

E-ISSN: 2320-7078 P-ISSN: 2349-6800 JEZS 2019; 7(5): 521-524 © 2019 JEZS Received: 04-07-2019 Accepted: 06-08-2019

#### NA Bhatt

Assistant Research Scientist, Bidi Tobacco Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

#### YM Rojasara

Associate Research Scientist, Bidi Tobacco Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

#### JN Patel

Unit Head, Bidi Tobacco Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand Gujarat, India

Correspondence NA Bhatt Assistant Research Scientist, Bidi Tobacco Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand, Gujarat, India

## Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies

Available online at www.entomoljournal.com



# Role of entomophage biodiversity park in Bidi tobacco based agro-ecosystem

## NA Bhatt, YM Rojasara and JN Patel

#### Abstract

The experiment was carried out in tobacco based agro-ecosystem. The entomophage biodiversity park along with two separate plots of tobacco near and away were raised at Bidi Tobacco Research Station, Anand Agricultural University, Anand during the year 2018. Tobacco being long duration crop passes through biotic and abiotic stresses. Among these biotic stress insect pest causes economic losses both quantitatively and qualitatively. Chemical control of these pests has certain important limitations like pesticide residues. The activity of various natural enemies like spider, coccinellids, Nesidiocoris tenuis, Geocoris ochropeterus and Rhynocoris sp, were found on different crops raised under entomophage park. Out of various bio agents maximum activity of N. tenuis was found in tobacco. The population of coccinellids were build up in flowering crops like marigold, cotton, and maize. The population of spiders were present in all the crops raised under biodiversity perk. The abundance of natural enemies and insect pests on tobacco grown nearby entmophage biodiversity park and away from it revealed more or less similar trend throughout the crop season. Coccinellids had highly significant negative correlation with Bright sun shine hours (BSS), Wind speed (WS), Minimum temperature (Min T), Mean Temperature (Mean T), Relative humidity morning (RH<sub>1</sub>), Relative humidity evening (RH<sub>2</sub>), Mean relative humidity (Mean RH), Vapor pressure morning (VP<sub>1</sub>), Vapor pressure evening (VP<sub>2</sub>) and Mean vapor pressure (Mean VP). Among the predatory bugs, Geocoris ochropeterus showed significant negative correlation with Wind speed (WS), Relative humidity evening (RH2) and Mean relative humidity (Mean RH), while Nesidiocoris tenuis established highly significant negative correlation with Bright sun shine hours (BSS), Minimum temperature (Min T), Mean Temperature (Mean T), Relative humidity morning (RH1), Relative humidity morning (RH<sub>2</sub>), Mean relative humidity (Mean RH), Vapour pressure morning (VP<sub>1</sub>), Vapour pressure evening (VP<sub>2</sub>) and Mean vapor pressure (Mean VP). Entomophage biodiversity park suggestively boosted the fecundity and survival of the natural enemies of the crop pest and such parks may play an imperative role in maintaining the biodiversity and augment natural enemies leading to natural pest control.

Keywords: Entomophage park, natural enemy, Nesidiocoris tenuis, tobacco

#### Introduction

India has a prominent place in the production of tobacco and stands second in area while third in production of tobacco in the world. Tobacco (Nicotiana tabacum L.) is one of the important commercial crops grown in about 4.5 lakh ha which is about 0.23% of cultivated land in India. About 13 states in the country grow tobacco, among them, Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, Uttar Pradesh and Karnataka are major tobacco growing states <sup>[1]</sup>. Tobacco being long period crop passes to biotic and abiotic stresses. Among these biotic stresses insect pest causes economic losses both quantitatively and qualitatively. Synthetic control by chemical insecticides of these pests has certain significant restrictions like pesticide residues. Hence there is need to rationalize pest control practices taking full advantage of biotic agents <sup>[2]</sup>. Biotic stress can be defined as a stress that is cause in plants due to damage instigated by insect, fungi, nematodes, bacteria, viruses, vertebrate pests, parasites, weeds and other cultivated plants <sup>[3]</sup>. Integrated pest management is an important ecosystem service that can be enhanced by increasing plant diversity <sup>[4]</sup>. Tobacco based agro- ecosystem and its biotic elements, which subsequently facilitate development of techniques to conserve and utilize the indigenous natural enemies of tobacco pests <sup>[5]</sup>. To define Entomophage parks is an areas free from pesticide applications set aside for the purpose of protecting populations of natural enemies <sup>[6]</sup>. With view to restore and conservation of natural enemies for insect pest an entomophage biodiversity park was raised in tobacco based agro ecosystem.

#### 2. Material and Methods

The entomophage biodiversity park was raised in the middle of tobacco based agro ecosystem in field on second week of August 2018 at Bidi Tobacco Research Station, Anand Agricultural University Anand (Gujarat). Five lines 5 m. length and 0.90 m. apart from each other were sown / transplanted with each of the seven different crops viz tobacco, marigold, sena, cotton, kuvadio (*Cassia* sp.), maize and lucerne in a following sequence, or given in the layout.

Field	Layout
I ICIU	Luyout

Т	Μ	S	С	Κ	Μ	L	М	Κ	С	S	М	Т
0	а	e	0	u	а	u	а	u	0	e	а	0
b	r	n	t	v	i	с	i	v	t	n	r	b
а	i	а	t	а	Z	e	Z	а	t	а	i	а
с	g		0	d	e	r	e	d	0		g	с
с	0		n	i		n		i	n		0	с
0	1			0				0			1	0
	d										d	

The area under entomophage biodiversity park was maintained in the field throughout the crop season kept free from spraying of any insecticides. Moreover, two separate plots of 9m X 7.5m near and away from the park with tobacco as sole crop raise at Bidi Tobacco Research Station, Unit 8, Anand Agricultural University, Anand conducted in the year 2018. The data on observations for insect pest and natural enemies was taken from entomophage park and tobacco plots rose near and away from at weekly interval. The data was analyzed as per suggested by Steel and Torrie (1960)<sup>[7]</sup>.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

The data presented in Table 1 reveal the activity of various natural enemies like spider, coccinellids, *Nesidiocoris tenuis*, *Geocoris ochropterus* and *Rhynocoris* sp, were found on different crops raised under entomophage park. Out of various bio agents maximum activity of *N. tenuis* was found in tobacco. The activity of spider was found in tobacco, marigold, sena, cotton, kuvadio, maize and lucern. The population of coccinellids were build up in flowering crops like marigold, cotton, and maize. This result indicated that natural enemies of insect pest sheltered, sustained and multiply in entomophage biodiversity park.

Gupta et al., (2012)<sup>[6]</sup> reported that the entomophage park suggestively boosted the fecundity and survival of the natural enemies of the crop pest and such parks may play an important role in maintaining the biodiversity of natural enemies and enhancing natural pest control. Nectar-providing species increased the abundance of the natural enemy <sup>[8]</sup>. The use of flowers in agroecosystems for the conservation biological control of leafroller pests <sup>[9]</sup>. Entomophilous flowering plants providing nectar showed a positive correlation to ladybird abundance and aphid parasitism [8]. Ample of natural enemies viz., predatory bugs (Nesidiocoris spp), coccinellids, chrysopa, syrphid and spiders were noticed on all the crops raised under entomophage park. In general, predatory population was noticed in tobacco, maize, cotton, redgram, marigold and bengalgram <sup>[10]</sup>. These reports are in conformity to our results.

Population of natural enemies and insect pests on tobacco grown nearby entmophage biodiversity park and away from it (Table 2) revealed more or less similar trend throughout the crop season. The infestation of whitefly, leaf eating caterpillar, capsule borer, spiders and predatory bugs remain statistically at par in a tobacco raised near and away from entomophage biodiversity park (Table 3).

The study carried out by Armin *et al.*, (2016) <sup>[8]</sup> demonstrated that the local plant community affects the regulation of crop herbivores and suggested a negative relationship with major crop plant damage caused by leaf-chewing invertebrates. Further our results indicated that tobacco grown near and away showed more or less similar population of insect pest might be due to abiotic factors preventing population buildup of insect pest in tobacco crop.

Spider have no significant correlation with any abiotic factors. Coccinellids had highly significant negative correlation with BSS, WS, Min T, Mean T, RH<sub>1</sub>, RH<sub>2</sub>, Mean RH, VP<sub>1</sub>, VP<sub>2</sub> and Mean VP Among the predatory bugs, *Geocoris ochropeterus* showed significant negative correlation with WS, RH<sub>2</sub> and Mean RH<sub>2</sub>, while *Nesidiocoris tenuis* established highly significant negative correlation with BSS, Min T, Mean T, RH<sub>1</sub>, RH<sub>2</sub>, Mean RH, VP<sub>1</sub>, VP<sub>2</sub> and Mean VP (Table 4).

Shivanna *et al.*, (2016) <sup>[10]</sup> reported that minimum and maximum temperatures were negatively correlated with spiders on maize, redgram, and cotton. Afternoon relative humidity was positively correlated with predatory bugs on marigold, maize and redgram, crysopids and spiders on marigold as against its negative correlation with coccinellids on cotton. The slight deviation in our results may be due to location and crop raised under entomophage biodiversity park.

The weed, kuvadio (*Cassia* sp) raised under entomophage biodiversity park was observed and it was noticed that eggs of *Catopsilia* sp was found on the leaves during the flowering stage which is natural host of, *Trichogramma* sp an egg parasitoid of lepidoptera.

Yousuf *et al.*, (2015) <sup>[11]</sup> reported that the eggs of *Catopsilia pyranthe* is host of *Trichogramma* sp. Conservative biocontrol is more suitable for tobacco as it aims at modifying the crop ecosystem to conserve the native natural enemies and enhance their activity to keep the pest population under check <sup>[3]</sup>.

#### 4. Conclusion

The findings of an experiment on entomophage biodiversity park along with two separate plots of tobacco near and away revealed the activity of various natural enemies like spider, coccinellids, Nesidiocoris tenuis, Geocoris ochropeterus and Rhynocoris sp, were found on different crops raised under entomophage park. Out of various bio agents maximum activity of N. tenuis was found in tobacco. The population of coccinellids were build up in flowering crops like marigold, cotton, and maize. The population of spiders were present in all the crops raised under biodiversity perk. The abundence of natural enemies and insect pests on tobacco grown nearby entmophage biodiversity park and away from it revealed more or less similar trend throughout the crop season. Entomophage biodiversity park suggestively boosted the fecundity and survival of the natural enemies of the crop pest and such parks may play an imperative role in maintaining the biodiversity and augment natural enemies lead to natural pest control.

#### 5. Acknowledgement

Authors are grateful to Hon, Director of Research and Dean PG Studies, Anand Agricultural University, Anand for encouraging research support, valued suggestions and permitting us for publication of this research work in reputed journal.

### **Table 1:** Population of natural enemies in entomophage park

CNAN	ŗ	Гob	acco	) /m	2	]	Luce	erne	/ m	2	N	Iari	gold	l / n	n <sup>2</sup>		Sei	na /	m <sup>2</sup>			Cas	sia	/ m <sup>2</sup>	2		Ma	ize /	' m <sup>2</sup>			Cot	ton	/ m <sup>2</sup>	
SMW	Sp	LB	Ge	Ne	Rh						Sp	LB	Ge	Ne	Rh	Sp	LB	Ge	Ne	Rh	Sp	LB	Ge	Ne	Rh	Sp	LB	Ge	Ne	Rh	Sp	LB	Ge	Ne	Rh
33	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
35	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
37	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
38	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
39	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
40	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
41	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0
42	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0
43	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0
44	0	0	0	6	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0
45	1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	3	0	0
46	0	0	1	4	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
47	0	0	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0
48	1	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0
49	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
50	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
51	0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
52	0	0	2	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
3	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
4	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
5	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
7	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
SMW	: Sta	ında	rd N	1ete	orol	ogi	cal V	Weel	k, S	p: Sj	pide	er, L	B: L	ady	Bir	d B	eetle	e, G	e: G	leoc	oris	och	rope	eteri	us, N	le: l	Vesi	dioc	oris	ten	uis,	Rh:	Rhy	noc	oris

sp.

**Table 2:** Studies on insect pests of tobacco, its natural enemies near & away from entomophage park 2018-19

			Tobacco	/M <sup>2</sup> (Nea	ar)		Tobacco /M <sup>2</sup> (Away)								
	Na	atural enemie	s		Insect pests		Na	tural enemies	5	Insect pests					
SMW	Spider	Predatory bug	Total	White fly	Leaf eating caterpillar	Capsule borer	Spider	Predatory bug	Total	White fly	Leaf eating caterpillar	Capsule borer			
33	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0			
34	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0			
35	1	1	2	2	1	0	1	1	2	2	1	0			
36	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	0			
37	1	3	4	2	1	0	1	3	4	2	1	0			
38	0	2	2	2	1	0	0	2	2	2	1	0			
39	1	2	3	2	1	0	0	1	1	2	1	0			
40	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	3	2	0	0			
41	1	2	3	2	0	0	1	2	3	1	0	0			
42	1	6	7	2	0	0	1	5	6	2	0	0			
43	0	5	5	3	0	0	0	6	6	3	0	0			
44	0	5	5	3	0	0	0	5	5	3	0	0			
45	0	3	3	3	0	1	0	3	3	3	0	1			
46	1	3	4	2	0	2	1	3	4	3	0	1			
47	0	4	4	3	0	1	0	4	4	2	0	2			
48	0	3	3	3	0	2	0	3	3	3	0	2			
49	0	3	3	4	0	1	0	3	3	3	0	2			
50	0	2	2	3	0	2	0	3	3	4	0	1			
51	0	4	4	4	0	3	0	5	5	4	0	3			
52	0	4	4	3	0	3	0	4	4	3	0	4			
1	0	5	5	4	0	4	0	4	4	5	0	3			
2	0	6	6	4	0	4	0	6	6	3	0	4			
3	1	5	6	4	0	3	0	7	7	4	0	3			
4	0	7	7	3	0	2	0	7	7	3	0	3			
5	0	4	4	3	0	2	0	5	5	2	0	4			
6	0	5	5	4	0	1	0	4	4	4	0	2			
7	0	6	6	3	0	1	0	7	7	3	0	1			
Total	7	95	102	70	6	32	6	98	104	69	6	36			

Table 3: Summary on population of natural enemies and insect pest appear near and away from entomophage biodiversity park

Entomonhogo Biodiversity pork	Population	of natural enemies/m <sup>2</sup>	Population of insect pest/m <sup>2</sup>						
Entomophage Biodiversity park	Spider	Predatory bug	Whitefly	Leaf eating caterpillar	Capsule borer				
Near	0.222	3.519	2.593	0.222	1.185				
Away	0.259	3.407	2.556	0.222	1.333				
't' value	-0.313	0.222	0.116	0.000	-0.389				

Table 't' value @ 0.05% = 2.007, Table 't' value @ 0.01% = 2.674

Table 4: Correlation of abiotic	factors with natural enemies	s in entomophage biodiversity park

Weather parameter	Spider	Coccinellids	Geocoris chloropterus	Nesidiocoris tenuis	Rhynocoris sp.
EP	-0.051	-0.294	0.275	-0.049	-0.167
BSS	0.164	$0.608^{**}$	$0.452^{*}$	$0.664^{**}$	0.311
WS	-0.332	-0.545**	-0.538**	-0.416*	-0.437*
Max T	0.358	-0.259	0.339	-0.429*	0.239
Min T	0.087	-0.773**	-0.179	-0.835**	-0.162
Mean T	0.216	-0.623**	0.033	-0.739**	-0.001
$\mathbf{R}\mathbf{H}_1$	0.053	-0.550**	$-0.410^{*}$	-0.605**	-0.077
RH <sub>2</sub>	-0.102	-0.713**	-0.564**	-0.753**	-0.288
Mean RH	-0.065	-0.717**	-0.559**	-0.764**	-0.249
$VP_1$	0.052	-0.758**	-0.215	-0.838**	-0.216
VP <sub>2</sub>	0.038	-0.771**	-0.343	-0.875**	-0.181
Mean VP	0.046	-0.772**	-0.280	-0.865**	-0.201

\*Significant \*\* highly significant,

EP = Evaporation, BSS = Bright Sunshine hours, WS = Wind speed, Max T=Maximum temperature, Min T=Minimum temperature, Mean T=Mean temperature, RH 1 & RH<sub>2</sub>= Relative humidity (morning and afternoon), Mean RH= Mean relative humidity,  $VP_1\& VP_2=$  Vapor pressure (morning and afternoon), Mean VP= Mean vapor pressure

#### References

- 1. Patel HR, Jaffar Basha, Kumaresan M, Matiwade PS. Management interventions for enhancing productivity and quality in non FCV tobacco. National Symposium on Approaches and strategies for augmenting tobacco farmer's income, 19-20, Rajahmundry, 2019, 58-68.
- Sitaramaiah S, Rao SG, Ramaprasad G, Sreedhar U. Role of Biological Control Agents in Tobacco Insect Pest Management. In: Upadhyay R.K., Mukerji K.G., Chamola B.P. (eds) Biocontrol Potential and its Exploitation in Sustainable Agriculture. Springer, Boston, MA. 2001; 2:215-234.
- 3. Sreedhar U. Biotic stress management in tobacco: Challenges and Paradigms. National Symposium on Approaches and stretegies for augmenting tobacco farmer's income, Rajahmundry, 2019, 68-80.
- 4. Morgana Maria Fonsecaa, Eraldo Limaa, Felipe Lemosa, Madelaine Venzonb, Arne Janssen. Non-crop plant to attract and conserve an aphid predator (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae) in tomato. Biological Control. 2017; 115:129-134.
- Rao RSN, Chari MS, Gunneswara Rao. Further record of natural enemies on the insect pests of tobacco in Andhra Pradesh. Journal of Biological Control. 1990; 4:65-66.
- 6. Gupta RK, Kuldeep Srivastava, Kamlesh Bali. An entomophage park to promote natural enemy diversity, Biocontrol Science and Technology. 2012; 22(12):1442-1464.
- Steel RGD, Torrie JH. Principles and Procedures of Statistics. McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1960.
- Armin Bischoffa, Anna Polliera, Elie Lamarrea, Orlane Salvadoria, Anne-Marie Corteseroc, *et al.* Effects of spontaneous field margin vegetation and surrounding landscape on *Brassica oleracea* crop herbivory. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment. 2016; 223:135-143.
- 9. Berndt LA, Wratten SD. Effects of alyssum flowers on

the longevity, fecundity, and sex ratio of the leafroller parasitoid *Dolichogenidea tasmanica* Biological Control. 2005; 32:65-69.

- Shivanna BK, Kare Gowda C, Basvaraja M. Population dynamics of natural enemies in entomophage park. Tobacco Research. 2016; 42 (1):6-10.
- 11. Yousuf M, Mohsin I, Faisal Mohd. Current status of Indian *Trichogrmma* spp. along with their distribution record and host range Indian Forester. 2015; 141(7):806-812.