

### E-ISSN: 2320-7078 P-ISSN: 2349-6800

www.entomoljournal.com JEZS 2020; 8(2): 1377-1378 © 2020 JEZS Received: 20-01-2020 Accepted: 22-02-2020

### Bhagyashree M Kamble

Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Pookode, Wayanad, Kerala, India

### **CN Dinesh**

Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Pookode, Wayanad, Kerala, India

### Deepak Biradar

Department of Livestock Production Management, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Pookode, Wayanad, Kerala, India

### Reghu Ravindran

Department of Veterinary Parasitology, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Pookode, Wayanad, Kerala, India

### Mahesh Chopade

Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, Bombay Veterinary College, Parel, Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

### KA Bindu

Department of Animal Breeding, Genetics and Biostatistics, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Mannuthy, Thrissur, Kerala, India

### PM Rojan

Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Pookode, Wayanad, Kerala, India

### Muhasin Asaf

Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Pookode, Wayanad, Kerala, India

### **Corresponding Author:**

Bhagyashree M Kamble Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Pookode, Wayanad, Kerala, India

## Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies

Available online at www.entomoljournal.com



# Analysis of seasonal effect on tick infestation in vechur and crossbred cattle of Kerala

### Bhagyashree M Kamble, CN Dinesh, Deepak Biradar, Reghu Ravindran, Mahesh Chopade, KA Bindu, PM Rojan and VN Muhasin Asaf

### Abstract

Ticks and tick-borne diseases (TTBD) are important risk factors in cattle production which causes enormous economic loss. There are several other factors responsible for tick infestation - sex, age, lactation, pregnancy and environmental factors. The present study was aimed to analyze the seasonal effect on tick infestation in Vechur and Crossbred cattle of Kerala. The population under study comprised of 45 Vechur and 74 crossbred cattle from the farms of Kerala Veterinary and Animal Sciences University. Tick counts were taken during summer (March to May, 2019) and rainy (June to August, 2019) seasons from the selected animals. Subsequently, the animals were grouped into the four classes on the basis of the tick infestation level and subjective qualification of the larvae and nymph infestation. However; we could not find any tick infestation on any animal during summer and rainy seasons. Therefore, all the animals were grouped in the class: no infestation (absence of adult ticks, nymphs, and larvae). On inquiry, it was revealed that the farm authorities had stopped letting animals for grazing in the field as a measure to control tick infestation in animals. Hence, it is presumed that the absence of field grazing of cattle has drastically curtailed tick infestation.

### Keywords: TTBDs, ticks, vechur, crossbred, season

### Introduction

Tick and tick-borne diseases (TTBDs) affect 80 percent of the world cattle population particularly in tropical and subtropical countries such as India, Pakistan and Bangladesh<sup>[5].</sup> In India, almost all the livestock species suffer from tick infestation and the loss due to TTBDs has been estimated to be approximately US\$ 498.7 million per annum<sup>[8].</sup> In Kerala, TTBDs are a major concern to the livestock sector as they are the vectors of many haemoprotozoan diseases in cattle <sup>[9, 10]</sup>. On exposure to ticks, cattle show variation in resistance and susceptibility to tick infestation. This difference in their response is influenced by factor such as sex, age, lactation, pregnancy, and season <sup>[11].</sup> Amongst them, season appear to be one contributing factor. Many researchers reported that tick infestation is more during summer than rainy <sup>[2]</sup> and in contrast it was recommended that rainy and dry seasons did not differ significantly in tick prevalence<sup>[4].</sup> Hence the study was aimed to analyze the seasonal effect on tick infestation in Vechur and Crossbred cattle of Kerala.

### Materials and method

The design of this experiment was approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee (IAEC) of the College. The present study was aimed to analyze the effect of season on tick infestation in cattle. The population under study comprised of 45 Vechur animals maintained in the Vechur Conservation Centre of Centre for Advanced Studies in Animal Genetics and Breeding (CASAGB), Mannuthy, 44 crossbred cattle from the Instructional Livestock Farm Complex (ILFC), Pookode and 30 crossbred cattle from University Livestock Farm and Fodder Research and Development Scheme (ULF & FRDS), Mannuthy, Thrissur. Tick counts were taken during summer (March to May 2019) and rainy (June to August 2019) seasons from the selected animals using the method described by Wharton and Utech (1970). All the fully or partially engorged female ticks (between 4.5 and 8.0 mm in length) belonging to the species of *Rhipicephalus* and *Haemaphysalis* on the left side of the animal's body were counted. Then the number was multiplied by two to get the tick count. Afterward, the animals were grouped into four classes according to the tick infestation and subjective qualification of the larvae and nymph infestation *viz*. no infestation (absence of adult ticks, nymphs and

larvae); low infestation (between 1 to 10 fully or partially engorged females and few larvae and nymphs); intermediate infestation (between 11 and 30 fully or partially engorged females and an intermediate number of larvae and nymphs) and high infestation (more than 30 fully or partially engorged females and several larvae and nymphs)<sup>[4]</sup>.

### **Results and discussion**

The study included taking tick counts during summer and rainy seasons from the selected animals. Subsequently, the animals were grouped into the four classes on the basis of the tick infestation level and subjective qualification of the larvae and nymph infestation. However, we could not find any tick infestation on any animal during summer and rainy seasons. Therefore, all the animals were grouped in the class: no infestation (absence of adult ticks, nymphs, and larvae). On inquiry, it was revealed that the farm authorities of the ILFC, Pookode had stopped letting animals for grazing in the field as a measure to control tick infestation in animals. The ULF & FRDS, Mannuthy and the Vechur Conservation Centre of CASAGB, Mannuthy were also not letting the animals for grazing in the field. Hence, it is presumed that the absence of field grazing of cattle has drastically curtailed tick infestation in the animals under our study. The importance of grazing as a risk factor in tick infestation in cattle was also reported by Akhil et al. (2019)<sup>[1]</sup> who observed that tick infestation was more in grazing animals when compared to stall-fed cattle. Furthermore, Mapholi et al. (2016) <sup>[7]</sup> suggested that so as to find out the resistance or susceptibility of the animal, tick count needs to be collected when the animals had adequate exposure to ticks. If the animals were not sufficiently exposed, they would not get the opportunity to exhibit their genotype for resistance and the susceptible animals would be wrongly classified in the resistant group <sup>[3]</sup>.

### Conclusion

In this research, it was found that all the animals were free from ticks, larvae and nymph during both the seasons, probably because of lack of grazing. Hence, in future studies to find out seasonal effect on tick infestated animals, the farmer herds should be considered where the likelihood of getting tick infestated animals is high.

### Acknowledgement

We sincerely thank the Kerala Veterinary and Animal Sciences University and the Kerala State Council for Science, Technology and Environment for funding and facilities provided for conducting this research work.

### References

- Akhil KS, Chackochan M, Sunanda C, Nisha TS, Dinesh CN, Ravindran R *et al.* Analysis of the role of genetic and non-genetic factors on host resistance against cattle tick infestation (abstract). In: *Compendium*, National Conference on Innovation in Animal Production for Sustainability and Doubling Farmers Income, Kerala Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Mannuthy, 2019, 23-25.
- 2. Atif FA, Khan MS, Iqbal HJ, Ali Z, Ullah S. Prevalence of cattle tick infestation in three districts of the Punjab, Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Science. 2012; 64:49.
- 3. Bishop SC, Woolliams JA. On the genetic interpretation of disease data, PloS One. 2010; 5:e8940.
- 4. Da Silva JB, Rangel CP, de Azevedo Baeta B, Da

Fonseca AH. Analysis of the risk factors relating to cows 'resistance to Rhipicephalus microplus ticks during the peripartum. Experimental and Applied Acarology. 2015; 63:551-557.

- 5. Ghosh S, Bansal GC, Gupta SC, Ray D, Khan MQ, Irshad H. *et al.* Status of tick distribution in Bangladesh, India and Pakistan. Parasitology Research. 2007; 101:207-216.
- 6. Lew-Tabor AE, Valle MR. A review of reverse vaccinology approaches for the development of vaccines against ticks and tick borne diseases. Ticks and Tick-Borne Diseases. 2016; 7: 573-585.
- Mapholi NO, Maiwashe A, Matika O, Riggio V, Bishop SC, Mac Neil MD *et al.* Genome – wide association study of tick resistance in South African Nguni cattle. Ticks and Tick-Borne Diseases. 2016; 7:487-497.
- 8. Minjauw B, Mc Leod A. Tick-borne diseases and poverty: the impact of ticks and tick- borne diseases on the livelihoods of small-scale and marginal livestock owners in India and eastern and southern Africa. Research Report, DFID Animal Health Programme, Centre for Tropical Veterinary Medicine, University of Edinburgh, UK, 2003.
- 9. Nair AS, Ravindran R, Lakshmanan B, Sreekumar C, Kumar SS, Raju R *et al.* Bovine carriers of Anaplasma marginale and Anaplasma *bovis* in South India. Tropical Biomedicine. 2013; 30:105-12.
- 10. Ravindran R, Mishra AK, Rao JR. Slide enzyme-linked immuno sorbent assay for the diagnosis of Babesia bigemina infection in bovines. Veterinary Research communications. 2007; 31:999-1004.
- Utech KBW, Seifert GW, Wharton RH. Breeding Australian Illawarra Short horn cattle for resistance to *Boophilus microplus*. I. Factors affecting resistance. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research. 1978; 29:411-422.
- 12. Wharton RH, Utech KBW. The relation between engorgement and dropping of *Boophilus microplus* (*Canestrini*) (*Ixodidae*) to the assessment of tick numbers on cattle. Journal of the Australian Entomological Society. 1970; 9:171-182.