

E-ISSN: 2320-7078 P-ISSN: 2349-6800 www.entomoljournal.com JEZS 2020; 8(5): 2108-2110 © 2020 JEZS

Received: 04-07-2020 Accepted: 08-08-2020

Juria Machida

Undergraduate Student, Department of Sustainable Agriculture, College of Agriculture, Food and **Environmental Sciences**, Rakuno Gakuen University, Ebetsu, Hokkaido, Japan

Kengo Nakahira

Associate Professor, Department of Sustainable Agriculture, College of Agriculture, Food and **Environmental Sciences**, Rakuno Gakuen University, Ebetsu, Hokkaido, Japan

Corresponding Author: Kengo Nakahira

Associate Professor, Department of Sustainable Agriculture, College of Agriculture, Food and **Environmental Sciences**, Rakuno Gakuen University, Ebetsu, Hokkaido, Japan

Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies

Available online at www.entomoljournal.com



Insecticidal effect of high concentrations of spreader and adjuvant on cotton aphid Aphis gossypii Glover (Homoptera: Aphididae)

Juria Machida and Kengo Nakahira

Abstract

The cotton aphid (Aphis gossypii Glover) (Homoptera: Aphididae) is an important insect pest worldwide because it infests a wide variety of crops and develops insecticide resistance. Spiracle-blocking insecticides are often effective against aphids that have developed insecticide resistance. In this study, the insecticidal effects of a spreader, Mairino® and an adjuvant, Approach® BI, on A. gossypii were investigated to search for new spiracle-blocking insecticides. We used the standard, and concentrations of the products and found highly significantly corrected mortality rates at 10× dilution of the spreader and $10\times$, and $100\times$ dilutions of the adjuvant. Specifically, the adjuvant had a highly significant effect on the mortality rate of A. gossypii, and since it did not show phytotoxicity, it might be practical for use as an insecticide. However, to utilize spreaders and adjuvants as insecticides, it is necessary to study their phytotoxicity in more detail.

Keywords: Spreader, adjuvant, spiracle-blocking insecticide, Aphis gossypii

1. Introduction

The cotton aphid (Aphis gossypii Glover) (Homoptera: Aphididae) is an important insect pest worldwide because it infests a wide variety of crops and develops insecticide resistance ^[1-3]. Spiracle-blocking insecticides are often effective against aphids that have developed insecticide resistance ^[4, 5] and have fewer side effects on natural enemies of pests ^[6]. It is considered that resistance to spiracle-blocking insecticides is less likely to be developed than to other insecticides ^[4, 5]. Thus, they are often used in integrated pest management (IPM) programs in Japan^[6].

Spiracle-blocking insecticides kill insect pests by suffocation through physically blocking their spiracles with a surfactant or adhesive substance ^[4-6]. Since many agricultural spreaders and adjuvants exhibit surface-active and adhesive properties, they can be used as spiracle-blocking insecticides; and higher concentrations of the spreader and adjuvant should show greater insecticidal effects. In addition, depending on the concentration, agricultural spreaders and adjuvants are generally not phytotoxic.

To search for new spiracle-blocking insecticides, we investigated the insecticidal effects of a commonly available spreader (Mairino[®]) and an adjuvant (Approach[®] BI) on A. gossypii, using the standard and higher concentrations of the products. Their phytotoxicity at the various treatment concentrations was also examined.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Insect rearing

Following a simple breeding method for small pests ^[7], an A. gossypii population purchased from the Sumika Techno Service Corporation (Hyogo, Japan) was reared on sprouted broad bean (Vicia faba L.) as the host plant. The budded broad beans were inoculated with aphids and transferred to round (12 cm diameter \times 10 cm high) or rectangular (20 cm long \times 15 cm wide \times 8 cm high) lidded rearing containers. To ensure optimal air circulation in the containers, there was an 11 cm diameter cutout in the lid of the circular breeding container and a 19×14 cm cutout in the lid of the rectangular container. A fine plastic mesh was sandwiched between the lids and the containers. Vermiculite, moistened with water, was placed in the rearing containers to maintain humidity. The rearing was conducted in an incubator at 20° C with 16 h light and 8 h dark periods per day.

2.2 Experimental procedure

In this experiment, Mairino® (Nihon Nohyaku Co., Ltd.) with 27% polyalkylene glycol alkyl ether as the active ingredient was used as the commonly available spreader, and Approach® (Maruwa Biochemical Co., Ltd.) with BI 50% polyoxyethylene hexitane fatty acid ester as the active ingredient, were used as the commonly available adjuvant. The spreader was tested at 3 concentrations: $10\times$, $100\times$, and 1000× dilutions. The adjuvant was tested at 4 concentrations: $10\times$, $100\times$, $1000\times$, and $10000\times$ dilutions. Distilled water was used as the control treatment. The cotton aphids were classified into 5 stages of 1^{st} to 4^{th} instar larvae and adult ^[8]. The aphids were counted, and the sprouting broad bean plants (with the aphids attached) were dipped into the appropriate treatment concentration of the spreader or adjuvant for 5 seconds. The treated sprouting broad beans with the aphids were maintained in circular plastic cases (10 cm diameter $\times 4$ cm high) in an incubator at 20° C with 16 h light and 8 h dark periods per day. The mortality of the cotton aphids was recorded 24 h after treatment. At 24 h, an aphid that did not respond to touch with a fine brush was counted as dead. In addition, the sprouting broad beans were observed to determine the phytotoxicity of each treatment concentration. The corrected mortality rate for each concentration at each developmental stage was calculated using Abbott's formula [9]

3. Results and Discussion

Higher corrected mortality rates at all developmental stages of *A. gossypii* was observed at higher concentrations of the spreader, Mairino[®] (Table 1). At the standard concentration of

1000× dilution, the corrected mortality rate ranged between 0% to 2.3%. Even at $100 \times$ dilution, the corrected mortality rate was only 0% to 7.5%; therefore, these concentrations were considered to have no significant lethal effect on A. gossypii. Whereas, at 10× dilution, the corrected mortality rate ranged from 13.0% to 63% depending on the developmental stage, confirming a lethal effect; however, the high corrected mortality rate of 63% was observed only in the first instar larvae. Therefore, multiple sprays might be needed to suppress this aphid. Other studies have also reported the need for multiple sprays of spiracle-blocking insecticides ^[10]. Higher corrected mortality rates at all developmental stages of A. gossypii were also observed at higher concentrations of the adjuvant, Approach® BI (Table 1). The corrected mortality rate was 0% at 10000× dilution that is the standard dilution for this adjuvant; at 1000× dilution, it was 0% to 14.3%; therefore, these 2 concentrations did not have a significantly lethal effect, however, at 100× dilution, the corrected mortality rate was 83.8% to 98.0%, and at 10× dilution, it was 100% in all developmental stages. Therefore, the 100× and 10× dilutions were considered to have a significant insecticidal effect on A. gossypii. Using the adjuvant at higher concentrations may be costly; therefore, it might be more economical to apply multiple sprays at 100× dilution.

The phytotoxicity of the spreader at each concentration was observed. We found that at $10 \times$ and $100 \times$ dilution of the spreader, the tips of the broad bean buds were blackened. Phytotoxicity was not observed with the adjuvant, but has been observed with other spiracle-blocking insecticides and may vary depending on species, growth stage, and climatic conditions ^[10].

Treatment	Concentration (dilution)	Corrected mortality (%) at each developmental stage*				
		Larval instar stage				A .]]4
		First	Second	Third	Fourth	Adult
Mairino®	10	63.3(38)	22.2(144)	26.6(104)	13.0(46)	18.1(72)
	100	7.5(44)	1.5(43)	0(51)	2.6(20)	3.2(31)
	1000	0(34)	0(30)	0(35)	0(23)	2.3(44)
Approach BI®	10	100(43)	100(91)	100(148)	100(44)	100(46)
	100	96.7(32)	97.0(68)	98.0(51)	87.9(17)	83.8(37)
	1000	14.3(35)	10.2(64)	9.1(75)	0(27)	6.1(33)
	10000	0(62)	0(53)	0(32)	0(21)	0(48)
Control	-	6.7(30)	0.9(116)	1.7(58)	2.5(40)	0(53)

Table 1: Corrected mortality of cotton aphid (Aphis gossypii) at different concentrations of spreader Mairino® and adjuvant Approach BI®

*Numbers in parenthesis indicate number of aphid tested in different treatments of concentrations and developmental stages.

4. Conclusion

In this study, we investigated the insecticidal effect of the spreader, Mairino[®] and the adjuvant, Approach[®] BI, on *A. gossypii*. We found high corrected mortality rates at $10 \times$ dilution of the spreader and at $10 \times$ and $100 \times$ dilution of the adjuvant. Specifically, the adjuvant had a highly significant lethal effect on the mortality rate of *A. gossypii*, and no phytotoxicity was observed; therefore, it may be practical for use as an insecticide against *A. gossypii*. However, phytotoxicity was observed with the spreader at $10 \times$ dilution. Although no phytotoxicity was observed with the spreader at $10 \times$ dilution. Although and phytotoxicity was insecticides against *A. gossypii*, it is necessary to investigate their phytotoxicity to a wide range of crops.

5. References

- 1. Hama H, Ando S, Hosoda A, Suzuki K, Takagi Y. Insecticide resistance in cotton aphid, *Aphis Gossypii* Glover (Homoptera: Aphididae) IV. Susceptibility of four clones separated from vivipara of field populations to various insecticides. Japanese Journal of Applied Entomology and Zoology 1995;39:117-125.
- 2. Herron GA, Powis K, Rophail J. Insecticide resistance in *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Hemiptera: Aphididae), a serious threat to Australian cotton. Australian Journal of Entomology 2001;40:85-91.
- 3. Saito T. Insecticide resistance of the cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Homoptera: Aphididae). IV. Laboratory and field tests on development of organophosphorus resistance 1990;34:309-314.
- 4. Miyata M, Masuda T. Effects of some insecticides blocking spiracles of *Tetranychus urticae* and *Tetranychus kanzawai*. Annual Report of the Society of

Plant Protection of North Japan 2006;57:177-181.

- Miyata M, Masuda T. Effects of insecticides blocking spiracle for aphids and powdery mildew on strawberry. Annual Report of the Society of Plant Protection of North Japan 2006;57:182-184.
- Nakaishi K, Arakawa R. Effects of spiracle-blocking insecticides and microbial insecticides on the predator mirid bug, *Nesidiocoris tenuis* (Reuter) (Heteroptera: Miridae). Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences 2011; 14:991-995.
- 7. Murai T. Rearing method for clones of some aphids on tick bean, *Vicia faba*. Bulletin of the Shimane Agricultural Experiment Station 1991;25:78-82.
- 8. Inaizumi M, Takahashi S. Determination of instar of *Aphis gossypii* Glover. Japanese Journal of Entomology 1989;57:231-240.
- 9. Abbott WS. A method of computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. Journal of Economic Entomology 1925;18:265-267.
- 10. Sekine T. Effects of insecticides blocking spiracles to spider mite and influence to the effect of fungicide. Annual Report of the Society of Plant Protection of North Japan 2016;67:178-181.