

E-ISSN: 2320-7078 P-ISSN: 2349-6800 www.entomoljournal.com JEZS 2020; 8(2): 1153-1156

JEZS 2020; 8(2): 1153-1156 © 2020 JEZS Received: 16-01-2020 Accepted: 18-02-2020

Kekiepeu Nza

M. V. Sc Scholar, Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Sciences & Animal Husbandry, Central Agricultural University, Selesih, Aizawl, Mizoram, India

Corresponding Author: Kekiepeu Nza

M. V. Sc Scholar, Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Sciences & Animal Husbandry, Central Agricultural University, Selesih, Aizawl, Mizoram, India

Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies

Available online at www.entomoljournal.com



Partial replacement of maize with palm oil (*Elaeis guineensis*) Sludge in broiler chicken diet: Effect on the haemato-biochemical properties and carcass characteristics

Kekiepeu Nza

Abstract

A feeding trial was carried out to elucidate the effect of replacing maize with Palm Oil Sludge on blood profile and carcass characteristics of broiler chicken. A completely randomized design was used for 200 (day old) broiler chicks. Palm Oil Sludge was incorporated in the experimental diets (mash form) at 0% (Group-1, control), 10% (Group-2), 15% (Group-3) and 20% (Group-4) to replace maize as energy source for a period of 6 weeks. Blood collection was done at 2 different occasions i.e. on 21^{st} and 42^{nd} day. The blood biochemical and hematological parameters showed no significant (*P*>0.05) difference among the groups in both the collections. At the end of the experiment, 20 birds, one from each replicate were selected for evaluation of carcass traits. The result obtained showed no significant difference (*P*>0.05). The sensory parameters showed no significant difference (*P*>0.05).

Keywords: Palm oil sludge, broiler chicken, haemato-biochemical, carcass characteristics

Introduction

Poultry meat is an important source of high quality proteins, minerals and vitamins to balance the human diet. To achieve optimum economic benefit within short time it is important to provide balanced diet to the commercial broilers. However, Maize, due to it high cost, it is becoming more expensive to use at high level in poultry feeds. Therefore, unconventional feed resources such as POS can be an alternative as total or partial replacement of maize in feeding of poultry birds as it is cheaper and locally available in abundance.

Palm oil, sometimes known as African Oil Palm or Macaw fat, belongs to the genus: *Elaeis*, Species: *E. Guineensis*, was first describe by Michel Adanson^[1]. Palm Oil Sludge (POS) is the material that remains after decanting the palm oil mill effluent^[2]. Palm oil sludge has a crude protein of 9.6% and metabolisable energy of 4245 kcal/kg^[3]. This makes it relatively comparable to maize with protein content of 9% and metabolisable energy of 3434 kcal/kg. Studies^[4] have shown that POME contain organic and essential nutrient which may be used to grow micro-algae as natural food for aquatic organism and it could be used as fertilizers and animal feeds^[5]. This study was designed to assess the effect of replacing up to 20% energy from dietary maize with energy supplied by palm oil sludge on blood haemato-biochemical properties and carcass characteristics of broiler chicken.

Materials and Methods

The research work was carried out in the Instructional Livestock Farm and Department of Livestock Product Technology, College of Veterinary Sciences & Animal Husbandry, Selesih, Aizawl.

Experimental design and management:

A basal diet of 23% crude protein and 3000 kcal/kg ME for pre-starter, 22% crude protein and 3100 kcal/kg ME for starter and 20% crude protein and 3200 kcal/kg ME for finisher was formulated. The maize was then replaced with the palm oil sludge at the rates of 0%, 10%, 15% and 20% in diet-1, diet-2, diet-3 and diet-4, respectively. During the 42 days feeding trial, a total of 200 numbers of day old broiler chickens of either sex was randomly distributed into four groups of fifty (50) birds each.

In each group, there were 5 replicate with ten (10) birds each. Each group was randomly divided into four dietary treatment groups of five replicates to study the effect of Palm oil sludge on haemato-biochemical properties, carcass traits, dressing percentage and sensory evaluation of broiler. Birds were raised under deep litter system of management. They were subjected to standard management and health practices. Drinkers was washed daily and fresh feed and water was served daily *ad libitum*. After taking the body weight (day old), birds were randomly allocated to dietary treatment in which 0, 10%, 15%, 20% of Maize was replaced on a weight for weight basis with sludge in a mash form. The birds were fed twice daily at 6:00 am in the morning and 4:00 pm in the evening.

Blood collection was done from 2 birds per replicate on 21st and 42nd day of the feeding trial from the wing vein. Blood samples were collected from the wing vein on inner side of the elbow joint of the birds. A 2 ml Tuberculin syringe fitted with a sterile needle was carefully inserted into the vein after manual ligation and about 2 ml of blood were withdrawn and quickly added to Vacutainer containing Ethylene Diamine Tetraacetic Acid (EDTA). The sample bottle was shaken gently to mix up the blood with the EDTA to prevent clotting. For estimation of blood biochemical parameter, another 2 ml of blood was taken in a vacutainer having clot activator and the serum was separated by centrifugation at 4000 rpm for 5 minutes and stored at -20 °C until further analysis. At the end of the trial 1 birds per replicate was sacrificed to obtain the

relative organ weight, carcass characteristics and muscle development as described ^[6]. Carcass weight was recorded after removing head, feathers, lungs, shank and the viscera. Dressing percentage was calculated by the formula:

Sensory Evaluation

Cuts obtained from the breast region from different carcasses were cooked for 25 minutes under the same temperature and pressure and were subjected to sensory evaluation on an 8point hedonic scale for various sensory attributes of appearance, flavour, juiciness, texture and overall palatability.

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using general linear model procedure ^[7] for discussion, interpretation and result.

Results and discussion

Effect of replacing maize partially by palm oil sludge on blood biochemical and hematological parameters: Effect of replacing maize partially by palm oil sludge on hematological parameters:

The hematological parameters like hemoglobin, White blood cells, total erythrocyte count and differential leukocyte count are shown in Table 1.

Table 1	: Effect of	replacing	g maize p	partially	by pa	lm oil	slud	ge on	hemato	logical	parameters:	
---------	-------------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-------	--------	------	-------	--------	---------	-------------	--

G 1	G 2	G 3	G 4	P value			
18.50±1.29	17.84±2.45	17.06±1.95	17.83±2.25	0.968			
18.42±2.31	17.86±1.25	17.44±0.76	16.83±1.79	0.916			
	RBC (m/mm	3)					
2.22±0.15	2.18±0.15	2.31±0.15	2.20 ± 0.28	0.961			
2.56±0.38	2.28±0.34	2.53±0.34	2.41±0.18	0.906			
	HCT %						
23.63±1.31	19.64±0.82	26.15±3.008	25.1±2.92	0.223			
24.44±1.93	23.13±2.71	28.25±2.35	25.7±2.26	0.475			
	MCV (µm ³)						
106.11±1.17 ^a	105.21±1.99 ^a	115.5±2.31 ^b	114.78±2.81 ^b	0.006*			
110.07 ± 2.16	113.13±9.97	111.61±3.07	106.4±0.62	0.831			
$1^{\text{cohection}}$ $106.11\pm1.17^{\text{cohection}}$ $105.21\pm1.99^{\text{cohection}}$ $113.3\pm2.51^{\text{cohection}}$ $114.78\pm2.81^{\text{cohection}}$ $0.006^{\text{cohection}}$ 2^{nd} collection 110.07 ± 2.16 113.13 ± 9.97 111.61 ± 3.07 106.4 ± 0.62 0.831 <							
7.85 ± 0.49	7.97±0.46	7.76±0.72	8.23±0.71	0.952			
7.83±0.89	7.4±0.66	7.98±0.53	8.08±0.77	0.915			
	THR (m/mm	3)					
255.7±21.19	263.3±50.37	248.6±12.47	243.8±13.40	0.966			
263.1±19.97	258.36±32.34	244.2±15.25	229.1±24.33	0.745			
WBC (m/m ^{r)}) G1 G2 G3 G4 P Val 1 st collection 18,50±1.29 17,84±2.45 17,06±1.95 17.83±2.25 0.90 2^{nd} collection 18,42±2.31 17.86±1.25 17.44±0.76 16.83±1.79 0.91 RBC (m/mm ³) 1 st collection 2.22±0.15 2.18±0.15 2.31±0.15 2.20±0.28 0.90 HCT % MCV (µm ³) 1 st collection 23.63±1.31 19.64±0.82 26.15±3.008 25.1±2.92 0.22 2 nd collection 24.44±1.93 23.13±2.71 28.25±2.35 25.7±2.26 0.47 MCV (µm ³) 1 st collection 106.11±1.17 ^a 105.21±1.99 ^a 115.5±2.31 ^b 114.78±2.81 ^b 0.00 2 nd collection 106.11±1.17 ^a 105.21±1.99 ^a 115.5±2.31 ^b 114.78±2.81 ^b 0.00 2 nd collection 7.85±0.49 7.97±0.46 7.76±0.72 8.23±0.71 0.95 2 nd collection 7.83±0.89 7.4±							
	Lymphocyte	2					
45.41±2.10	46.83±4.22	46.82±4.77	50.94±2.37	0.721			
47.7±2.96	48.42 ± 2.48	48.37±1.46	46.3±1.92	0.903			
	Monocyte						
11.88±1.7	11.42±0.57	11.43±0.63	11.3±0.85	0.956			
10.91±0.46	11.69±0.46	11.53±0.94	12.79±0.76	0.356			
WBC (m/mm ⁻) G I G 2 G 3 G 4 P Value 1 st collection 18.50±1.29 17.84±2.45 17.06±1.95 17.83±2.25 0.968 2 nd collection 18.42±2.31 17.86±1.25 17.44±0.76 16.83±1.79 0.916 2.22±0.15 2.18±0.15 2.31±0.15 2.20±0.28 0.961 2 nd collection 2.56±0.38 2.28±0.34 2.53±0.34 2.41±0.18 0.906 2.363±1.31 19.64±0.82 26.15±3.008 25.1±2.92 0.223 2 nd collection 23.63±1.31 19.64±0.82 26.15±3.008 25.1±2.92 0.223 2 nd collection 24.44±1.93 23.13±2.71 28.25±2.35 25.7±2.26 0.475 106.11±1.17 ^a 105.21±1.99 ^a 115.5±2.31 ^b 114.78±2.81 ^b 0.006* 110.07±2.16 113.13±9.97 111.61±3.07 106.4±0.62 0.831 7.83±0.89 7.4±0.66 7.98±0.53 8.08±0.77 0.952 <td collecti<="" td=""></td>							
44.63±3.52	40.83±4.35	42.92±4.32	43.74±2.98	0.909			
45.59±5.22	45.06±4.54	42.93±2.87	41.51±2.84	0.878			
	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm G\ 1} \\ 18.50 {\pm} 1.29 \\ 18.42 {\pm} 2.31 \\ \hline \\ 2.22 {\pm} 0.15 \\ 2.56 {\pm} 0.38 \\ \hline \\ 23.63 {\pm} 1.31 \\ 24.44 {\pm} 1.93 \\ \hline \\ 106.11 {\pm} 1.17^{a} \\ 110.07 {\pm} 2.16 \\ \hline \\ 7.85 {\pm} 0.49 \\ 7.83 {\pm} 0.89 \\ \hline \\ 255.7 {\pm} 21.19 \\ 263.1 {\pm} 19.97 \\ \hline \\ {\rm Di} \\ 45.41 {\pm} 2.10 \\ 47.7 {\pm} 2.96 \\ \hline \\ 11.88 {\pm} 1.7 \\ 10.91 {\pm} 0.46 \\ \hline \\ 44.63 {\pm} 3.52 \\ 45.59 {\pm} 5.22 \\ \hline \end{array}$	G 1G 2 18.50 ± 1.29 17.84 ± 2.45 18.42 ± 2.31 17.86 ± 1.25 RBC (m/mm 2.22 ± 0.15 2.18 ± 0.15 2.56 ± 0.38 2.28 ± 0.34 HCT % 23.63 ± 1.31 19.64 ± 0.82 24.44 ± 1.93 23.13 ± 2.71 MCV (µm³) 106.11 ± 1.17^a 105.21 ± 1.99^a 110.07 ± 2.16 113.13 ± 9.97 Hb (g/dl) 7.85 ± 0.49 7.97 ± 0.46 7.83 ± 0.89 7.4 ± 0.66 THR (m/mm 255.7 ± 21.19 263.3 ± 50.37 263.1 ± 19.97 258.36 ± 32.34 Differential leukocyteLymphocyte 45.41 ± 2.10 46.83 ± 4.22 47.7 ± 2.96 48.42 ± 2.48 Monocyte 11.88 ± 1.7 11.42 ± 0.57 10.91 ± 0.46 11.69 ± 0.46 Granulocyte 44.63 ± 3.52 40.83 ± 4.35 45.59 ± 5.22 45.06 ± 4.54	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	G 1G 2G 3G 4 18.50 ± 1.29 17.84 ± 2.45 17.06 ± 1.95 17.83 ± 2.25 18.42 ± 2.31 17.86 ± 1.25 17.44 ± 0.76 16.83 ± 1.79 RBC (m/mm³) 2.22 ± 0.15 2.18 ± 0.15 2.31 ± 0.15 2.20 ± 0.28 2.56 ± 0.38 2.28 ± 0.34 2.53 ± 0.34 2.41 ± 0.18 HCT % 23.63 ± 1.31 19.64 ± 0.82 26.15 ± 3.008 25.1 ± 2.92 24.44 ± 1.93 23.13 ± 2.71 28.25 ± 2.35 25.7 ± 2.26 MCV (µm³) 106.11 ± 1.17^a 105.21 ± 1.99^a 115.5 ± 2.31^b 114.78 ± 2.81^b 110.07 ± 2.16 113.13 ± 9.97 111.61 ± 3.07 106.4 ± 0.62 Hb (g/dl) 7.85 ± 0.49 7.97 ± 0.46 7.76 ± 0.72 8.23 ± 0.71 7.83 ± 0.89 7.4 ± 0.66 7.98 ± 0.53 8.08 ± 0.77 THR (m/mm³) 255.7 ± 21.19 263.3 ± 50.37 248.6 ± 12.47 243.8 ± 13.40 263.1 ± 19.97 258.36 ± 32.34 244.2 ± 15.25 229.1 ± 24.33 Differential leukocyte count (%)Lymphocyte 45.41 ± 2.10 46.83 ± 4.22 46.82 ± 4.77 50.94 ± 2.37 47.7 ± 2.96 48.42 ± 2.48 48.37 ± 1.46 46.3 ± 1.92 11.88 ± 1.7 11.42 ± 0.57 11.43 ± 0.63 11.3 ± 0.85 10.91 ± 0.46 11.69 ± 0.46 11.53 ± 0.94 12.79 ± 0.76 Granulocyte44.63\pm3.52 40.83 ± 4.35 42.92 ± 4.32 43.74 ± 2.98 45.59 ± 5.22 45.06 ± 4.54 42.93 ± 2.87 <			

Values with different superscript in same row differ significantly ($P \le 0.05$)

All data obtained during analysis on both the occasions was not significant (P>0.05) except for MCV (μ m³) at 21st day collection, which showed significant (P≤0.05) difference among the groups. This, probably, was due to stress and poor nutrition, as slight fall in MCV level in blood is usually attributed to malnutrition or low iron or high mineral intake (table 1). However, on 42^{nd} day collection the data recorded for MCV (µm³) showed no significant (*P*>0.05) difference among the groups that may be due to absence of stress towards the end of the experiment as well as with increase in

Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies

the age the birds could probably maintain proper nutrition. It is ^[8] reported that the hematological variables of the broiler chickens were not significantly (P>0.05) influenced by dietary treatments, which supports the present study. It is also ^[9] reported that decreased RBC is usually associated with low quality feed and protein deficiency. The hematological values of the present study were comparable to those quoted for domestic and commercial chickens ^[10, 11].

Effect of replacing maize partially by palm oil sludge on blood biochemical parameters:

Blood biochemical parameters like blood glucose, protein, uric acid, SGOT and SGPT are given in Table 2. Blood glucose, protein, uric, Blood SGPT and Blood SGOT Mean \pm S.E estimated values showed no significant difference (*P*>0.05) among the groups.

Glucose(mg/dl)	G 1	G 2	G 3	G 4	P value			
1 st collection	246.07±16.73	244.75±21.075	244.07 ± 18.78	246.09 ± 14.68	1.00			
2 nd collection	249.33±20.50	245.98±18.33	245.95±17.49	246.82±17.85	0.999			
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$							
1 st collection	4.15±0.36	4.06±0.38	4.35±0.55	4.71±0.4	0.730			
2 nd collection	4.22±0.41	4.22±0.370	4.07±0.35	4.13±0.15	0.988			
	Uric acid (mg/dl)							
1 st collection	5.45 ± 0.42	5.2±1.19	4.34±0.88	5.7±0.53	0.670			
2 nd collection	5.45 ± 0.4	5.35±0.54	5.22±0.43	4.96±0.42	0.883			
		SGPT (U/m)	l)					
1 st collection	24.65±0.99	26.3±0.73	26.06±1.21	26.4±1.07	0.606			
2 nd collection	25.56±0.4	28.03±2.18	26.92±0.68	28.95±1.66	0.398			
	SGOT (U/ml)							
1st collection	182.8±24.04	154.4 ± 14.32	163.6±6.24	209.7±20.78	0.170			
2 nd collection	165±18.11	131.1±11.79	162.9±12.98	212.7±28.15	0.053			

Table 2: Effect of re	placing maize	partially by palm	n oil sludge on h	blood biochemical	parameters
	praving manue	partially of pain	I on braage on t	Stood of other the children	parativero

A similar finding ^[12] was observed in an experiment conducted with unconventional feed (Indomie waste-based diets) in broiler chicken both in hematological as well as biochemical blood profile. In the present study the hematological and blood biochemical values obtained were within the normal range which is indicative of the facts that palm oil sludge based diets were adequately utilized thus indicating the normal functioning of the internal organs, absence of anemia and stress related problems of the experimental birds.

Carcass characteristics of broilers

There was no significant difference (P>0.05) in the live weight of experimental birds among the four groups. The inclusion of palm oil sludge at 0%, 10%, 15% and 20% levels by replacing maize in the diet of the broiler had no significant effect (P>0.05) on dressed carcass, head, shank, drumette, thigh, drumstick, wing, wingtip, neck, back, breast, heart, liver, gizzard, spleen, abdominal fat and oil gland as shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Effect of replacing maize	e partially by palm oil sludge on	dressing percentage and other car	rcass traits of experimental birds
------------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	------------------------------------

Features	G1	G2	G3	G4	P value
Live weight (g)	2096.2±73.65	2107.2±48.77	2276.4±53.40	2192.2±111.83	0.335
Dressedcarcass (g)	1558.88±64.59	1575.64±31.44	1710.24±43.91	1587.28±69.32	0.227
Head (g)	53.8±3.85	52.24±3.68	56.72±1.54	56.72±1.95	0.641
Shank (g)	76.86±4.35	82.24±1.25	89.44±4.57	85.04±3.65	0.151
Drumette (g)	78.52±0.65	80.36±1.59	87.16±7.58	82.4±1.86	0.480
Thigh (g)	242.32±13.86	234.96±7.95	251.88±8.33	249.68±15.30	0.740
Drumstick (g)	171.16±8.25	184.84±6.3	208.6±13.13	183.48±5.12	0.056
Wing (g)	56.96±1.61	55.24±1.4	60±1.67	58.72±1.86	0.203
Wingtip (g)	19.44±0.67	18.28±0.5	19.56±0.82	19.12±0.51	0.506
Neck (g)	73±4.84	82.04±3.451	86.64 ± 5.80	80.72±2.50	0.206
Back (g)	286.2±9.11	290.04±12.12	324.04±9.63	285.84±12.69	0.073
Breast (g)	512.88±26.64	508.24±5.96	542.2±22.19	506.16±31.32	0.682
Heart (g)	15.12±0.74	12.64±0.50	14.84±1.13	12.76±0.37	0.055
Liver (g)	46.12±1.53	50.96±2.25	48±1.30	45.52±2.53	0.244
Gizzard (g)	38.84±2.19	41.44±2.19	46.88±2.51	44.64±4.091	0.279
Spleen (g)	3.04±0.43	2.88 ± 0.18	2.84±0.39	3.2±0.17	0.852
Abdominal fat (g)	11.28±4.93	18.84±3.33	11±1.82	10.56±4.29	0.380
Oil gland (g)	16.92±0.93	16.16±2.19	17.52±1.67	17±1.61	0.951
Dressing %	74.30±0.75	74.8±0.61	75.13±0.84	72.52±0.96	0.143

Dressing Percentage

The dressing percentage was observed as 74.30 ± 0.75 , 74.8 ± 0.61 , 75.13 ± 0.84 and 72.52 ± 0.96 for group-1, group-2, group-3 and group-4, respectively. Statistical analysis showed that there were no significant differences (*P*>0.05) among the groups in respect to dressing percentage. A similar study ^[13]

also showed result relevant to the present study.

Sensory Evaluation

Average values of the sensory evaluation (Table 4) done on various sensory attributes of appearance & colour, flavour, texture, juiciness and overall acceptability.

Attributes	G1	G2	G3	G4	P value
Appearance and colour	7.14±0.14	7.12±0.11	7.2±0.12	7.14±0.12	0.974
Flavour	7.03±0.11	7.21±0.11	7.23±0.11	7.09±0.13	0.557
Texture	7.09±0.13	7.12±0.13	7.34±0.12	7.25±0.11	0.484
Juiciness	6.96±0.11 ^a	6.98±0.13 ^a	7.37±0.114 ^b	7.42±0.10 ^b	0.007*
Overall acceptability	7.21±0.11	7.14±0.10	7.39±0.0.86	7.43±0.09	0.119

Table 4: Effect of replacing maize partially by palm oil sludge on sensory evaluation of carcass of broilers

Values with different superscript in same row differ significantly ($P \le 0.05$)

Statistical analysis showed no significant difference for parameters like appearance & colour, flavour, texture and overall acceptability (P>0.05) among the groups. But juiciness showed significant difference ($P \le 0.05$). Group 1 and group 2 showed comparable results but differed significantly ($P \le 0.05$) from group-3 and group-4, whereas group-3 and group-4 were comparable to each other. Juiciness as observed showed increasing trend with increasing level of palm oil sludge in the diet. With increasing level of palm oil sludge in the diet rice bran oil was added in an increasing order as well to balance ME content of the diet. Juiciness in meat is attributed to water retention capacity and marbling effect which is dependent on dietary fats (high energy). Lipids are the key ingredient for marbling ^[14], thereby increasing the juiciness of meat.

Conclusion

From the above study, it may be concluded that:

- 1. Palm oil sludge can be used in broiler ration by partially replacing maize grain without causing adverse effect on the haemato-biochemical properties of the birds. Palm oil sludge was not found to have any deleterious effect on broilers even at 20% level of inclusion as a substitute of maize.
- 2. It is revealed that broilers fed palm oil sludge based diets had demonstrated no detrimental effect on the carcass traits.

References

- 1. Wikipedia contributors. "Elaeis Guineensis". Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia, 2020. (Accessed on 28 march 2020).
- 2. Devendra C, Yeong SW, Ong, HK. The potential value of palm oil mill effluent (POME) as feed source for farm animals in Malaysia. Proceedings of National Workshop on Oil Palm By-Product Utilization, Institut Penyelidikan Minyak Kelapa. IPMKSM, Kuala Lumpur (Malaysia), 1983, 63-75.
- 3. Devendra C. Utilization of Feeding stuffs from the Oil Palm. Proc. Symposium feeding stuffs for Livestock in South East Asia, National University of Malaysia, Kaula Lumpur, 17 Oct. 1977, 116-131.
- Habib MAB, Yusoff FM, Phang SM, Ang KJ, Mohamed S. Nutritional values of chironomid larvae grown in palm oil mill effluent and algal culture. Aquaculture. 1997; 158:95-105.
- 5. Wu TY *et al.* Palm oil mill effluent (POME) treatment and bio resources recovery using ultra filtration membrane: effect of pressure on membrane fouling. Biochemical Engineering Journal. 2007; 35(3):309-317.
- Agbede JO. Biochemical composition and nutritive quality of the seed and leaf protein concentrate from underutilized trees and herbaceous legumes. A Ph.D. Thesis in the Department of Animal Production and Health, Federal University of Technology, Akure, 2000,

1-293.

- Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. Statistical Methods. 1st East-West Press Ed. Affiliated East-West Private Ltd. New Delhi, 1994.
- 8. Onibi GE, Bobadoye AO, Folorunso OR. Haematological indices, serum cholesterol and meat quality of broiler chickens fed diets with palm oil sludge substituting maize, Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America. 2011; 2(3):552-558.
- 9. Brown JA, Clime TR. Nutrition and haematological values. Journal of Animal Science. 1972; 35:211-218.
- Oyewale JO. Haematological studies on apparently healthy Nigerian domestic chickens (*Gallus domesticus*). Bulletin of Animal Health and Production in Africa. 1987; 35:108-112.
- 11. Awotwi EK. A Study of Baseline Haematological Values of Domestic and Commercial chickens in Ghana. Bulletin of Animal Health and Production in Africa, 1990; 38:453-458.
- 12. Akinola LAF, Etuk MO. Haematological and Serum Biochemical Responses of Broilers Fed Varying Levels of Indomie Waste-Based Diets. Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science. 2015; 8(3):66-70.
- Bobadoye AO, Onibi GE, Fajemisin AN, Olasupo OO, Bobadoye BO. Replacing Maize with Palm Oil Sludge in Broiler Chicken Diets: Effect on Carcass Characteristics, Organ Weight and Muscle Development. International journal of sustainable crop production. 2008; 3(6):1-5.
- 14. Johnson brad. Increasing marbling in beef without increasing overall fatness. Science Daily, www.sciencedaily.com. 5 May 2016