



E-ISSN: 2320-7078

P-ISSN: 2349-6800

[www.entomoljournal.com](http://www.entomoljournal.com)

JEZS 2020; 8(2): 247-251

© 2020 JEZS

Received: 05-01-2020

Accepted: 08-02-2020

**Dina Nath Pandit**Department of Zoology, VKS  
University, Arrah, Bihar, India**Amrita Jaiswal**Department of Zoology, VKS  
University, Arrah, Bihar, India

## Evaluation of acute toxicity, stress and safe level of chloramphenicol and ginger to an Indian air-breathing fish, *Channa punctatus* (Bloch)

**Dina Nath Pandit and Amrita Jaiswal**

### Abstract

A maiden study was conducted to evaluate the acute toxicity and ethological stress of chloramphenicol and ginger to an Indian freshwater air-breathing fish *Channa punctatus* (Bloch). 96hr-LC<sub>50</sub> dose of fish was determined by Behrens-Karber and Reed-Muench method. The safety levels of these materials were calculated by various methods. The physico-chemical parameters of experimental were found with the favourable limits. The ideal median 96hr-LC<sub>50</sub> value was determined 55.14 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and 3251.25 mg fish<sup>-1</sup> (56.5g kg<sup>-1</sup>) for chloramphenicol and ginger respectively in a semi-static method. The observations indicated that the fish were more sensitive to chloramphenicol than ginger. There were large variations in the safe levels estimated by different methods for the test materials. In addition to dose and dose-time dependent increase in mortality rate, stress signs in the form of behavioral changes were observed in response to the test materials. Therefore, precautions should be taken when high concentrations of chloramphenicol and ginger are used in chronic treatment of *Channa punctatus*.

**Keywords:** *Channa punctatus*, Chloramphenicol, ginger, ethological responses, acute toxicity, safe level

### Introduction

Intensive culture has been introduced to support worldwide demand of fish. A large number of feed additives are available to reinforce fish growth. Many antibiotics are used in this context. The utilization of antibiotics seems essential to check or treat fish diseases and to promote fish growth and health [1]. Chloramphenicol, Oxytetracycline and Erythromycin is used by most of the fish farmers. Chloramphenicol is used to treat bacterial infections in fish and other purposes in pisciculture [2-3]. However, critical issues are raised on the application of antibiotics due to its side effects on aquatic ecosystems. So, attention has been shifted to phytobiotics as a viable alternative to antibiotics [4]. Many workers have reported the beneficial effects of ginger as dietary additives in fish [5-6].

But, report on toxicity stress of Chloramphenicol and ginger in fishes is lacking. Therefore, *Channa punctatus* (Bloch), one of the most common Indian air-breathing fish was selected to study its acute toxicity and safe level.

The maiden study will help to establish the optimum dose of antibiotics/phytobiotics to ensure the production of healthy fish and safety for man.

### Materials and Methods

Live specimens of *Channa punctatus* (Bloch) (50.0-65.0g body weight, 16.0-20.0cm) were purchased from the local market of Arrah (Bhojpur), Bihar during November 2017 to January 2019. They were acclimatized for a fortnight in Departmental Laboratory of VKS University, Arrah. The fishes were disinfected by washing properly with dilute KMnO<sub>4</sub> and then transferred to many large aquaria and fed with fish food.

The physico-chemical parameters of water used during the experimental works were determined using standard methods [7].

Range finding was conducted at first to determine the dose of test solution. Ten specimens were randomly given to Chloramphenicol (0, 40, 50.... 80 mg L<sup>-1</sup>) and ginger (0, 2500, 3000.... 4500 mg fish<sup>-1</sup>) feed and another set of control. The following methods were used to calculate LC<sub>50</sub> dose from its estimation to confirmation:

1. Behren-Karber method [8, 9] and 2. Reed-Muench method [10].

**Corresponding Author:****Dina Nath Pandit**Department of Zoology, VKS  
University, Arrah, Bihar, India

The safe level estimation after 96hr exposure of test materials was determined following standard methods [11-14].

Statistical analysis was done with Graph Pad Prism 5 software. Data were entered in a Microsoft Excel spreadsheet. All the entries were double checked for any possible key board error. Results were presented as mean with standard deviation. The data were subjected to one way ANOVA to determine level of significance.

## Results and Discussion

**1. Physico-chemical characteristics of water:** The physicochemical characteristics of test water having temperature of  $22.0 \pm 2.0^\circ\text{C}$ , pH:  $7.48 \pm 0.28$ , dissolved oxygen:  $6.4 \pm 0.8 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ , total alkalinity:  $76.0 \pm 4.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ , hardness:  $150.6 \pm 5.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  and chloride:  $16.7 \pm 0.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  was recorded during experimental period. The observed parameters are within in range for favourable growth performances has been documented [15]. Physiological parameters like concentration and formulation of antibiotics and phytobiotics and its exposure also substantially have an effect on such studies [16].

**2. Ethological observations:** Fish exposed to different doses of chloramphenicol and ginger displayed uncoordinated behavior. At preliminary exposure, fish were alert, stopped swimming and remained static in position in response to sudden alternations inside the surrounding environment. After sometime they attempted to avoid the toxic water with fast swimming and jumping. Faster opercula activity was observed as surfacing and gulping of air.

Their fins became tough and stretched due to stretching of body muscles. They secreted enormous amounts of mucus from entire body constantly and soon thick layer of mucus was found deposited inside the buccal cavity and gills. Body pigmentation of fish was increased. Ultimately fish lost balance and became unconscious, engaged in rolling movement and have become exhausted and lethargic. At last, fish exhibited vertical posture for a couple of minutes with mouth up close to the surface of the water trying to gulp air and tail in a downward direction. Soon they settled at the bottom of the tank, and after some time their bellies grew to become upward and the fish died, at the same time the opercula remained wide open exposing the gills.

Behavioral alterations as a result of stress are further standard as the maximum sensitive indication of potential toxic effects. The numerous behavioral modifications like restlessness, abnormal swimming behavior, vigorous jerks of body, loss of balance, myotonia and anorexia observed in *Channa punctatus* are like the earlier observations in fishes exposed to various toxicants [17-19].

**3. 96hr-LC<sub>50</sub> dose determination:** LC<sub>50</sub> values recorded in this study were attributed to size of fishes with potentially immune system for biotransformation of test substances from the body. Moreover, the rapid distribution of test substances in the body of fishes lead to faster alterations in behaviour than the normal for the uptake of a toxicant is directly dependent on the size of fishes.

**(I) Behren-Karber method [8-9]:** It is a non-parametric method. Equal spacing of the interval of dose and the equal number of fish at each dose was applied for observation from 0 to 100%.

(A) 96hr-LC<sub>50</sub> dose of chloramphenicol =  $55.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  (Table 1).

(B) 96hr-LC<sub>50</sub> dose of ginger =  $3262.5 \text{ mg fish}^{-1}$  ( $56.739\text{g/kg}$ ) (Table 2).

**(II) Reed-Muench method [10]:** In this method, experimentation with 10 fish (mean body weight:  $57.5 \text{ g}$ ) per each dose is necessary for better correlation. Further, the least test dose must kill one fish and there should be only four test doses. It leads to a bias in the estimation of the LC<sub>50</sub> if the log of the dose is not spaced symmetrically about log LC<sub>50</sub>. The method was modified in calculating survival and mortality of percent of test animals to arrive a conclusion [20].

(A) 96hr-LC<sub>50</sub> dose of chloramphenicol =  $54.20 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  (Table 3).

The ideal LC<sub>50</sub> was calculated from the mean of MLC<sub>50</sub> and MSC<sub>50</sub> =  $\frac{54.20 + 55.34}{2} = 54.77 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  (Table 2). The difference between MSC<sub>50</sub> and MLC<sub>50</sub> is  $55.34 - 54.20 = 1.14 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ .

The conformation of LC<sub>50</sub> was done applying three cross checks: (a) Sum of doses and sum of mortalities: Its value was  $\frac{40+50+60+70}{1+3+6+9} = \frac{220}{19} = 11.59$ .

Multiplication of  $11.59 \times 5$  is  $57.89$ . Therefore, LC<sub>50</sub> =  $57.89 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ . The value was close to the ideal LC<sub>50</sub> value. (b) The ratio of MLC<sub>50</sub> and MSC<sub>50</sub>: It

was found  $\frac{54.20}{55.34} = 0.98$  and  $1 - 0.98 = 0.02$ . Multiplication of  $0.02$  with  $54.20$  is  $1.08$ . This dose was almost equal to the value of difference. (c) Average weight of a fish (g) =  $57.5 \text{ g}$ . Therefore,  $54.77 \text{ mg}$  Chloramphenicol kills a fish of  $57.5 \text{ g}$ .

Suppose,  $x = 1000 \text{ g}$ . Then  $x = \frac{1000.0 - 54.77}{57.5} = 16.44 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  or  $54.77 - 16.44 = 38.331 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ . LC<sub>50</sub> of a Chloramphenicol

= LC<sub>50</sub> =  $\left(\frac{EC_{50}}{3}\right) \times g \times 10^{-4} = \frac{38.331}{3} \times 57.5 \times 10^{-4} = 0.0735 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ . It shows that ideal median 96hr-LC<sub>50</sub>  $\sim$  EC<sub>50</sub>.

Thus, ideal mean lethal 96hr-LC<sub>50</sub> of chloramphenicol was  $55.50 + 54.77 = 55.14 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ . A dose of  $50.0 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  of chloramphenicol has been reported for *Clarias gariepinus* [19]. Earlier, 96hr-LC<sub>50</sub> dose of amoxicillin of  $1000 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  for *Oryzias latipes* has been investigated by Park and Choi [21]. Moreover, sub-lethal effects of two antibiotics on *Danio rerio* development under amoxicillin ( $48\text{hr-LC}_{50}$   $132.4 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ) and oxytetracycline ( $72\text{hr-LC}_{50}$   $127.6 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ ) showed delayed hatching [22]. Thus, toxicity of antibiotics to fish has been shown to be affected by age, size and their health [23].

(B) 96hr-LC<sub>50</sub> dose of ginger =  $3213.0 \text{ mg fish}^{-1}$  ( $55.878 \text{ g kg}^{-1}$ ) (Table 4).

The ideal LC<sub>50</sub> is calculated from the mean of MLC<sub>50</sub> and MSC<sub>50</sub> =  $\frac{3213.0 + 3267.0}{2} = 3240.0 \text{ mg fish}^{-1}$  (Table 4). The difference between MSC<sub>50</sub> and MLC<sub>50</sub> is  $3267.0 - 3213.0 = 54.0 \text{ mg}$ . The conformation of LC<sub>50</sub> was done applying two cross checks: (a) Sum of doses and sum of mortalities: Its

value was  $\frac{2500+3000+3500+4000}{1+3+6+9} = \frac{13000}{19} = 684.21$ . Multiplication of  $684.21 \times 5$  is  $3421.05 \text{ mg fish}^{-1}$ . Therefore, LC<sub>50</sub> =  $3421.05 \text{ mg fish}^{-1}$ . The value was also close to the ideal LC<sub>50</sub> value. (b) The ratio of MLC<sub>50</sub> and LSC<sub>50</sub>: The ratio

was found  $\frac{3213.0}{3267.0} = 0.983$  and  $1 - 0.983 = 0.017$ . Multiplication of  $0.017$  with  $3213.0$  is  $54.621$ . This dose was

almost equal to the value of difference.

Thus, ideal mean lethal 96hr-LC<sub>50</sub> of ginger was 3262.50+3240.0 = 3251.25 mg fish<sup>-1</sup> (56.5 g kg<sup>-1</sup>). A maiden work calculated 24hr-LD<sub>50</sub> dose of ginger 4.5g/kg in rats [24]. Therefore, 24hr-LD<sub>50</sub> dose of ginger should be at least 45 g kg<sup>-1</sup> in a fish following general method of safe level from rat to fish. The finding and estimation showed closeness. It seems that toxicity of ginger to fish has been shown to be stricken by age, body weight, species and more and more on tolerance limit.

The consequences showed that chloramphenicol was more toxic to the fish than ginger. The toxicity was both time and concentration dependent.

It is reported that, safe levels are added to account for uncertainties in data and evaluation processes. The safe level is also used in case of lacking of data on acute toxicity. A range of safe level of chloramphenicol was calculated from 0.5514 x 10<sup>-4</sup> to 2.757 mg L<sup>-1</sup> in *Channa punctatus*. Moreover, 0.05514 x 10<sup>-4</sup> to 0.2757 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and 0.005514 x 10<sup>-4</sup> to

0.02757 mg L<sup>-1</sup> of chloramphenicol allow a safe level for rat and man respectively. Similarly, a range of safe level of ginger was calculated from 0.618 x 10<sup>-4</sup> to 2.827 g kg<sup>-1</sup> in *Channa punctatus* (Table 5). Moreover, 0.0618 x 10<sup>-4</sup> to 0.2827 g kg<sup>-1</sup> and 0.00618 x 10<sup>-4</sup> to 0.02827 g kg<sup>-1</sup> of ginger allow a safe level for rat and man respectively. The range indicates that it is difficult to decide the acceptable concentration of either chloramphenicol or ginger in *Channa punctatus*.

The safe levels obtained in the present study showed variations among the various antibiotics and phytochemicals. However, the large variation in safe levels calculated by various methods has resulted in controversy over its acceptability [25-26]. The underscored fact that extrapolation of laboratory records to the field is not always meaningful and subsequently it is difficult to decide on an acceptable concentration based on the laboratory experiments that may be considered "safe" in the field [27].

**Table 1:** Behren-Karber method for 96hr-LC<sub>50</sub> dose of Chloramphenicol for *Channa punctatus* (body weight: 57.5 g)

Group	Dose of Chloramphenicol (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Difference between two consecutive dose (A)	No. of fish exposed	Mortality				Overall mortality at 96hr	Mean mortality Between two Consecutive dose (B)	A x B
				24hr	48hr	72hr	96hr			
1	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	40	20	10	0	0	0	1	1	10.0	
3	50	10	10	0	1	1	3	3	20.0	
4	60	10	10	1	3	5	6	6	45.0	
5	70	10	10	2	5	8	9	9	75.0	
6	80	10	10	4	7	9	10	10	95.0	
									245.0	

$$96hrLC_{50} = LC_{100} - \frac{\Sigma AB}{N} = 80 - \frac{245}{10} = 80 - 24.5 = 55.5 \text{ mg L}^{-1}.$$

**Table 2:** Behren-Karber method for 96hr-LC<sub>50</sub> dose of ginger for *Channa punctatus* (body weight: 57.5 g)

Group	Dose of ginger (mg fish <sup>-1</sup> )	Difference between two consecutive dose (A)	No. of fish exposed	Mortality				Overall mortality at 96hr	Mean mortality between two consecutive dose (B)	A x B
				24hr	48hr	72hr	96hr			
1	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2	2500	1250	10	0	0	0	1	1	625.0	
3	3000	500	10	0	1	1	3	3	1000.0	
4	3500	500	10	1	3	5	6	6	2250.0	
5	4000	500	10	2	5	8	9	9	3750.0	
6	4500	500	10	4	7	9	10	10	4750.0	
									12375.0	

$$96hrLC_{50} = LC_{100} - \frac{\Sigma AB}{N} = 4500 - \frac{12375}{10} = 4500 - 1237.5 = 3262.5 \text{ mg/fish (56.739 g kg}^{-1}\text{)}.$$

**Table 3:** Reed-Muench method for 96hr-LC<sub>50</sub> dose of Chloramphenicol in *Channa punctatus* (body weight: 57.5 g)

Sl. No.	Dose (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Log dose (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Experiment		Specific Cumulative			Rate of mortality	% mortality	% survival
			No of mortality	No. of survival	Mortality	Survival	Total			
1	40	1.602	1	9	3	36	39	$\frac{3}{39}$	7.70	92.30
2	50	1.699	3	7	6	12	18	$\frac{6}{18}$	33.33	66.67
3	60	1.778	6	4	12	5	17	$\frac{12}{17}$	70.59	29.41
4	70	1.845	9	1	21	1	22	$\frac{21}{22}$	95.45	4.55

Median lethal concentration (MLC50)	Median survival concentration (MSC50)
$\frac{50.0-33.33}{70.59-33.33} = \frac{16.67}{37.26} = 0.447$	$\frac{50.0-29.41}{66.67-29.41} = \frac{20.59}{37.26} = 0.553$
1.699 - 1.778 = 0.079	1.699 - 1.778 = 0.079
0.447 x 0.079 = 0.0353	0.553 x 0.079 = 0.0437
1.699 + 0.0353 = 1.734	1.669 + 0.0437 = 1.743
Antilog of 1.734 = 54.20 mg L-1	Antilog of 1.743 = 55.34 mg L-1
MLC50 = 54.20 mg L-1	MSC50 = 55.34 mg L-1

Sl. No.	Dose (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Log dose (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Experiment		Specific Cumulative			Rate of mortality	% mortality	% survival
			No of mortality	No. of survival	Mortality	Survival	Total			
1	2500	3.398	1	9	3	36	39	$\frac{3}{39}$	7.70	92.30
2	3000	3.477	3	7	6	12	18	$\frac{6}{18}$	33.33	66.67
3	3500	3.544	6	4	12	5	17	$\frac{12}{17}$	70.59	29.41
4	4000	3.602	9	1	21	1	22	$\frac{21}{22}$	95.45	4.55

Median lethal concentration (MLC <sub>50</sub> )	Median survival concentration (MSC <sub>50</sub> )
$\frac{50.0-33.33}{70.59-33.33} = \frac{16.67}{37.26} = 0.447$	$\frac{50.0-29.41}{66.67-29.41} = \frac{20.59}{37.26} = 0.553$
$3.477 - 3.544 = 0.067$	$3.477 - 3.544 = 0.067$
$0.447 \times 0.067 = 0.0299$	$0.553 \times 0.067 = 0.0371$
$3.477 + 0.0299 = 3.5069$	$3.477 + 0.0371 = 3.5141$
Antilog of 3.5069 = 3213.0 mg L <sup>-1</sup>	Antilog of 3.5141 = 3267.0 mg L <sup>-1</sup>
MLC <sub>50</sub> = 3213.0 mg fish <sup>-1</sup> (55.878 g kg <sup>-1</sup> )	MSC <sub>50</sub> = 3267.0 mg fish <sup>-1</sup> (56.817g kg <sup>-1</sup> )

**Table 5:** Estimation of safe levels of Chloramphenicol/ginger at 96hr exposure of *Channa punctatus* (body weight: 57.5 g)

S. No.	Method	Dose of		Accumulation Factor		Safe level	
		Chloramphenicol (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Ginger (mg fish <sup>-1</sup> )	Chloramphenicol (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Ginger (mg fish <sup>-1</sup> )	Chloramphenicol (mg L <sup>-1</sup> )	Ginger (mg fish <sup>-1</sup> )
1.	Canadian Council of Resource and Environment Minister (=CCREM) (1991) and International Joint Commission (1977)	96hr-LC <sub>50</sub> = 55.14	96hr-LC <sub>50</sub> = 3251.25	0.05	0.05	$55.14 \times 0.05 = 2.757$	$3251.25 \times 0.05 = 162.562$ (2.827 g kg <sup>-1</sup> )
2.	National Academy of Sciences/ National Academy of Engineering (=NAS/NAE) (1973)	96hr-LC <sub>50</sub> = 55.14	96hr-LC <sub>50</sub> = 3251.25	0.00001 to 0.01	0.00001 to 0.0pj1	$55.14 \times 0.01 = 0.5514$ to $55.14 \times 10^{-4}$	$3251.25 \times 0.01 = 32.512$ to $3251.25 \times 10^{-4}$
3.	Sprague (1971)	96hr-LC <sub>50</sub> = 55.14	96hr-LC <sub>50</sub> = 3251.25	0.01	0.01	$55.14 \times 0.01 = 0.5514$	$3251.25 \times 0.01 = 32.512$ (0.618 g kg <sup>-1</sup> )

## Conclusion

It may be concluded that acute toxicity studies are used as the first step to determine the water quality requirements of fish that cause fish mortality. However, chemical determination of toxicant in water may not provide information on the severity of contamination, especially in the case of sublethal levels. For better result, after the use of Behren-Karber method the Reed-Muench method may be used to confirm the value of LC<sub>50</sub> dose. The present study showed that chloramphenicol is more toxic to *Channa punctatus* than ginger. Therefore, precautions should be taken when high concentrations of chloramphenicol and even ginger are used in chronic treatment of fish.

## References

- Romero J, Feijoo CG, Paola N. Antibiotics in Aquaculture – Use, Abuse and Alternatives, Health and Environment in Aquaculture, Dr. Edmir Carvalho (Ed.), 2012. doi: 10.5772/28157.
- Kreutzmann HL. The effects of chloramphenicol and oxytetracycline on hematopoiesis in the European eel (*Anguilla anguilla*). Aquaculture. 1977; 10:323–334.
- Barros-Becker F, Romero J, Pulgar A, Feijoo CG. Persistent oxytetracycline exposure induces an inflammatory process that improves regenerative capacity in Zebra fish larvae. PLoS One. 2012; 7:e36827. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0036827.
- Ramadu KR, Dash G. A review on herbal drugs against harmful pathogens in aquaculture. American Journal of Drug Discovery and Development. 2013; 3(4):209-219.
- Chinnasamy A, Kamaraj M, Chandhirasekar DK, Durai P, Shanmugam S. Effect of dietary administration of *Zingiber officinale* on growth, survival and immune response of Indian major carp, *Catla catla* (Ham.) International Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences. 2013; 5(3):108-115.
- Jahanjoo V, Yahyavi M, Akrami R, Bahri AH. Influence of Adding Garlic (*Allium sativum*), Ginger (*Zingiber officinale*), Thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*) and Their Combination on the Growth Performance, Haemato-Immunological Parameters and Disease Resistance to *Photobacterium damsela* in Sobaity Sea Bream (*Sparidentex hasta*) Fry. Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. 2018; 18:633-645. DOI: 10.4194/1303-2712-v18\_4\_15.
- APHA. Standard Methods for the examination of water and wastewater. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C, 2009.
- Behrens B. Zur Auswertung der Digitalisblätter im Froschversuch. Naunyn-Schmiedebergs Archiv für Experimentelle Pathologie und Pharmakologie. 1929; 140:237-256.
- Karber G. Beitrag Zur Kollektiven Behandlung pharmakologischer Reihen versuche. Naunyn-Schmiedebergs Archiv für Experimentelle Pathologie und Pharmakologie. 1931; 162:480-483.
- Reed LJ, Muench H. A simple method of estimating fifty percent end points. American Journal of Hygiene. 1938; 27:493-497.
- CCREM (Canadian Council of Resource and

- Environment Ministers). Canadian Water Quality Guidelines Appendix IX., Inland Water Directorate. Environment Canada, Ottawa, Canada, 1991, IX-1 to IX-8.
12. IJC (International Joint Commission). New and Revised Great Lakes Water Quality Objectives. Canada and United States, 1977, 1.
  13. NAS/NAE (National Academy of Sciences/National Academy of Engineering). Committee on Water Quality Criteria. US Government Printing Office, Washington DC, WQC. 1972, 1973; EPA-R-R3-033.
  14. Sprague JB. Measurement of pollution toxicity to fish. In. Bioassay methods for acute toxicity. Water Research. 1975; 3:346-349.
  15. Boyd CE. Water quality in warm water fish ponds. Auburn University, Alabama, USA, 1981.
  16. Gupta PK, Khangarot BS, Durve VS. The temperature dependence of the acute toxicity of copper to a fresh water pond snail, *Viviparus bengalensis* L. Hydrobiologia. 1981; 83:461-464.
  17. Hussein SY, El-Nasser MA, Ahmed SM. Comparative studies on the effects of herbicide atrazine on freshwater fish *Oreochromis niloticus* and *Chrysichthys auratus* at Assiut Egypt. Bulletin of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology. 1996; 57:503-510.
  18. Chandra S. Toxic effects of Malathion on acetylcholinesterase activity of liver, brain and gills of freshwater catfish *Heteropneustes fossilis*. Environment Conservation. 2008; 9:45-52.
  19. Nwani CD, Mkpado BN, Onyishi G, Echi PC, Chukwuka CO, Oluah SN *et al.* Changes in behavior and hematological parameters of freshwater African catfish *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell 1822) following sublethal exposure to chloramphenicol. Drug Chemistry and Toxicology, 2013, 1-7.
  20. Saganuwan SA. A modified arithmetical method of Reed and Muench for determination of a relatively ideal median lethal dose (LD50). African Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology. 2011; 5:1543-1546.
  21. Park S, Choi, K. Hazard assessment of commonly used agricultural antibiotics on aquatic ecosystems. Ecotoxicology. 2008; 17:526-538.
  22. Oliveira R, McDonough S, Ladewig JC, Soares AM, Nogueira AJ *et al.* Effects of oxytetracycline and amoxicillin on development and biomarkers activities of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*). Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology. 2013; 36:903-912. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.etap.2013.07.019>.
  23. Abdul Farah M, Ateeg B, Ali MN, Ahmad W. Studies on lethal concentrations and toxicity stress of some xenobiotics on aquatic organisms. Chemosphere. 2004; 55:257-265.
  24. Abdulrazaq NB, Cho MM, Win NN, Zaman R, Rahman MT. Beneficial effects of ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) on carbohydrate metabolism in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats. British Journal of Nutrition. 2012; 108:1194-1201. doi: 10.1017/S0007114511006635.
  25. Buikema JR, Naider-Lehner AL, Cairns JR. Biological monitoring: Part 1V. Toxicology Testing. Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis. 1982; 33:239-262.
  26. Pandey S, Kumar R, Sharma S, Nagpure NS, Srivastava SK. Acute toxicity bioassays of mercuric chloride and malathion on air breathing fish *Channa punctatus* (Bloch). Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety. 2005; 61:114-120.
  27. Mount DI, Stephan CE. A method for stabilizing acceptable toxicant limits for fish: malathion and the butoxyethanol ester of 2, 4-D. Transactions of American Fish Society. 1967; 96:185.