

E-ISSN: 2320-7078 P-ISSN: 2349-6800 www.entomoljournal.com

JEZS 2020; 8(3): 353-356 © 2020 JEZS Received: 01-03-2020 Accepted: 03-04-2020

BB Gaikwad

Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Parbhani, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

BB Bhosle

Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Parbhani, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

SD Sapkal

Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Parbhani, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Corresponding Author: BB Gaikwad Department of Agricultural Entomology, College of Agriculture, Parbhani, Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth, Parbhani, Maharashtra, India

Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies

Available online at www.entomoljournal.com



Multiple regression of weather parameters against shoot & fruit-borer on okra

BB Gaikwad, BB Bhosle and SD Sapkal

Abstract

An experiment has been conducted to assess the effect of different weather parameters and their multiple regression in okra against okra fruit and shoot borer. The results revealed that the R2 value indicated that the weather parameters contributed for shoot and fruit borer 79.5 and 92.6 per cent in 2017-18 and 2018-19 in of total variation in *Earias vitella* on okra. The weather parameters indicted that 73.9 and 75.9 per cent for shoot damage and for fruit damage were 88.7 and 99.5 per cent, during 2017-18 and 2018-19 respectively.

Keywords: Earias vitella, weather parameters and fruit damage

Introduction

In India, vegetables have occupied the prime position in human diet, as these are the cheaper source of carbohydrate, minerals, vitamins, proteins, dietary fibers besides having medicinal value and provide nutritional security to a predominately vegetarian population. Among different vegetables, okra, *Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench belonging to the family Malvaceae is an important annual vegetable, grown for its immature green non-fibrous edible fruits in the tropical and sub-tropical regions of the world. It is commonly known as "Gumbo" as well as "Okra" in USA, lady's finger in England and "*Bhindi*" or "*Bhinda*" in India. It is probably originated in Ethiopian region of Africa, but is now widely grown in Sudan and Nigeria regions of the Africa besides being grown in other countries. Because of its high nutritive value and prolonged shelf life as compared to others, okra has captured a prominent position among export-oriented vegetable crops. It has a vast potential as one of the foreign exchange earner crop and accounts for about 60 per cent of the total export of fresh vegetables [1, 2, 3, 4].

Okra has its own importance, taste, flavor and nutritional values as human food. It has good nutritional value particularly high content of calcium and vitamin C ^[5, 6]. It is grown extensively in the tropical, subtropical and warm temperature regions of the world especially in India, U.S.A., Africa, Asia, Nigeria, Sudan, Iraq, Pakistan, Turkey, Australia, U.K. and other neighboring countries. India ranks first in area and production in the world. It is a major commercial vegetable cultivated all over India particularly in the states of Andhra Pradesh, West Bengal, Jharkhand, Orissa, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Karnataka, Gujarat and Maharashtra. India occupies an area of 532.66 thousand hectares with a production of 6346.37 thousand tones and productivity of 11.9 MT/ha ^[7, 32, 33, 34, 35].

The *Earias vittella* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) is a widely distributed insect pest. The attack of shoot and fruit borer (*E. vittella*) on okra starts 4 to 5 weeks after germination both in *Kharif* and summer seasons. The infested top tender shoots dries-up while flower, buds and developing fruits drop down pre-maturely and damaged fruits become unfit for human consumption. It is estimated that about 69 per cent loss in marketable yield due to attack of this insect on okra ^[8, 29, 30, 31]. In general, the overall damage due to the insect pests attack, results in 48.97 per cent loss in pod yield ^[9].

Part of approved Ph. D. (Agri.) Dissertation submitted by B.B. Gaikwad to Vasantrao Naik Marathwada Krishi Vidyapeeth Parbhani

Material and Methods

The experiment was carried out on the seasonal incidence of shoot and fruit borer on okra at the farm of Department of Agril.

Entomology, VNMKV, Parbhani during Kharif season of 2017-18 and 2018-19. The okra (Parbhani OK) was sown in a block size of 10 m x 10 m keeping the spacing of 60 X 30 cm. All the recommended agronomic practices viz. weeding, harrowing, application of fertilizer doses and irrigation were carried out timely and properly to raise good crop. This area was divided into four quadrates (5 m X 5m). No insecticidal treatments were applied at any stage of the crop growth. The number of larvae per plant was counted and recorded till harvest of crop at weekly intervals on five randomly selected plants. Total number of fruits and damaged fruits were counted till harvest of crop at weekly intervals on five randomly selected plants from each quadrate and per cent fruit damage was worked out. Total number of damaged shoots and healthy shoots were counted from each quadrate and per cent shoot damage was worked out.

Result and Discussion

Regression studies of Earis vitella

The multiple regression was worked out between weather parameters and larval population of shoot and fruit borer during 2017-18 and 2018-19 and presented in Table 1 and Table 2, respectively.

Table 1: Multiple regression of weather parameters and larval
population of shoot & fruit borer on okra (2017-18)

Weather narameters	Reg. coefficients	SF(b)	Т	T table
weather parameters	(b)	SE(D)	Test	(0.05)
Rainfall (mm) (B1)	0.001	0.007	0.093	2.571
Max. Temp. (0C) (B2)	0.021	0.025	0.845	2.571
Min. Temp. (0C) (B3)	0.013	0.038	0.338	2.571
Morning RH (%)(B4)	0.032	0.081	0.392	2.571
Evening RH (%)(B5)	0.052	0.027	1.937	2.571
EVP (mm) (B6)	0.453	0.363	1.249	2.571
BSS (Hrs.) (B7)	0.149	0.169	0.882	2.571
Wind velocity (B8)	0.525	0.219	-	2 571
(Hrs/km)	-0.555	0.218	2.451	2.371

Intercept (a) = 5.919

Coefficient of determination (R Square) = 0.795

Table 2: Multiple regression of weather parameters and larvalpopulation of shoot & fruit borer on okra (2018-19)

Weather parameters	Reg. coefficients (b)	SE(b)	T Test	T table (0.05)
Rainfall (mm) (B1)	-0.003	0.003	-1.030	2.571
Max. Temp. (0C) (B2)	-0.207	0.056	-3.676	2.571
Min. Temp. (0C) (B3)	0.108	0.093	1.160	2.571
Morning RH (%)(B4)	0.114	0.048	2.382	2.571
Evening RH (%)(B5)	-0.013	0.021	-0.612	2.571
EVP (mm) (B6)	0.125	0.179	0.698	2.571
BSS (Hrs.) (B7)	-0.094	0.061	-1.540	2.571
Wind velocity (B8) (Hrs/km)	0.091	0.126	0.724	2.571

Intercept (a) = 1.548

Coefficient of determination (R Square) = 0.926

The regression equation worked out during 2017-18 is as follow.

 $\begin{array}{l} Y=5.919+\ (0.001)\ B1+\ (0.021)\ B2+\ (0.013)\ B3+\ (0.032)\\ B4+\ (0.052)\ B5+\ (0.453)\ B6+\ (0.149)\ B7+\ (-0.535)\ B8\\ +0.443 \end{array}$

The regression equation worked out during 2018-19 is as follow.

Y = 1.548 + (-0.003) B1 + (-0.207) B2 + (0.108) B3 + (0.114)B4 + (-0.013) B5 + (0.125) B6 + (-0.094) B7 + (0.091) B8 +0.248. The weather parameters contributed for 79.5 per cent during 2017-18 and 92.6 per cent during 2018-19 of total variation in the population of shoot and fruit borer on okra. The coefficient of determination was very high during 2018-19 indicating that the prediction of shoot and fruit borer population by using weather parameters was more reliable ^[10, 11, 12, 13, 14].

Regression studies of shoot damage

The multiple regression was worked out between weather parameters and per cent shoot damage by shoot and fruit borer during 2017-18 and 2018-19 and presented in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively.

Table 3: Multiple regression of weather parameters with per centshoot damage by shoot & fruit borer on okra (2017-18)

Weather parameters	Reg. coefficients (b)	SE(b)	T Test	T table (0.05)
Rainfall (mm) (B1)	-0.044	0.027	-1.638	2.571
Max. Temp. (0C) (B2)	-0.466	0.447	-1.044	2.571
Min. Temp. (0C) (B3)	-0.318	0.741	-0.429	2.571
Morning RH (%)(B4)	0.483	0.378	1.277	2.571
Evening RH (%)(B5)	0.085	0.170	0.499	2.571
EVP (mm) (B6)	-0.601	1.419	-0.424	2.571
BSS (Hrs.) (B7)	0.372	0.486	0.766	2.571
Wind velocity (B8) (Hrs/km)	-0.498	0.997	-0.499	2.571

Intercept (a) = 10.867 Coefficient of determination (R Square) = 0.759

 Table 4: Multiple regression of weather parameters with per cent shoot damage by shoot & fruit borer on okra (2018-19)

Weather parameters	Reg. coefficients (b)	SE(b)	T Test	T table (0.05)
Rainfall (mm) (B1)	-0.004	0.033	-0.115	2.571
Max. Temp. (0C) (B2)	-0.009	0.123	-0.072	2.571
Min. Temp. (0C) (B3)	0.124	0.191	0.651	2.571
Morning RH (%)(B4)	0.288	0.407	0.708	2.571
Evening RH (%)(B5)	0.216	0.134	1.605	2.571
EVP (mm) (B6)	1.112	1.814	0.613	2.571
BSS (Hrs.) (B7)	1.321	0.846	1.562	2.571
Wind velocity (B8) (Hrs/km)	-1.578	1.091	-1.446	2.571

Intercept (a) = 40.692

Coefficient of determination (R Square) = 0.739

Regression equation (2017-18)

The regression equation worked out during 2017-18 is as follow.

Y=40.692+ (-0.004) B1 + (-0.009) B2 + (0.124) B3 + (0.288) B4 + (0.216) B5 +(1.112) B6+(1.321) B7 + (-1.578) B8 +2.213

Regression equation (2018-19)

The regression equation worked out during 2018-19 is as follow.

 $Y=10.867+\ (-0.044)\ B1+\ (-0.466)\ B2+\ (-0.318)\ B3+\ (0.483)\ B4+\ (0.085)\ B5+(-0.601)\ B6+(0.372)\ B7+\ (-0.498)\ B8+1.967$

The high value of coefficient of determination (R2 = 73.9 and 75.9 per cent during 2017-18 and 2018-19, respectively) showed that these are the critical factors for maintaining per cent shoot damage on okra. ^[15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]

Regression studies fruit damage

The multiple regression was worked out between weather parameters and per cent fruit damage by shoot and fruit borer during 2017-18 and 2018-19 and presented in Table 5 and Table 6. The regression models worked out during 2017-18 and 2018-19 are as follow.

Regression Model (2017-18)

The regression equation worked out during 2017-18 is as follow.

The regression equation worked out during 2017-18 is as follow. Y = 166.407+(-0.007) B1 + (-5.451) B2 + (4.084) B3 + (-0.426) B4 + (0.032) B5 + (9.601) B6 + (-2.918) B7 + (1.202) B8 +2.003

Table 5: Multiple regression of weather parameters with per centfruit damage by shoot & fruit borer on okra (2017-18)

Weather parameters	Reg. coefficients (b)	SE(b)	T Test	T table (0.05)
Rainfall (mm) (B1)	0.124	0.097	1.276	2.571
Max. Temp. (0C) (B2)	0.466	0.362	1.288	2.571
Min. Temp. (0C) (B3)	-0.100	0.560	-0.179	2.571
Morning RH (%)(B4)	0.770	1.194	0.645	2.571
Evening RH (%)(B5)	0.702	0.395	1.778	2.571
EVP (mm) (B6)	9.601	5.322	1.804	2.571
BSS (Hrs.) (B7)	5.180	2.481	2.087	2.571
Wind velocity (B8) (Hrs/km)	-12.324	3.200	-3.851	2.571

Intercept (a) = 137.725

Coefficient of determination (R Square) = 0.887

Weather parameters	Reg. coefficients (b)	SE(b)	T Test	T table (0.05)
Rainfall (mm) (B1)	-0.007	0.027	-0.241	2.571
Max. Temp. (0C) (B2)	-5.451	0.455	-11.985	2.571
Min. Temp. (0C) (B3)	4.084	0.755	5.413	2.571
Morning RH (%)(B4)	-0.426	0.385	-1.106	2.571
Evening RH (%)(B5)	0.032	0.173	0.187	2.571
EVP (mm) (B6)	-2.918	1.445	-2.020	2.571
BSS (Hrs.) (B7)	1.202	0.495	2.431	2.571
Wind velocity (B8) (Hrs/km)	3.041	1.015	2.995	2.571

Table 6: Multiple regression of weather parameters with per cent fruit damage by shoot & fruit borer on okra (2018-19)

Intercept (a) = 166.407

Coefficient of determination (R Square) = 0.995

Conclusion

The study revealed that weather factors like wind velocity, rain fall and others largely imparting resistance in okra plants and showing resistance and susceptibility of different levels. The weather parameters contributed for 88.7 per cent during 2017-18 and 99.5 per cent during 2018-19 of total variation in the per cent fruit damage by shoot and fruit borer on okra. The coefficient of determination was very high during 2018-19 indicating that the prediction of fruit damage by using weather parameters was more reliable.

References

1. Abhishek Shukla, Pathak SC, Agrawal RK. Seasonal incidence of okra shoot and fruit borer *Earias vittella* (Fab.) and effect of temperature on its infestation

Advances in Plant Sciences Anonymous (2014). Indian Horticulture database. 1997; 10(1):169-172.

- 2. Dhaka SR, Pareek BL. Estimation of avoidable losses due to insect pest complex and economics of spray schedules in cotton. Indian Journal of Entomology. 2007; 69(4):387-391.
- 3. Jagtap CR, Shetgar SS, Nalwandikar PK. Fluctuations in population of lepidopterous pests infesting okra in relation to weather parameters during kharif. Indian J of Entomol. 2007; 69(3):221-223.
- 4. Kanwar N, Ameta OP. Assessment of losses caused by insect pests of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench), Pestology. 2007; 31(6):45-47.
- Muhammad AA, Mansoor Ul H, Jamshaid I, Amjad A. Role of different weather factors on fluctuation of fruit and shoot infestation of spotted bollworms, *Earias* spp. on okra. (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). Int. J for Agro Vete. Med. Sci. 2009; 3(2):64-72.
- Rawat RR, Sahu HR. Estimation of losses in growth and yield of okra due to *Empoasca devastans* Dist. (Cicadellidae) and *Earias* spp. Indian J Entomol. 1973; 35(3):252-254.
- Sharma RP, Swaminathan R, Bhati KK. Seasonal incidence of fruit and shoot borer of okra along with climatic factors in Udaipur Region of India. Asian Journal of Agricultural Research. 2010; 4:232-236.
- 8. Varmudy V. Marketing of vegetable in India. Daya Publishing House, New Delhi. 2001; 72:110-035.
- 9. Ahmed MMM. Studies on the control of insect pests in vegetables (okra, tomatoes and onion) in Sudan with special reference to neem preparations. Ph. D Thesis, University of Giessen, Germany, 2000.
- Bhargava KK, Ashok B, Bhatnaga A. Bioefficacy of imidacloprid as a seed dresser against sucking pests of okra. Pest. Manage. Economic Zoology. 2001; 9:31-34.
- Chinniah C, Ali KA. Relative efficacy of insecticides/acaricides against sucking insects of okra. Pest. Manage. Economic Zoology. 2000; 8:111-116.
- 12. Chopra R, Nayar SL, Chopra IC. Glossary of Indian Medicinal Plants. 1st Edn. National Institute of Science Communications, New Delhi, India, 1956.
- 13. Fuson KJ, Godfrey LD, Wynholds PF. Agronomic and environmental factors influencing cotton aphid, Aphis gossypii Glover insecticide efficacy. Proceedings of the Beltwide Cotton Conference, January 1995, National Cotton Councial America Memphis, TN. 1995; 5-8:995-997.
- 14. Gahukar RT. Botanicals for use against vegetable pests and diseases: A review. International Journal of Vegetable. Science. 2005; 13:41-60.
- 15. Godfrey LD, Fuson KJ. Environmental and host plant effects on insecticide susceptibility of the cotton aphid (Homoptera: Aphididae). Journal of Cotton Science. 2001; 5:22-29.
- 16. Isman MB, Koul O, Luczynski A, Kaminski J. Insecticidal and antifeedant bioactivities of neem oils and their relationship to azadirachtin content. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 1990; 38:1406-1411.
- Jaetzold R, Schmidt H. Farm Management Handbook of Kenya: MOALD. 1st Edn., Eastern Kenya, Nairobi, 1983.
- 18. Kerns DL, Gaylor MJ. Insecticide resistance in field

populations of the cotton aphid (Homoptera: Aphididae). Journal of Economic Entomology. 1992; 85:1-8.

- 19. Khaire VA, Naik RL. Efficacy of some synthetic pyrethroids against the pest complex on okra. South Indian Horticulture. 1986; 34:112-114.
- 20. Lowery DT, Isman MB, Bhard NL. Laboratory and field evaluation of neem for the control of aphids (Homoptera: Aphididae). Journal of Economic Entomology. 1993; 6:864-870.
- 21. MOA. Annual Report. Ministry of Agriculture, Horticulture Division. Nairobi, Kenya, 2006.
- 22. Misra HP. Field evaluation of some newer insecticides against aphids (*Aphis gossypii*) and jassids (*Amrasca biguttula biguttula*) on okra. Indian Journal of Entomology. 2002; 64:80-84.
- 23. Obeng-Ofori D, Sackey J. Field evaluation of nonsynthetic insecticides for the management of insect pests of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench) in Ghana. SINET: Eth. Journal of Science. 2003; 26:145-150.
- 24. Praveen PM, Dhandapani N. Eco-friendly management of major pests of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Journal of Vegetable Crop Production. 2002; 7:3-11.
- 25. Schmutterer H. Properties and potential of natural pesticides from the neem tree, Azadirachta indica. Annual Review of Entomology. 1990; 35:271-297.
- 26. Seif A. Preparing small holder export vegetable producer for compliance with the European Union regulations on maximum pesticide residues limits and hygiene standards. International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology (ICIPE) Final Report, ICIPE Science Press, Nairobi, 2002.
- 27. Sreelatha, Divakar BJ. Impact of imidacloprid seed treatment on insect pest incidence in okra. Indian Journal of Plant Protectection. 1997; 25:52-55.
- Uthamasamy S, Balasubramanian M. Efficacy of some insecticides in controlling the pests of bhendi (*Abelmoschus esculentus* (L.) Moench). Pesticides, 1976; 12:39-41.
- 29. Varela AN, Seif A. A Guide to IPM and Hygiene Standards in okra Production in Kenya. ICIPE Science Press, Nairobi, ICIPE, 2004.
- 30. Yamasaki RB, Klocke JA. Structure bioactivity relationship of azadirachtin, a potential insect control agent. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry. 1987; 35:467-471.
- 31. Anonymous. Indian Horticulture database, 2014.
- 32. Anitha KR, Nandihalli BS. Seasonal incidence of sucking pests in okra ecosystem. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Science. 2008; 21(1):137-138.
- 33. Chaudhary HR, Dadheech LN. Incidence of insects attacking okra and the avoidable losses caused by them. Annual Report of Arid Zone. 1989; 28(3-4):305-307.
- 34. Krishnaiah K. Methodology for assessing crop losses due to pests of vegetable and assessment of crop losses due to pests and diseases in: Proc. of Workshop held from Sept, 1977 at U.A.S. Bangalore. 1980; 19-30:259-267.
- 35. Rawat RR, Sahu HR. Estimation of losses in growth and yield of okra due to *Empoasca devastans* Dist. (Cicadellidae) and *Earias* spp. Indian Journal of Entomology. 1973; 35(3):252-254.
- 36. Srinivasa R, Rajendran R. Joint action potential of neem

http://www.entomoljournal.com