



E-ISSN: 2320-7078

P-ISSN: 2349-6800

www.entomoljournal.com

JEZS 2020; 8(3): 1007-1011

© 2020 JEZS

Received: 25-03-2020

Accepted: 27-04-2020

Dr. VN Gautam

Subject Matter Specialist

Krishi Vigyan Kendra,
Balrampur, Indira Gandhi Krishi
Viswavidyalaya, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh, India**Dr. Shraddha Shrivastava**Department of Veterinary
Physiology and Biochemistry,
College of Veterinary Science and
Animal Husbandry,
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh,
India**Dr. GP Lakhani**Head of Department
Department of Livestock
Production and Management,
College of Veterinary Science and
Animal Husbandry,
Jabalpur, Madhya Pradesh,
India**Kuldeep Narayan Tripathi**IWMP Project Baikunthpur
Korea, Chhattisgarh, India**Corresponding Author:****Dr. VN Gautam**Subject Matter Specialist
Krishi Vigyan Kendra,
Balrampur, Indira Gandhi Krishi
Viswavidyalaya, Raipur,
Chhattisgarh, India

Emendation of performance in dairy animals using modified roof: A review

Dr. VN Gautam, Dr. Shraddha Shrivastava, Dr. GP Lakhani and Kuldeep Narayan Tripathi

Abstract

Climate change, particularly global warming, strongly affects production performance of farm animal's worldwide (Mote *et al.* 2014). Longer periods of high environmental temperature coupled with high relative humidity compromise the ability of the dairy animals to dissipate excess body heat (Marait *et al.*, 2009). Some common and effective methods employed to reduce heat stress in dairy animals are obtained through microclimate modifications, such as use of different roof materials, fans, sprinklers, misters, foggers etc. Housing design and the material used for the roof play an important role in the microclimate modification and reduction of radiant heat load inside the shed (Badino, 2007). A wide variety of roofing materials are available viz., thatch, clay tiles, wood, RCC, galvanized sheets, asbestos sheets, plastic sheets etc. The roofing materials for animal houses are chosen based on the properties like high reflectivity, low conductivity, low under-surface emissivity, correct roof profile (slope) and maximum practical height. Due to high capital investment in permanent animal housing structures, frequent replacement of roofing material may neither be technically feasible nor economically viable. Therefore, it would be beneficial to make appropriate roof modifications, such as mud plastering, thermal insulation, solar panels, polythene shed cloth, roof paints etc.

Keywords: Dairy animals, modified roof, RCC

Introduction

India is an agricultural country as it's more than two third of population live in rural areas and earn their livelihood from agriculture and allied sector. Livestock keeping is an integral part of Indian society, which not only helps in providing nutritional security to rural people, but also opens up employment opportunities to the masses. Climate change, particularly global warming, may strongly affect production performance of farm animals worldwide (Mote *et al.*, 2014). High yielding animals are more sensitive to heat stress (Singh and Upadhyay, 2009). Longer periods of high environmental temperature coupled with high relative humidity compromise the ability of the dairy animals to dissipate excess body heat (Marai *et al.*, 2009). The optimum range of temperature, humidity and Temperature Humidity Index (THI) for better performance of crossbred in subtropical region of India was found to be 19-26 °C, 52-66 % and 65-68, respectively (Mote *et al.*, 2014).

Some common and effective methods employed to reduce heat stress in dairy animals are obtained through microclimate modifications, such as use of different roof materials, fans, sprinklers, misters, foggers etc. During thermal stress, sprinklers and fans may be used to reduce the heat load in dairy animals (Gaughan *et al.*, 2010) [17]. Vijayakumar *et al.* (2009) reported reduced minimum and maximum temperature in buffalo heifers subjected to sprinklers + ceiling fan than non cooled heifers during summer season. Lower overall values of maximum temperature, minimum temperature and THI was reported in buffalo heifers subjected to various cooling systems (cooling jacket, cooling jacket + forced ventilation and sprinklers + forced ventilation) in comparison to non cooled heifers under loose houses during hot humid season (Verma *et al.*, 2015).

Animal Housing and Importance of Roof

Housing is one of the basic requirements of animals which not only protects them from extreme climatic conditions, but also ensures comfort to its inhabitants. Roof is an integral part of housing, which prevents the access of solar radiations and rain water to the animal body. It has been measured that direct solar radiation energy flow per hour on animal body is 340

kcal/m² (Thomas *et al.*, 2012a). Providing shed is an easy and efficient way to mitigate the effects of heat stress on pasture in hot climates (Vanlaer *et al.*, 2014). Thai Brahman heifers under artificial shed or tree shade were better protected than those without shade (Aengwanich *et al.*, 2011) ^[1]. Housing design and the material used for the roof play an important role in the microclimate modification and reduction of radiant heat load inside the shed (Badino, 2007).

A wide variety of roof materials are available: thatch, clay tiles, wood, RCC, galvanized sheets, asbestos sheets, plastic sheets etc. which should have at least one of the following properties: high reflectivity, low conductivity, low under-surface emissivity, correct roof profile (slope) and maximum practical height. Moreover, roof material should be light, strong, durable, waterproof, good looking, free from tendency to condense moisture inside the shed and economical. Type of roofing material determines pitch of roof, which should be 35° for thatched roof; 25° to 30° for tile roof; 12° to 18° for sheet roof, and it should not exceed 45° for any roof material (Thomas *et al.*, 2012b). Thermal conductivity is an important criterion, among others, in selection of roof material; because materials which have lower thermal conductivity allows less heat to pass from within thus, ensuring better microclimate to the inmates during summer season

Roof Materials

Thatch

Thatch is an excellent and cheap material to reduce the heat stress (Yazdani and Gupta, 2000) as it has lower thermal conductivity; but less durability and fire hazard makes it less acceptable at an organized farm. Gawali *et al.* (2004) ^[18] found that, fully open shelters having thatched roof with bamboo mating and no sidewalls are most economical. It possesses least thermal conductivity (0.05 Kcal/m h °C) as compared to other conventional roofing materials (Sastry and Thomos, 2012). Under surface temperature of asbestos sheet (41.98 ± 0.73 °C) was found significantly higher than that of thatched roof (31.92 ± 0.21 °C) during rainy season (Kamal *et al.*, 2013).

Clay tiles

They are mostly used in rural areas in animal shed roofs. Clay tiles roofs are quiet heavy (density is 2000 kg/m³) thus demanding sufficiently strong frame. Thermal conductivity of clay tiles is higher (2.2 Kcal/m h °C) than that of thatch material (Sastry and Thomos, 2012). They require frequent maintenance and air passages present between tiles make them prone to moisture penetration during rainy season. It requires a good deal of craftsmanship to construct such roof correctly. Although repair or replacement of broken tiles is fairly easy but they become very slippery during rainy season and it is dangerous to walk on them. Roy and Chatterjee (2010) found that cows kept under tile roofed shed had lesser stress levels than those kept under GI sheet and Polythene sheet roofs.

Galvanized Iron (GI) sheet

It is a good roofing material for preventing rain water entry inside the shed. Moreover it is easy to install, light weight and durable; but higher thermal conductivity (175 Kcal/m h °C) outweighs its merits (Sastry and Thomos, 2012). Efficiency of metal roof can be improved by making certain modifications like painting rooftops with white and roof underside with black color, sprinkling water over it and placing about 2.5 cm of insulation under the GI sheet (Bucklin *et al.*, 1992) ^[10].

Asbestos sheets

Asbestos sheets roofs are generally used at organized farms as they are comparatively cheaper than RCC, durable than thatch, and have intermediate value of thermal conductivity (0.4 Kcal/m h °C) (Sastry and Thomos, 2012). The major demerit of asbestos sheet is its radiation emission property, due to which on getting heated up during peak hours of summer, these sheets start emitting radiations which not only increase the surface temperature of animal but also alter microclimate of the shed. Kamal (2013) recorded higher under surface temperature of asbestos sheet (45.12 ± 2.50 °C) in comparison to thatched roof (34.30 ± 1.57 °C) during summer season. Nagpal *et al.* (2005) suggested that heat insulation of asbestos roof can be improved by fastening bamboo mat below the roof and by growing creepers, placement of straw or dung cakes on it.

Reinforced Cement Concrete (RCC)

RCC roofs are popular in human housing due to their pest (termite) resistance, natural calamity (cyclones) resistance, availability and cost effectiveness of concrete ingredients (Halwatura and Jayasinghe, 2008). RCC roofs are durable and provide favourable microclimate inside the animal shed. Thermal conductivity (0.53-1.50 Kcal/m h °C) of RCC roof is slightly higher than asbestos sheet, but its greater thickness keeps the shed environment cooler than asbestos sheets. During tropical summers, they tend to exhibit higher soffit temperature which makes them emit long wavelength infrared radiation towards the occupants which continues during night due to the higher heat retaining capacity of the slab (Sadinehi *et al.*, 2011).

Polythene sheets

They are generally used in temporary sheds because they can be fixed easily, modifiable as per need and economical and commonly used to make thatch waterproof. Although it has thermal conductivity (0.04-0.05 Kcal/m h °C) at par with the thatch but its extreme thinness makes it ineffective in protection from solar radiation (Sastry and Thomos, 2012). Its main drawbacks are shorter life and fire prone nature. Roy and Chatterjee (2010) observed that cows kept under polythene sheet roof with mud floor and jute stick wall had higher THI (88.23 ± 0.85) in comparison to tile roof with brick floor and no wall (86.52 ± 0.63) during summer season.

Roof Modifications

Thatched asbestos roof

In this system modified roof is obtained by placing about six inch layer of paddy straw bedding with bamboo structure over asbestos roof (Fig. 2). This prevents excessive heating of asbestos roof during summer months and provides better microclimate to inmates. Patil *et al.* (2014) reported that paddy straw thatched asbestos roof shed was more efficient in maintaining comfortable microenvironment to the crossbred cows for high milk production during summer season in the Konkan region than simple asbestos and white painted asbestos roof.

Solar panel/Photovoltaic roof

Solar panels can be fitted over the roof top which not only reduces the heat load of roof but also generates electricity that can be used in farm activities Although fitting solar panel on roof top is capital intensive, but it may make farm self-reliant for electricity particularly in tropical countries. Photovoltaic

(PV) roof tiles replace roofing material and are installed directly on to the roof structure (Sharma, 2013). Ceramic tiles or fiber-cement roof slates have crystalline silicon solar cells glued directly on them. Another type of roof-integrated system has a PV element (glass-glass laminate) positioned in a plastic supporting tray anchored to the roof (Bahaj, 2003) [5].

Polythene shade cloth / Agro-net

In this roof modification agro-net or polythene shade cloth, which is commonly used in green houses designed for agricultural purposes is used either alone or over asbestos sheet roof (Fig. 4). Polypropylene fabric or shade cloth which provides 80% shade has become popular as an alternative shade material for animals (Bucklin *et al.*, 1993) [10]. Khongdee *et al.* (2010) reported that shade cloth roofing offered a more efficient way to minimize heat stress than that of normal roof. Kamal *et al.* (2014) reported that agro-net shade in open paddock provided favorable micro-climate to crossbred calves in comparison to asbestos sheet shade. Use of agro-net in animal shelter can be proved to be an effective roof modification during summer months as it is comparatively cheaper and modifiable as per need.

Thermal insulation/False ceiling

Insulation acts as a barrier to heat flow, reducing heat gain in summer to keep the house cool and reducing heat loss in winter to keep the house warm. Thermal insulating material like thermocol can be used as false ceiling material by placing it under the asbestos roof (Fig. 5). False ceiling is a common practice to reduce effective area in large buildings or offices in order to minimize expenses on illuminating, cooling and heating devices. Nagpal *et al.* by fastening bamboo mat below the roof. False ceiling in addition to manual and mechanical cooling would facilitate more comfort to cows resulting in higher milk production (Das *et al.*, 2015) [15]. As compared to conventional concrete roof, an insulated concrete roof system with an antisolar coating proved better in the tropical climatic conditions of Pakistan (Ahmad, 2010). Lightweight aluminum standing seam roofing systems, modeled and tested on an indoor stadium with a large roof surface area of 51m × 41m, indicated that roof structure with polyurethane insulation and white painted top surface performed better and saved 53.8% of the peak cooling load compared to a dark painted roof with glass wool insulation (Han and Yang, 2009) [20]. Polystyrene or polyurethane insulation layers have the capability of reducing the load by more than 50% when compared to an identical building roof without insulation (Sanjay and Prabha Chand, 2008).

Traditionally in human dwellings, insulation material includes mineral wool, expanded polystyrene (EPS), extruded polystyrene (XPS), cellulose, cork, polyurethane (PUR) etc.; while some recently developed building insulations are vacuum insulation panels (VIP), aerogels etc. Future building insulation materials include vacuum insulation materials (VIM), nano insulation materials, dynamic insulation materials (DIM) and NanoCon (Sharma, 2013). All the above insulation materials might be used in modern animal sheds in distant future if they become cost effective and suitable to animal housing.

Evaporative roof cooling system

In this system some evaporative cooling techniques like installing sprinklers on roof top, spreading water soaked jute bags on top surface of roof are employed to lower roof

temperature during summer season

Bucklin *et al.* (1992) [9] observed that efficiency of metal roof can be improved by sprinkling water over it. Wetted burlap bags are water soaked jute bags that are laid on roof tops to provide evaporative cooling, especially in regions with hot and arid weather which can lower roof temperature by as much as 15 °C (Sanjay and Prabha Chand, 2008). These methods are good and effective in reducing heat stress but suffer from non-availability of water

Mud plastered roof

It is a type of roof modification done in existing structure of thatch or asbestos sheet by application of mud plaster over it, which is made by mixing soil, cow dung and wheat straw in different proportions. Mud plastering not only improves the thermal resistance of thatch but also makes them leak proof besides enhancing its life span. In case of asbestos sheet, it reduces emitted radiations by preventing excessive heating of asbestos sheet in summer season. As it increases the weight of roof, a sufficiently strong frame is required to bear the increased weight. Mud plaster requires protection from rain water which may otherwise get washed away. Singh *et al.* (1989) reported that improved (water and fire retardant) thatch (2 inch thick thatch panels made by bamboo structures and mud bitumen plastered on it) created the coolest microenvironment during the summer season as compared to ordinary thatch, corrugated literoof with top surface painted white, literoof as such, corrugated asbestos sheets and tin.

Roof paint / Reflectors

These paints are applied on roof top to reflect solar radiation and thus help in reducing heat load under the roof. Reflected radiations of white, green and aluminium paints are 75%, 50% and 45%, respectively (Sastri and Thomos, 2012). A compound roof system developed with a combination of radiation reflectors and thermal insulation demonstrated substantial lowering of the heat conducted through a concrete roof (Alvarado *et al.*, 2009) [3].

Green roof

A green roof can be defined as a roof covered by grasses or plants which lie over a waterproof membrane (Jaffalet *et al.*, 2012). A green roof is composed of different layers consisting of drainage and barriers for roots plus channels for water (Taleb, 2014). The typical additional load associated with an extensive green roof is about 120–150 kg/m² (Castleton *et al.*, 2010). Generally, they are limited to human dwellings as they have been shown to reduce heating and cooling costs. But it may be used in animals housing in distant future. The main disadvantage of green roofs is the higher initial costs of installing and sometimes they can cause structural problems and leakage.

Effect of Roof Materials on Microclimatic Variables

Minimum and maximum temperature

Kamal *et al.* (2014) observed that overall minimum temperature at 9:00 AM was significantly lower ($p < 0.05$) in agro-net shading (19.27 ± 0.09 °C) as compared to thatch shading roof (23.16 ± 0.1 °C), asbestos with canvass shading roof (23.16 ± 0.20 °C) and tree shade (23.09 ± 0.25 °C) during summer season, whereas at 2:00 PM maximum temperature was more ($p < 0.05$) recorded in asbestos with canvass shading roof (34.64 ± 0.20 °C) followed by tree shade (34.61 ± 0.30 °C) and least in agro-net shading (32.74 ± 0.22

°C) followed by thatch shading roof (33.16 ± 0.18 °C). Roy and Chatterjee (2010) recorded higher maximum temperature in GI sheet roof (37.72 ± 0.67 °C) with brick/mud floor in comparison to tile roof (35.95 ± 1.2 °C) with brick floor and brick/jute stick wall during summer season. Lowest dry bulb temperature is observed in shelter having RCC roof when compared to asbestos roof shelters and conventional tree shelters (Kaur and Singh, 2004). The temperature reduction between outside and inside surface of agro-net roof (48.77%) was higher followed by thatched roof (43.58%) and asbestos roof (27.15%) (Kamal *et al.*, 2014).

Temperature and Humidity Index (THI)

Higher ambient temperature coupled with higher relative humidity (RH), contributes greater stress in dairy cattle. THI was lower in cattle shed with tiled roof (86.52 ± 0.63) with brick floor without wall in summer season as compared to polythene sheet roof (88.23 ± 0.85) with mud floor and jute stick wall (Roy and Chatterjee, 2010). Higher THI in loose house covered with asbestos sheet causes thermal stress whereas, lower THI is observed in thatch and mud roof house which creates better microenvironment during rainy season (Jat *et al.*, 2005). Patil *et al.* (2014) observed lower values of THI under modified roof shed (thatch + asbestos) as compared to asbestos shed and painted shed in all periods of trial

Effect of Roof Materials on Physiological Parameters

Lower values of Rectal Temperature (RT) in modified roof system having shade cloth (38.56 °C) were obtained as compared to those observed under normal roofing (39.86 °C) system in cross-bred animals (Khongdee *et al.*, 2010). Prasanpanichet *et al.* (2002) observed that RT of animals placed outdoors (40.4 °C) without shade was higher than those lodged indoors (39.0 °C) in an open-sided barn. Murrah buffalo calves (male) under modified roofing (normal roof + Polypropylene shade cloth) had shown lower mean rectal temperature (39.14 ± 0.07 °C) than those kept under normal roof (40.00 ± 0.10 °C) (Khongdee *et al.*, 2013). Singh *et al.* (2008) reported that the respiration rate was not influenced by providing shed (asbestos, agro-net, and tree). Patil *et al.* (2014) reported that RT (°C), Respiration Rate (RR) per minute and Pulse Rate (PR) per minute of crossbred cows were higher in the asbestos roof shed (38.85 ± 0.09 , 43.50 ± 0.17 , 64.33 ± 0.08) in comparison to white painted roof shed (38.52 ± 0.011 , 39.41 ± 0.18 , 61.49 ± 0.09) and thatched asbestos roof shed (38.33 ± 0.010 , 37.26 ± 0.19 , 60.27 ± 0.05).

Effect of Roof Materials on Feed and Water Intake

Average dry matter (DM) intake of Kankrej cow under RCC shed (12.41 ± 0.17 kg/day) and thatched roof (11.65 ± 0.19 kg/day) were found higher in comparison to tree shelter (10.64 ± 0.14 kg/day) (Chauhanet *et al.*, 2011). Conventional barn and mud plaster roof houses were found better for nutrient intake during winter season (Shekhawat and Chaudhary, 2012). Covering of roof with a thatch material resulted in higher body weight gains over tin roofing in Osmanabadi weaned kids (Patil *et al.*, 2008). Crossbred calves reared under thatched roof house consumed higher dry matter/100 kg body weight (3.02 kg) than those reared in loose housing (2.52 kg) system (Yazdani and Gupta, 2000). During summer season, average water intake of Kankrej cow under RCC shed (44.77 ± 0.23 liter/day) were higher in

comparison to thatched roof (41.18 ± 0.19 liter/day) and tree shelter (39.77 ± 0.44 liter/day) (Chauhanet *et al.*, 2011). The crossbred cows reared under thatched asbestos roof shed (2.92 ± 0.05 kg) consumed more dry matter per 100 kg body weight than those reared in asbestos shed (2.78 ± 0.02 kg) and white painted roof shed (2.86 ± 0.04 kg); whereas asbestos roofed shed (53.83 ± 0.35) cows drank more ($P < 0.05$) water (litre/day) than those in thatched asbestos roof shed (45.41 ± 0.34) and white painted roof shed (50.53 ± 0.36) in hot and humid season of Konkan region (Patil *et al.*, 2014).

Effect of Roof Materials on Milk Production and Composition

Berman and Horovitz (2012) stated that availability of shade helps to mitigate the effects of radiation, which may improve animal productivity in warm climates without additional costs. Milk production of animals kept under modified roof system was higher in comparison to cows kept under normal roofing (Khongdee *et al.*, 2010). Milk production was higher in mud plaster roofed house (10.57 ± 0.14 kg) than that of thatch roofed house (9.52 ± 0.08 kg), conventional barn (8.70 ± 0.10) and loose house (8.34 ± 0.11 kg) in cross-bred cows during winter season (Shekhawat and Chaudhary, 2012). The average milk yield (kg/day) was higher in thatched asbestos roof (8.17 ± 0.27) than white painted asbestos roof (7.91 ± 0.28) and asbestos roof (7.52 ± 0.28) shed (Patil *et al.*, 2014). Singh *et al.* (2008) reported that use of paddy straw bedding over the asbestos sheet improved the milk yield of crossbred cows than asbestos roofed shed alone.

The percentage of total solids, solid not fat, fat and protein in milk of crossbred cows was higher ($P < 0.05$) in thatched asbestos roof shed (13.71 ± 0.02 , 8.89 ± 0.013 , 4.87 ± 0.012 and 3.48 ± 0.04) than the asbestos roof (13.04 ± 0.03 , 8.41 ± 0.011 , 4.45 ± 0.013 and 3.28 ± 0.05) and white painted roof shed (13.31 ± 0.03 , 8.62 ± 0.015 , 4.64 ± 0.014 and 3.40 ± 0.03) in hot and humid season of Konkan region (Patil *et al.*, 2014).

References

1. Aengwanich W, Kongbuntad W, Boonsorn T. Effects of shade on physiological changes, oxidative stress, and total antioxidant power in Thai Brahman cattle. *Int. J. Biometeorol.*, 2011; 55:741-748
2. Ahmad I. Performance of antisolar insulated roof system. *Renew. Energy.* 2010; 35(1):36-41.
3. Alvarado JL, Terrell Jr W, Johnson MD. Passive cooling systems for cement-based roofs. *Build. Environ.* 2009; 44(9):1869-1875.
4. Badino F. Helping cows to regulate body heat. *Informative Agarino Supplemento.* 2007; 62(39):18-21.
5. Bahaj AS. Photovoltaic roofing: issues of design and integration into buildings. *Renew. Energy.* 2003; 28(14):2195-2204.
6. Bahga CS, Sikka SS, Saijpal S. Effect of seasonal stress on growth rate and serum enzyme levels in young crossbred calves. *Indian J Anim. Res.*, 2009; 43(4):288-290.
7. Berman A, Horovitz T. Radiant heat loss, an unexploited path for heat stress reduction in shaded cattle. *J Dairy Sci.* 2012; 95:3021-3031.
8. Blackshaw JK, Blackshaw AW. Heat stress in cattle and the effect of shade on production and behavior: a review. *Aust. J Exp. Agric.* 1994; 34:285-295.
9. Bucklin RA, Hahn GL, Beede DK, Bray DR. Physical

- Facilities for Warm Climates. In: Van Horn, H.H.; Wilcox, C.J. (eds.). Large Dairy Herd Management. American Dairy Science Association, Champaign, IL 61820, 1992, 609-618.
10. Bucklin RA, Bottcher RW, Van GL, Wicklen, Czarick M. Reflective roof coatings for heat stress relief in livestock and poultry housing. *Appl. Eng. Agric.* 1993; 9(1):123-129.
 11. Calamari L, Petrera F, Abeni F, Bertin G. Metabolic and hematological profiles in heat stressed lactating dairy cows fed diets supplemented with different selenium sources and doses. *Livest. Sci.* 2011; 142:128-137.
 12. Castleton HF, Stovin V, Beck SBM, Davison JB. Green roofs: building energy savings and the potential for retrofit. *Energy Build.* 2010; 42(10):1582-1591.
 13. Chauhan HD, Prajapati KB, Rajpura RM, Modi RJ. Feed and water intake of Kankrej cows under different housing systems. *Indian J. Dairy Sci.* 2011; 64(2):176-177.
 14. Cook NB, Bennett TB, Nordlund KV. Monitoring indices of cow comfort in free-stall-housed dairy herds. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 2005; 88:3876-3885.
 15. Das SK, Karunakaran M, Barbuddhe SB, Singh NP. Effect of orientation, ventilation, floor space allowance and cooling arrangement on milk yield and microclimate of dairy shed in Goa. *J Anim. Res.* 2015; 5(2):231-235.
 16. Dikmen S. The effect of breed in a hot environment on some welfare indicators in feedlot cattle. *Span. J Agric. Res.*, 2013; 11:1028-1035.
 17. Gaughan JB, Bonner S, Loxton I, Mader TL, Lisle A, Lawrence R. Effect of shade on body temperature and performance of feedlot steers. *J Anim. Sci.* 2010; 88:4056-67.
 18. Gawali SR, Karunanithy C, Prasad SAD, Nagarajaiah MS, Krishnappa HE. Dairy cattle housing in south India. *India Dairyman.* 2004; 56(3):49-55.
 19. Halwatura RU, Jayasinghe MTR. Thermal performance of insulated roof slabs in tropical climates. *Energy Build.* 2008; 40(7):1153-60.
 20. Han J, Lu L, Yang H. Investigation on the thermal performance of different lightweight roofing structures and its effect on space cooling load. *Appl. Therm. Eng.*, 2009; 29(11, 12):2491-2499.
 21. Jaffal I, Ouldboukhitine SE, Belabi R. A comprehensive study of the impact of green roofs on building energy performance. *Renew. Energy.* 2012; 43:157-164.
 22. Jat RP, Gupta LR, Yadav BL. Effect of roof modifications in loose house on intake and utilization of nutrients in buffalo calves during rainy season. *Indian J Dairy Sci.* 2005; 58:54-57.
 23. Jat RP, Yadav BL. Growth and behavioural pattern of buffalo calves under different shelter modifications during winter. *Indian J Anim. Sci.* 2010; 80(7):686-689.
 24. Kamal R. Effect of different shade material on performance of Vrindavani calves. Ph.D. Thesis, IVRI (Deemed University), Izatnagar, India, 2013.