



E-ISSN: 2320-7078

P-ISSN: 2349-6800

[www.entomoljournal.com](http://www.entomoljournal.com)

JEZS 2020; 8(6): 663-666

© 2020 JEZS

Received: 24-09-2020

Accepted: 27-10-2020

**PS Pramanik**

Department of Livestock  
Production Management, College  
of Veterinary Science & Animal  
Husbandry, A.N.D.U.A.T.  
Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj),  
Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Praveen Kumar Gupta**

Department of Animal  
Nutrition, College of Veterinary  
Science & Animal Husbandry,  
U.P. Pandit Deen Dayal  
Upadhyaya Pashu-Chikitsa  
Vigyan Vishwavidyalaya Evam  
Go Anusandhan Sansthan,  
Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, India

**SN Giri**

Department of Livestock  
Production Management, College  
of Veterinary Science & Animal  
Husbandry, A.N.D.U.A.T.  
Narendra Nagar (Kumarganj),  
Ayodhya, Uttar Pradesh, India

**Corresponding Author:****Praveen Kumar Gupta**

Department of Animal  
Nutrition, College of Veterinary  
Science & Animal Husbandry,  
U.P. Pandit Deen Dayal  
Upadhyaya Pashu-Chikitsa  
Vigyan Vishwavidyalaya Evam  
Go Anusandhan Sansthan,  
Mathura, Uttar Pradesh, India

## Rank correlation among temperament scores, body condition scores, and milking behavioral traits in Murrah buffaloes

**PS Pramanik, Praveen Kumar Gupta and SN Giri**

**Abstract**

The present study was conducted on temperament and body condition scores and their relationship with milking behaviour traits in dairy buffaloes at institutional farm. The 32 freshly calved Murrah buffalo cows along with their calves were observed separately for different traits on different days from date 1 to day 130 of lactation. Maximum proportion of buffaloes were docile temperament (T1) followed by restless (TIII) slightly restless (TII) aggressive (T-V) and nervous T-IV category. Body condition score (BCS-III) was recorded in most of the buffaloes followed by BCS-IV and II and I. MLT show a fair amount of variability over days, seasons, milkers and parity. T. Score had significant effect on MLT and mostly T-IV had higher mean MLT followed by T-V buffaloes. During initial lactation period BCS-I had slightly higher MLT where as in post peak period, BCS-III had either maximum or near maximum mean MLT followed by BCS-V. Parity calving season, milker and T. score had lesser influence on TMT. BCS-II and III had lower TMT than BCS-I and V. Parity milking time, T. score and BCS had significant effect on MY/milking whereas calving season and milker had no significant effect on MY/milking on most of the days studied. Highest yield was obtained in IV parity followed by III, II and I. In general T1 and V yielded more milk yield per milking than others. It was highest in BCS-V and lowest in BCS I on most of the days observed. The effect of parity, T. score and BCS were significant on MFR. Maximum MFR was mostly obtained in the IV parity. Buffaloes of T1 had consistently higher MFR followed by T-II buffaloes and BCS-I had consistently lesser and BCS-III had highest MFR. BCS and T1 were negatively correlated, while BCS was positively and significantly correlated with TMT and MY/milking and MFR but MLT had very low positive correlation with BCS. T1 was significantly negatively correlated with MY/milking and MFR mostly. MLT and MFR were negatively correlated, MLT had low positive correlation with TMT and MY/ milking. MY/milking was positively correlated with MFR.

**Keywords:** Murrah, B.C.S., temperament score, MLT, MY, MFR

**Introduction**

The dairy industry believes that contented cows give more milk, however little scientific reassessment has been devoted to the relationship between a cow's temperament and milk yield. Research on body condition score of dairy cows has largely concerned with the impact of BCS at calving on performance in the first half of the subsequent lactation. Considering the need for detailed studies on temperament and BCS of buffaloes and the association of these traits with other characters of importance like milking behavioural traits, the present study was undertaken.

**Material and Methods**

The present study was carried out on 32 freshly calved Murrah buffaloes maintained at the institute. The milking behavioural traits like milk let down time, total milking time, milk yield per milking and average milk flow rate were recorded twice daily till under 130 days of lactation. Temperament score was done as per the technique suggested by Tulloh (1961) [1]. Each buffalo was rated 36 times at morning and evening during the study. The temperament index (TI) was constructed as,  $TI = \text{No of leg lifts} + (2 \times \text{No of kicks})$ , Arave and Kilgour (1982) [2]. Body condition score (BCS) of buffaloes was performed according to Prasad (1994) [3]. The buffalo comes under TI (docile) 10, T2 (slightly restless) 5, T3 (restless) 8, T4 (nervous) 4 and T5 (aggressive) 5. The no of buffaloes following under different categories of BCS were: BCS-I(4), BCS-II(5), BCS-III(11), BCS-4(7) and BCS-5(5). The rank correlation was estimated according to Snedecor and Cochran (1989) [4].

The data on temperament index was transformed by square root transformation for computation of coefficients of correlation between TI and other traits.

**Birth weight of calves and other traits**

The rank correlation among birth weight of calves and other traits have been presented in table 1. There was no association between birth weights of calves and temperament score of their dams. However temperament indices of dam were mostly negatively correlated with birth weight of their calves though they were non significant. Body condition score of buffaloes had significant positive association with birth weight of their calves. Among the correlation with milking behavioural traits, the birth weight of calf had significant positive correlation with their dams milk yield per milking. However, it had no significant positive association with MLT,

TMT and MFR in most of the days under observation. In practical buffalo husbandry, calves are allowed to suckle their dam for let-down of milk. The present study examined a potential natural sucking behaviour of Buffalo calves in relation to their dam’s temperament, body condition score and milking behavioural traits. It was observed that the body condition of dam at calving and birth weight of calf were highly positively correlated, however birth weight of calf was negatively associated with temperament index of dam. This was also corroborated by the negative association between body condition and temperament index of dam. Among milking behavioural traits milk yield per milking and average milk flow rate were highly positively correlated with birth weight of calf though total milking time and milk let down time were weakly associated with calf’s birth weight.

**Table 1:** Rank correlation among birth weights of calf’s with temperament and body condition score during different days of lactation

Traits/Days		1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130	
TS	M	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
	E	0	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
BCS	M	.57**	.57**	.57**	.57**	.57**	.57**	.57**	.57**	.57**	.54**	.54**	.54**	.54**	.54**	.54**	.54**	.54**	.54**	.54**
	E	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.57	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.54	0.54
TI	M	-0.21	-0.12	0.07	-0.11	-0.07	0.01	-0.12	0.06	-0.21	-0.02	-0.24	-0.11	-0.09	0.1	-0.03	-0.21	0.14	0.16	0.16
	E	-0.18	-0.13	-0.01	-0.13	-0.2	-0.05	-0.31	-0.03	-0.23	0.01	-0.09	-0.29	0.12	-0.26	.00	-0.15	-0.04	0.34	0.34
MLT	M	-0.06	0.15	-0.09	-0.02	0.12	-0.11	0.13	0.33	0.17	0.18	0.2	0.03	0.17	0.1	0.05	0.04	0.1	-0.05	-0.05
	E	-0.06	0.21	0.1	0.06	0.27	0.02	0.05	-0.02	0.13	0.24	0.26	0.23	0.23	0.2	0.03	0.11	0.18	0.06	0.06
TMT	M	.39*	0.12	0.28	0.15	0.22	.46**	0.16	0.15	-0.03	0.15	-0.04	0.13	0.14	0.2	0.29	0.04	0.26	0.01	0.01
	E	0.06	0.19	0.07	0.31	0.3	.36*	0.13	0.25	0.18	.39*	0	0.34	0.23	0.08	0.13	0.23	0.23	0.28	0.28
MY	M	.54**	.42*	.42*	.38*	.49**	.53**	0.34	0.25	0.19	0.23	0.25	.43*	.37*	.41*	0.32	.47**	.51**	0.31	0.31
	E	.45**	.49**	.39*	.64**	.42*	.38*	0.23	0.32	0.23	0.27	0.16	.36*	.37*	0.28	0.33	.37*	.40*	.50**	.50**
MFR	M	.40*	.35*	0.25	0.34	.41*	0.25	0.25	0.22	0.19	0.22	.36*	.38*	0.34	0.28	0.24	.45*	.42*	.41*	.41*
	E	.44*	0.26	0.23	.42*	.50**	0.09	0.23	0.12	0.09	-0.03	0.25	-0.01	0.12	0.3	.35*	0.12	0.1	0.15	0.15

\*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01; N=3 \*\*2 for each trait under each day

**Temperament score**

Temperament score had significantly (P<0.01) positive correlation with temperament index table 2, however, it was lowly associated with body condition score. Milk let down time was also weakly correlated with temperament score in initial five days of milking but this relationship changed and was significantly correlated (positively) with temperament score on most of the subsequent days. Total milking time was also positively but non significantly associated with

temperament score on most of the days. Milk yield per milking and MFR were mostly negatively correlated (non significant) with temperament score.

The buffaloes of higher degrees of temperament score had higher temperament indices and had higher milk let down time but lower milk yield per milking and average milk flow rate indicating poor milking characteristics of high temperament score buffaloes.

**Table 2:** Rank correlation among temperament score of buffaloes with body condition score during different days of lactation

Traits/Days		1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130	
BCS	M	.06	.06	.06	.06	.06	.06	.06	.06	.06	.03	.03	.03	.03	.03	.03	.03	.03	.03	.03
	E	.06	.06	.06	.06	.06	.06	.06	.06	.06	.03	.03	.03	-.02	.03	.03	.03	.03	.03	.03
TI	M	.66**	.66**	.57**	.60**	.67**	.54**	.58**	.45**	.57**	.55**	.43*	.62**	.44*	.52**	.69**	.36*	.52**	.52**	.52**
	E	.55**	.70**	.71**	.60**	.50**	.67**	.62**	.43*	.59**	.68**	.58**	.38*	.53**	.76**	.64**	.39*	.46**	.46**	.36*
MLT	M	.00	-.03	-.06	-.16	.06	.36*	.26	.44**	.48**	.33	.33	.40*	.42*	.51**	.55**	.57**	.50**	.51**	.51**
	E	.01	-.09	-.22	-.05	.17	.15	.32	.53**	.52**	.42**	.30	.34	.25	.33	.49**	.54**	.50**	.50**	.53**
TMT	M	.09	.03	.35*	.33	.41*	.11	.40*	.22	.16	-.10	.02	-.10	-.16	.01	-.09	-.09	-.08	.10	.10
	E	-.01	.20	.19	.16	.42*	.22	.20	.09	.21	.00	-.04	-.04	.12	.20	.07	.22	.31	.13	.13
MY	M	.02	-.11	.13	.12	.07	-.08	-.08	-.05	-.08	-.15	-.13	-.18	-.23	-.35*	-.24	-.33	-.22	-.26	-.26
	E	-.02	.01	.02	.05	.11	.08	.08	.05	-.05	-.11	-.12	-.15	-.18	-.04	-.16	-.18	-.12	.00	.00
MFR	M	-.08	-.12	-.14	-.22	-.24	-.27	-.36*	-.26	-.17	-.03	-.16	-.14	-.15	-.29	-.08	-.23	-.20	-.22	-.22
	E	-.01	-.13	-.14	-.06	-.27	-.24	-.18	-.14	-.23	-.09	-.06	-.03	-.29	-.30	-.33	-.31	-.35	-.05	-.05

\*P<0.05, \*\*P<0.01; N=3 \*\*2 for each trait under each day

**Body condition score (BCS)**

The body condition score of lactating buffalo and its temperament index (TI) was negatively correlated on most of the days, though correlation was not significant in all the days

under investigation table 3. There correlation of body condition score with milking behavioural traits were found to be positive and it was significant (P<0.01) with milk yield per milking on almost all the days under study.

The relationship between temperament index and milk let down time was positive and non significant on most of the days. Similarly total making time was also positively

correlated with TI on most of the study days. However, milk yield per milking and average milk flow rate were obviously negatively associated with temperament index table 4.13.

**Table 3:** Rank correlation among body condition score of buffaloes with temperament indices during different days of lactation

Traits/Days		1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130
TI	M	-0.27	-0.19	-0.22	-0.17	-0.05	0.04	-0.05	-0.12	-0.1	-0.14	-0	-0.06	-0.22	0.12	-0.03	-0	0.14	0.32
	E	-0.22	-0.23	0.11	-0.22	0	-0.12	-0.09	-0.18	-0.1	-0.01	0.07	-0.18	0.09	-0.11	0.01	-0.1	0.17	0.34
MLT	M	0.05	0.22	0.19	0.1	0.22	0.03	0.11	0.27	0.28	.36*	.35*	0.16	0.3	0.1	0.25	0.16	0.14	0.08
	E	-0.02	0.19	0.08	0.13	0.24	0.11	0.1	0.02	0.3	0.26	0.33	0.21	0.28	0.22	0.21	0.2	0.2	0.13
TMT	M	0.11	0.18	0.1	0.16	0.26	.49**	0.29	0.24	0.22	0.31	0.28	0.27	.47**	0.32	.47**	0.23	0.33	0.21
	E	0.19	0.31	0.18	.44**	.37*	.54**	0.29	0.29	.42*	.40*	0.22	.40*	.54**	.37*	0.31	0.2	0.23	0.24
MY	M	0.27	.37*	.45**	.40*	.52**	.63**	.55**	.50**	.43*	.47**	.42*	.52**	.49**	.50**	.47**	0.34	.46**	0.34
	E	.48**	.49**	.36*	.59**	.49**	.49**	.53**	.50**	.37*	.47**	.40*	.49**	.49**	.59**	.51**	.41*	.46**	.52**
MFR	M	0.3	0.15	.36*	0.28	0.28	0.32	.36*	.40*	0.22	0.08	0.34	0.32	0.16	0.33	0.14	0.28	.35*	0.26
	E	0.24	0.14	0.27	0.19	0.25	0.04	.35*	0.23	0.01	0.16	0.23	0.07	-0.1	0.29	.38*	0.19	0.2	0.23

\* $P < 0.05$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ ;  $N = 3 \times 2$  for each trait under each day

**Milk let down time (MLT)**

During most of the days under study milk let down time was

positively associated with total milking time, milk yield per milking and average milk flow rate.

**Table 4:** Rank correlation among temperament indices with other milking behavioural traits during different days of lactation

Traits/Days		1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130
MLT	M	-0	-0	-0	-0.1	0.14	0.02	-0	0.06	.36*	-0	0.06	0.12	0.22	0.2	.48**	0.05	0.26	0.22
	E	0.14	-0.2	-0.30	-0	-0.1	-0.18	0.11	0.2	-0	0.18	-0.1	0.14	0.07	0.12	0.33	0.24	0.23	.37*
TMT	M	0.12	0.12	0.32	0.05	0.22	0.05	0.09	-0.1	0.16	0.19	0.25	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.06	-0.1	0.07	-0.1
	E	0.05	0.06	0.26	0.01	0.12	0.2	0.11	-0.1	0.06	-0.1	-0.1	0.14	-0	0.12	0.05	-0.1	0.05	0.05
MY	M	-0.2	-0.3	-0.1	-0.3	-0.1	-0.16	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-.36*	-0.1	-0.27	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1
	E	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.2	-.35*	-0.2	-0.3	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-.43*	-0.3	-0	0.03
MFR	M	-.39*	-0.3	-0.3	-.44*	-0.2	-.43*	-0.3	-0.2	-0.2	-.37*	-.37*	-0	-0.2	0.18	-0.18	-0.3	-0.2	0.01
	E	-0.2	-.36*	-.43*	-0.2	-0.3	-.51**	-0.3	-0.1	-.39*	-0.1	-0.1	0.14	-0.2	-0.3	-.55**	-0.1	0	-0.1

\* $P < 0.05$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ ;  $N = 3 \times 2$  for each trait under each day

**Total milking time (TMT)**

The positive significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) association between total milking time and milk yield per milking was observed.

However, average milk flow rate was negatively associated with TMT.

**Table 5:** Rank correlation among milk let down time with other milking behavioural traits during different days of lactation

Traits/Days		1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130
TMT	M	-0.3	0.01	-.37*	-0.2	0.1	0.1	0.04	0.15	0.31	0.19	0.34	0.33	0.03	-0	-0	-.35*	0.18	0.14
	E	-0.1	0.13	-0.2	-0.2	0.1	0	0.2	0.22	.41*	.46**	0.28	0.28	.39*	0.33	0.32	.36*	0.24	0.18
MY	M	-0.3	-0	-0.1	0.01	0.1	0.1	0.33	0.15	.40*	.41*	0.28	0.16	0.12	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0	0.05
	E	-0.3	-0.1	0.01	-0	0.1	0.3	0.27	.37*	0.23	0.25	0.37	0.1	.56**	0	0	-0.1	0.1	0
MFR	M	-0.1	0.05	0.15	0.27	0.2	0.1	0.21	-0	0.16	.38*	-0	-0.2	0.17	-0.1	-0.1	0.04	-0.2	-0
	E	-0.1	0.06	0.16	0.17	0.1	0.2	-0.1	0.14	-0.2	-0.22	0.08	-0.2	0.04	-0.3	-0.3	-.38*	-0.2	-0.2

\* $P < 0.05$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ ;  $N = 3 \times 2$  for each trait under each day

**Milk yield per milking (MY)**

The association between milk yield per milking and average

milk flow rate was highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) positively in most of the days under study.

**Table 6:** Rank correlation among total milking time with other milking behavioural traits during different days of lactation

Traits/Days		1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130
MY	M	.59**	.48*	.60**	.57**	.56**	.77**	.39*	.63**	.65**	.66**	.67**	.64**	.73**	.53**	.50**	.47**	.58**	0.22
	E	.36**	.38*	.51**	.62**	.62**	.57**	.58**	.71**	.60**	.71**	.64**	.57**	.54**	.42*	.55**	.47**	.40*	.49*
MFR	M	0.03	-0.26	-0.22	-0.25	-0.2	-0.17	-.37*	-0.11	-.36*	-0.32	-0.16	-.38*	-0.02	-0.21	-0.22	-0.15	0.03	-.41*
	E	-0.27	-.50**	-.50**	-0.31	-0.28	-.53**	-0.3	-.39*	-.51**	-.34	-.35*	-.41*	-.47**	-0.32	-0.14	-.56**	-.56**	-.37*

\* $P < 0.05$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ ;  $N = 3 \times 2$  for each trait under each day

**Average milk flow rate (MFR)**

The average milk flow rate of buffalo was positively

correlated with its calf activities at the suckling time.

**Table 7:** Rank correlation among milk yield per milking with average milk flow rate during different days of lactation

Traits/Days	1	2	3	4	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100	110	120	130	
MFR	M	.76**	.62**	.54**	.55**	.57**	.44*	.62**	.64**	.39*	.40*	.54**	.38*	.63**	.65**	.67**	.75**	.79**	.72**
	E	.69**	.49**	.38*	.50**	.46**	0.3	.48**	0.3	0.3	.44*	.40*	.38*	.63**	.68**	.40*	.46**	.56**	

\* $P < 0.05$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ ;  $N = 3 \times 2$  for each trait under each day

### Results & discussion

Body condition score (BCS) were negatively correlated with temperament indices (TI) indicating that buffaloes with good body condition show less kicking and less lifting during milking time. BCS was positively and significantly correlated with TMT, MY/ milking and MFR but low positive correlation was observed between BCS and MLT. These indicated that buffaloes with good BCS would in general better milking behavioural traits. Low positive correlation was observed between TI with MLT and TMT, however TI was significantly and negatively correlated with MY/milking and MFR on most of the days under study indicating difficulty in handling of animals high in temperament during milking. MLT had Low positive correlation with TMT and MY/milking whereas it was negatively correlated with MFR. The buffaloes which had more let down time, had also more milking time because of low milk flow rate. However due to more milking time there yield was comparatively more. TMT was highly positively correlated with MFR. MY/milking was positively correlated with average milk flow rate.

It was observed that birth weight of calf was highly positively correlated with BCS of its dam at calving but negatively correlated with TI of dam. Among milking behavioural traits, MY/milking and MFR were highly positively correlated with birth weight of calf; however TMT and MLT were weakly associated with calf's birth weight.

### References

1. Tulloh NM. Behaviour of cattle in yards. A study of temperament. *Animal Behaviour* 1961;9(1-2):25-30.
2. Arave CW, Kilgour R. Differences in grazing and milking behaviour in high and low breeding index cows. *Proc. Newzealand. Soc. Anim. Prod* 1982;42:65-67.
3. Prasad S. Studies on body condition scoring and feeding management in relation to production performance of crossbred dairy cattle. Ph.D. thesis submitted to N.D.R.I., Karnal, India 1994.
4. Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. *Statistical Methods*. 8<sup>th</sup> Edition, Iowa State University Press, Ames 1989.