tabaci on Bt cotton

Bishana Ram, VS Acharya and Renu Choudhary

Abstract

The incidence of whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* on different plant spacing's i.e. 67.5 cm \times 105 cm, 67.5 cm \times 90 cm, 67.5 cm \times 67.5 cm \times 45 cm and 67.5 cm \times 30 cm was studied. The maximum populations of whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* was noticed in the plant spacing of 67.5 cm \times 30 cm followed by 67.5 cm \times 45 cm. Whereas, minimum population was noticed in plant spacing 67.5 cm \times 105 cm followed by 67.5 cm \times 90 cm. The maximum seed cotton yield was reported from the plots having spacing of 67.5 cm \times 67.5 cm.

Keywords: Plant spacing, whitefly, Bemisia tabaci and Bt cotton

Introduction

Cotton is one of the world oldest crop and has been in cultivation in India for more than five thousand years. India has the unique distinction of being the only country in the world to cultivate all four cultivable Gossypium species. Cotton in India has registered impressive growth both in productivity and production through advent of hybrid technology and transgenic contributing 36 per cent of world's cotton area and 26 per cent of world's cotton production. But the average national productivity is one of the lowest (560kg lint /ha) against the world's average of 788kg lint /ha and is much below other countries viz., Australia (1781kg lint/ha), China (1719 lint/ha) and Brazil (1522kg lint/ha)^[3]. In the current scenario, with Indian exports gaining importance, there is a need to reorient research efforts to enhance the production from the current 340.5-lakh bales to 1000 lakh bales by 2030 in a sustainable manner through precision strategic planning in order to meet the market demand ^[3]. In India, majority of the cotton production comes from nine major cotton-growing states. Insect pest are one of the major limiting factors in the cotton production. About, 1300 species of insects had been reported on cotton worldwide [9]. In India 162 insects and acarine species have been reported to infest cotton resulting in 50-60 per cent yield loss ^[7]. Among the arthropod pests, sucking insect viz., jassids, Amrasca biguttula biguttula (Ishida); whiteflies, Bemisia tabaci (Genn.); aphids, Aphis gossypii (Glover) and thrips, Thrips tabaci (Linn.) are the serious pests and cause losses in tune of 21.20 to 22.86 per cent ^[5, 11]. Adoption of Bt cotton has not only changed the cultivation profile, but also the pest scenario. While there is a decline in the pest status of bollworms; the sap feeders, viz. aphids, jassids, mirids and mealy bugs are emerging as serious pests. While the direct effects of sucking pest during early season are visualized in terms of the poor crop stand and yield reduction, their late season attack especially aphids and white flies indirectly decreases cotton fibre quality due to deposits of honey dew on lint. In addition to lint contamination, whitefly transmits leaf curl disease. The incidence and development of these insect pests is very much dependent upon the prevailing environmental factors and crop stand. These insets multiply tremendously during the favorable weather conditions and take huge toll. The continuous and indiscriminate use of large quantities of synthetic insecticides, besides creating health hazards to human and animal life, as well as environmental population has also resulted in the crop failure in different parts of the world, outbreak of secondary pests and development of resistance against insecticides in large number of insects. In view of existing situation it is necessary prerequisite for developing effective pest management program to know the proper and appropriate plant spacing and ecological requirements, particularly weather factors like temperature, relative humidity and precipitation play the key role in multiplication and distribution of insect pests. The effect of these factors on the incidence and development of insect pests has given a great momentum to research approach.

Owing to lack of information, the present study has been initiated not only to study an overall population situation of sucking insect pests of cotton in different plant spacing, but also to sort out the exact relationship between pest populations. These aims are to help the entomologist to develop the best IPM strategy for the control of the notorious insect pests of cotton.

Materials and Methods

Present investigation on effect of plant spacing on incidence of whitefly, B. tabaci on Bt cotton were recorded at the Research farm College of Agriculture, Swami Keshwanand Rajasthan Agricultural University Bikaner, (Rajasthan). The experiment was laid out in a simple randomized block design and plant spacing's were considered as treatments. There were five such treatments and each replicated four times. The seeds of NCS-855 BG ll were sown in the plot size 6.0 x 4.05 m on 22th May in 2018. The recommended package of practices, except spraying of insecticides were followed for raising the crop (Anonymous, 2018). The populations of whitefly, B. tabaci were recorded on five randomly selected tagged plants in morning hours (Before 8 AM), when insects has minimum activity. The observations were recorded on whole plant in the initial stage and on three leaves two from upper, middle and lower portion of randomly selected and tagged plants. The population was counted by holding the base of leaves gently until the entire underside of leaf was clearly visible. Population was estimated with least disturbance at early hours of the day. From this, the average population per leaf was worked out. The data on whitefly population recorded at weekly interval from experimental plots were transformed into $\sqrt{x} + 0.5$ values and subjected to analysis of variance. Seed cotton yield per plot per kg was converted into per hectare and then subjected to statistical analysis.

Results and Discussion

The occurrence of adults of whitefly started in 26th standard week *i.e.* last week of June. Initially the population was very low but increased week after week and attained to its peak population in the 38th standard week which was third week of September then declined till the crop maturity (table 1.1). At the peak, the maximum population 66.49 adults/3 leaves were noticed in the plots having plant spacing 67.5 cm x 30 cm and the minimum population 39.08 adults/3 leaves of were noticed in the plots having plant spacing 67.5cm x 105 cm. On the basis of over all season mean of whitefly, it was indicated that maximum number of adults 29.78 adults/3 leaves were observed in the plots having plant spacing 67.5 cm x 30 cm followed by 67.5cm x 45 cm (27.61adults/3 leaves). Minimum population of adults 16.55 adults/3leaves were noticed in the plots having plant spacing 67.5cm x 105 cm followed by 67.5 cm x 90 cm(17.96 adults/3 leaves). These results are in conformity with those of Thakare et al. (1986) who found that closer spacing led to high population of whitefly, B. tabaci in cotton, Giri et al (1993) studied that the greater numbers of adults of whitefly, B. tabaci was observed in plots having 60 cm x 15 cm compared with 60cm x 30 cm spacing, Arif et al (2006) observed that the population of whitefly, B. tabaci effected by plant spacing and decreasing with the increase in plant spacing, Singh (2015) revealed that 67.5 cm x 75 cm plant spacing was most susceptible while, 67.5 cm x 105 cm plant spacing was least susceptible against whitefly, B. tabaci. The population of whitefly, B. tabaci was recorded significantly higher in the plant spacing 67.5 cm x 30 cm and significantly lower in 67.5 cm x 105 cm.

Table 1.1: Effect of plant spacing on incidence of whitefly, B. tabaci on Bt cotton during Kharif, 2018

Plant Spacing	Population / 3 leaves on different standard weeks									Maan											
(cm)	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	Mean
67.5×105	7.16	4.16	10.18	5.56	5.52	6.04	4.24	6.84	9.87	7.06	24.68	32.45	27.55	39.08	34.74	36.91	36.69	17.54	11.75	2.92	16.55
07.5 × 105	(2.77)*	(2.16)	(3.26)	(2.46)	(2.45)	(2.54)	(2.18)	(2.71)	(3.21)	(2.74)	(5.00)	(5.72)	(5.28)	(6.27)	(5.92)	(6.11)	(6.09)	(4.24)	(3.50)	(1.85)	
67.5×90	8.16	4.74	10.60	6.54	6.54	6.21	4.80	7.39	10.56	8.04	27.46	34.13	30.51	41.71	36.90	39.46	39.46	20.22	12.68	3.16	17.06
07.3 × 90	(2.92)	(2.29)	(3.33)	(2.64)	(2.65)	(2.59)	(2.30)	(2.81)	(3.32)	(2.92)	(5.28)	(5.87)	(5.56)	(6.49)	(6.10)	(6.30)	(6.30)	(4.54)	(3.63)	(1.91)	17.90
67.5×67.5	10.35 (3.29)	6.47	13.83	8.46	8.12	8.23	6.16	9.94	13.22	10.36	34.51	42.56	38.22	52.12	46.40	49.22	49.19	25.87	15.84	4.20	22.66
	(3.29)	(2.62)	(3.78)	(2.98)	(2.93)	(2.95)	(2.58)	(3.22)	(3.70)	(3.29)	(5.92)	(6.55)	(6.21)	(7.24)	(6.83)	(7.04)	(7.04)	(5.12)	(4.03)	(2.16)	22.00
67.5×45	12.35 (3.58)	7.79	17.83	10.48	9.71	9.66	7.55	10.97	15.86	13.35	42.17	51.53	46.68	63.03	55.77	59.86	61.23	32.15	19.04	5.13	27.61
07.5 × 45	(3.58)	(2.88)	(4.27)	(3.31)	(3.19)	(3.19)	(2.84)	(3.38)	(4.04)	(3.72)	(6.52)	(7.20)	(6.86)	(7.96)	(7.49)	(7.76)	(7.85)	(5.71)	(4.41)	(2.37)	27.01
67.5×30	14.11	8.91	20.64	11.49	10.42	10.10	8.41	11.62	17.46	15.24	46.86	55.23	50.29	66.49	58.78	62.59	65.66	35.32	20.49	5.61	29.78
07.3 × 30	(3.82)	(3.07)	(4.60)	(3.46)	(3.30)	(3.25)	(2.97)	(3.48)	(4.24)	(3.97)	(6.88)	(7.46)	(7.12)	(8.18)	(7.69)	(7.94)	(8.13)	(5.98)	(4.58)	(2.47)	
S.Em.±	0.13	0.08	0.12	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.17	0.20	0.19	0.23	0.21	0.22	0.22	0.16	0.12	0.06	
C.D.at 5 %	0.39	0.25	0.37	0.29	0.27	0.30	0.24	0.30	0.35	0.36	0.54	0.62	0.58	0.70	0.65	0.68	0.69	0.49	0.37	0.19	

* Figures in parenthesis are $\sqrt{x} + 0.5$ values

Effect of plant spacing on seed cotton yield

The data of seed cotton yields obtained from the treatments having different plant spacing revealed that maximum seed cotton yield 16.40 q ha⁻¹ (table 1.2) was obtained from the plots having plant spacing of 67.5 x 67.5 cm and the minimum seed cotton yield (10.85 q ha⁻¹) in the plots having 67.5 x 105 cm plant spacing followed by 67.5 x 90 cm (11.55 q ha⁻¹) and 67.5 x 45.0 cm (14.25 q ha⁻¹). However, these were at par and inferior to the other treatments. The seed cotton yields in other plants spacing 67.5 x 30 cm was (13.50 q ha⁻¹) The present results are in agreement to that of Acharya and Bhargawa (2008) who reported that maximum seed

cotton yield was observed in the treatment where plant spacing was 67.5 x 60cm and minimum seed cotton yield was obtained in the treatment where plant spacing was 67.5 x 100cm contrary to the present investigation, the maximum seed cotton yield was recorded in the plots having plant spacing of 0.675 x 0.30 m. However, significant higher seed cotton yield was obtained in the plots having plant spacing of 0.675 x 0.60 m which was comparable to that of 0.675 x 0.30 m spacing and minimum seed cotton yield was obtained in the plots having plant spacing of 1.00 x 0.60 m (Anonymous, 2001) support these findings.

Table 1.2: Effect of plant spacing on seed cotton yield	Table 1.2:	Effect of p	lant spacing	on seed cotto	on yield
---	------------	-------------	--------------	---------------	----------

S. No.	Plant Spacing (cm)	Yield (q ha ⁻¹)
1	67.5×105.0	10.85
2	67.5×90.0	11.55
3	67.5×67.5	16.40
4	67.5×45.0	14.25
5	67.5×30.0	13.50
	S.Em.±	0.45
	C.D.at 5 %	1.38

Conclusion

The incidence of whitefly, *B. tabaci* on different plant spacing i.e. 67.5 cm ×105 cm, 67.5 cm × 90 cm, 67.5 cm × 67.5 cm, 67.5 cm × 45 cm and 67.5 cm × 30 cm was studied. The maximum population of whitefly, *B. tabaci* was noticed in the plant spacing of 67.5 cm × 30 cm followed by 67.5 cm × 45 cm. Whereas, minimum population of whitefly was noticed in plant spacing 67.5 cm × 105 cm followed by 67.5 cm × 90 cm. The maximum seed cotton yield was reported from the plots having plant spacing of 67.5 cm × 67.5 cm. The minimum seed cotton yield was recorded from the plots having plant spacing of 67.5 cm × 105 cm.

References

- 1. Acharya VS, Bhargava MC. Effect of plant spacing on incidence of whitefly, *B. tabaci* (Gennadius) on cotton. Journal of Insect Science 2008;21(3):227-232.
- 2. Anonymous. Project report entitled "Control of leaf curl virus disease in cotton and development of protocols for man multiplication of predators, parasites and insect pathogen (PSR-26)" National Agricultural Technology Project, Central Institute for cotton Research, Nagpur 2001.
- Anonymous. Annual Report of ICAR- All India Coordinated Cotton Improvement Project, 2016-17. Published by CICR Regional Station, Coimbatore, India 2016.
- 4. Arif MJ, Golgi MD, Mirza M, Zia K, Hafeez K. Impact of plant spacing and weather parameters on incidence of major sucking pest of cotton. Pakistan Journal of Biological Science 2006;9:1364-1369.
- 5. Dhawan AK, Sidhu AS, Simwat GS. Assessment of avoidable loss in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutam* and *Gossypium arboretum*) due to sucking pests and bollworms. India Journal of Agricultural Sciences 1988;58:290-292.
- Giri DG, Daware DG, Dahiphale VV. Population level of whitefly *B. tabaci* as influenced by different agronomic condition. Journal of Cotton Research and Development, 1993;7(1):168-169
- Jayaswal AP, Sundaramurlhy VT. Achievements in insect pest management in cotton. In: A. K. Basu and S. S. Narayallan (Eds.). Achivements of All India Coordinate Cotton Improvement Project. Silver jubilee (1967-1992) September 17-19, 1992, Nagpur. 1992, 117-151.
- Kranthi S, Kranthi KR, Rishi K, Udikeri SS, Rao GMVP, Zanwar PR *et al.* Emerging and key insect pests on Bt cotton-their identification, taxonomy, genetic diversity and management. In *World Cotton Research Conference-5*, Mumbai, India, 7-11 November Excel India Publishers 2011, 281-286.
- 9. Mathews GA, Tunstall JP. (eds) Insect pests of cotton. CAB International 1994, 393.

- 10. Sakimura K. Frankliniella fusca, an additional vector for the tomato spotted wilt virus, with notes on *Thrips tabaci*, another vector. *Phytopathoology* 1963;53:412-415.
- 11. Satpute US, Patil VN, Katole SR, Men UB, Bhagwat VR, Thakare AY. Avoidable losses due to sucking pests and bollworms in cotton. Journal of Applied Zoological Research 1990;1:67-72.
- 12. Singh H. Impact of weather parameters and plant spacing on population dynamics of sucking pests of cotton in south western Punjab. Journal of Agricultural Physics, 2015;15:167-174.
- 13. Thakare HS, Gawande RB, Satpute VS, Saroda DB, Aherkra SK. Problem of whitefly on cotton in Vidarha Region. Paper presented in the seminar on "Problem of whitefly on cotton" held at College of Agriculture, Puneon 1986.