

E-ISSN: 2320-7078 P-ISSN: 2349-6800 JEZS 2019; 7(2): 374-378 © 2019 JEZS Received: 01-01-2019 Accepted: 04-02-2019

#### SP Trivedi

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India

#### SS Patil

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry Sardar Krushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Dantiwada (Banaskantha), Gujarat, India

#### DD Garg

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India

#### HH Savsani

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India

#### **MM** Pawar

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry Sardar Krushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Dantiwada (Banaskantha), Gujarat, India

#### **BC Gohel**

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India

#### Correspondence SS Patil

Department of Animal Nutrition, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry Sardar Krushinagar Dantiwada Agricultural University, Dantiwada (Banaskantha), Gujarat, India

# Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies

Available online at www.entomoljournal.com



# Effect of dietary supplementation of organic chromium on feed intake, growth performance and economics in commercial broiler chickens

# SP Trivedi, SS Patil, DD Garg, HH Savsani, MM Pawar and BC Gohel

#### Abstract

The present study was carried out to evaluate the effect of supplementation of organic chromium (CrPro) in the diet of broiler chickens. A total of two hundred, day old broiler chicks of Cobb-400 strain were divided into four treatment groups with 5 replicates of 10 chicks in each and were assigned to four isonutritive diets, viz.,  $T_1$ : Control (Feed without CrPro supplementation),  $T_2$ : CrPro supplementation in feed @ 400 µg/kg,  $T_3$ : CrPro supplementation in feed @ 800 µg/kg and  $T_4$ : CrPro supplementation in feed @ 1200 µg/kg. The feeding experiment was carried out for 42 days and feed intake, weight gain, feed conversion ratio and economics of feeding were assessed. Supplementation of CrPro at different levels did not have any significant effect on total feed intake and final body weights of CrPro supplemented groups as compared to the control. The total body weight gain (g/bird) in  $T_4$  group was significantly (P<0.05) higher than the control group ( $T_1$ ). Feed conversion ratio of birds in  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  groups found numerically improved as compared to the control group ( $T_1$ ). When profit over control in terms of rupees per bird was calculated, it were found to be 5.20, 4.14 and 2.65 more profit in  $T_4$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_2$ , respectively. It is concluded that supplementation of organic chromium improves the performance of broilers when added at the rate of 1200 µg/kg broilers' ration.

Keywords: Broiler chickens, body weight, feed intake, organic chromium

#### 1. Introduction

Poultry production sector in developing countries are facing some problems, ensuring feed availability at affordable price remains the key concern for the poultry industry with more than 70 percent of production cost being in the form of feed. Nevertheless, the quality of the feed also plays a major role in poultry production <sup>[1]</sup>. Micronutrients being vital components, unless the poultry diet is well formulated and balanced, it is likely that deficiencies will occur. The functions performed by minerals can only be fulfilled if sufficient amounts of the ingested minerals are absorbed and retained to keep pace with growth, development and reproduction and to replace minerals that are lost as products, such as meat or eggs. Natural feedstuffs such as corn, wheat, soybean meal, rice bran, etc. contain essential minerals. However, trace elements are often in a form which renders them unavailable to the bird or may not be in adequate concentrations. Hence, most of the trace minerals must be added to the diet for optimal growth and production. Twenty two mineral elements are believed to be essential for animal life, out of which, chromium (Cr) is also considered as a trace mineral <sup>[2]</sup>. Following the ban on the use of antibiotics as growth promoters in animal nutrition by the European Union (EU) in 2006, the nutritionists and researchers attempted other alternatives to enhance the performance of broiler chicken. One such alternative is the use of Cr as a feed additive in the broiler production.

Chromium, which exists in nature mostly is in the trivalent form  $(Cr^{+3})$ , thought to be essential for activating certain enzymes and for stabilizing proteins and nucleic acids. Its primary role in metabolism of carbohydrate, lipid, protein and nucleic acid <sup>[3]</sup>, to potentiate the action of insulin <sup>[4]</sup>, one of the most important anabolic hormones, through its presence in an organometallic molecule called glucose tolerance factor <sup>[5]</sup>. Dietary chromium supplementation has been shown to positively affect the growth rate, feed efficiency and carcass characteristics in broilers <sup>[6, 7, 8, 9]</sup>. Bioavailability of organic source of chromium is ten times higher than inorganic sources. Therefore, present study was planned to evaluate the beneficial effect of organic chromium supplementation at a different level on performance of broiler chickens.

#### 2. Materials and Methods

The present experiment was carried out at Poultry unit of Instructional Livestock Farm Complex, College of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh. Total 200 day-old broiler chicks of cobb-400 strain with average body weight of 45 g were wing banded and distributed randomly into four groups having five replicates of 10 birds in each by completely randomized design and were assigned to four iso-nutritive diets, viz., T<sub>1</sub>: Control (Feed without CrPro supplementation), T<sub>2</sub>: CrPro supplementation in feed @ 400 µg/kg, T<sub>3</sub>: CrPro supplementation in feed @ 800 µg/kg and T4: CrPro supplementation in feed @ 1200 µg/kg. Organic chromium (KEMTRACE Chromium dry powder) was procured from Kemin Industries South Asia Pvt. Ltd., Chennai, India. Ingredients composition of these starter and finisher rations are presented in Table 1.

All the experimental birds were reared in well ventilated shed in deep litter pens and reared under uniform management conditions. They fed with organic chromium powder supplementation as per treatments and clean drinking water was supplied to the birds ad-libitum throughout the study period to meet the nutrient as per BIS [10]. All the birds were weighed weekly in the morning, before feeding and watering. Feed intake was calculated by measuring the amount of feed offered and residue left after 24 hours. Feed conversion ratio was calculated by dividing the feed intake with weight gain. Vaccination and other routine poultry management practices were carried out neatly. While calculating the economics, the cost of chicks, brooding, labour, etc. were identical for all the groups hence ignored. Total feed cost was calculated by considering feed cost as variable and comparative economics was calculated for all the experimental groups. Average final body weights of the birds were recorded at the end of the experiment. Selling price per kg live weight was 66 rupees. Return over feed cost for the particular group was calculated by the income from the sale of birds subtracted by the cost incurred on total feed consumed by the group. From each experimental diet, representative samples of feeds were analyzed for proximate composition using standard methods of AOAC<sup>[11]</sup>. The data generated during this experiment were subjected to statistical analysis using one way ANOVA as described by Snedecor and Cochran<sup>[12]</sup>. The significance of the mean difference was tested by Tukey<sup>[13]</sup> post hoc test.

## 3. Results and Discussion

## 3.1 Nutrient composition of experimental rations:

Nutrient composition of starter and finisher feed is given in Table 2. All the feeds were found to be iso-nitrogenous and comparable with respect to their proximate composition.

# 3.2 Feed intake (g/bird/week)

Statistical analysis revealed non-significant effect of organic chromium supplementation on total feed intake (Table 3). However, numerically highest total feed intake was found in T<sub>1</sub> group followed by T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> groups. It has shown that there is no effect of smell and/or taste of organic chromium powder on the palatability of feed in the diets of broilers. In agreement with the present findings many workers <sup>[8, 9, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18]</sup> reported non-significant (*P*>0.05) effect of chromium supplementation on feed intake in broilers. While, some researchers <sup>[19, 20, 21]</sup> reported significantly (*P*<0.05) higher feed intake of chromium supplemented groups as compared to control.

# 3.3 Body weight

Results revealed that birds of all organic chromium supplemented groups attained numerically but non-significant (P>0.05) higher body weight as compared to control (Table 3). The highest total body weight was observed in T<sub>4</sub> group supplemented with 1200 µg organic chromium/kg diet. The body weight (g/bird) of experimental birds during different weeks showed non-significant difference among different treatment groups up to the entire experimental period. These findings are in accordance with the workers <sup>[8, 16, 22, 23]</sup> who reported non-significant (P>0.05) effect of chromium supplementation on body weight in broilers. On the contrary, other workers <sup>[14, 20, 21, 24]</sup> reported significant (P<0.05) effect of chromium supplementation on body weight in broilers.

## 3.4 Average weekly body weight gain

The total body weight gain (g/bird) in T<sub>4</sub> group was significantly (P<0.05) higher as compared to control (T<sub>1</sub>) and T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> groups are non-significantly different from each other (Table 3). It indicates the beneficial effect of organic chromium supplementation, chromium supplementation improves the protein retention, uptake of amino acids by tissues and muscle cells and also enhance the body weight <sup>[25]</sup>. The results are corroborated with the findings of <sup>[14, 20, 21, 26]</sup> who reported significant (P<0.05) effect of chromium supplementation on body weight gain in broilers. However, some researchers <sup>[15, 22, 27]</sup> reported non-significant (P>0.05) effect of chromium supplementation on body weight gain in broilers.

# **3.5 Feed conversion ratio (FCR)**

Result revealed that birds of  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  groups showed numerically improved FCR as compared to control group ( $T_1$ ). Improved FCR in  $T_4$  might be due to the improved metabolic rate in birds supplemented with chromium as it is an essential nutrient that increases glucose transport into cells by promoting insulin activity. So, the birds fed chromium were able to more effectively metabolize energy reflected in better FCR <sup>[28]</sup>. In support of present findings the nonsignificant (P>0.05) effect of chromium supplementation on feed conversion ratio in broiler chickens is previously reported by several authors <sup>[8, 9, 15, 16]</sup>. On the contrary, <sup>[14, 21, 29]</sup> reported significant (P<0.05) effect of chromium supplementation on feed conversion ratio in broilers.

# 3.6 Return over feed cost

Average in terms of (Rs./bird), income from selling of birds and feed cost of broilers under different treatment groups has been shown in Table 4 and 5. The total income through the sale of chicken was (Rs) 7128, 7161, 7260 and 7359 in T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> groups, respectively. The total return over feed cost was (Rs) 1997.44, 2129.88, 2204.64 and 2257.48 in T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> groups, respectively. When profit over control in terms of rupees per bird was calculated, it was found to be 5.20, 4.14 and 2.65 more profit in T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>2</sub>, respectively. By observing the data of profit/bird over control, it is clear that maximum benefit was observed in T<sub>4</sub> group supplemented with 1200 µg organic chromium/kg diet supplemented group. Similarly, Kulkarni <sup>[8]</sup> reported that the cost/kg live weight was reduced significantly (*P*<0.05) due to supplementation of chromium.

Ingredients	Broiler Starter (Kg)	Broiler Finisher (Kg)		
Maize	61.00	64.00		
Soya bean DOC	33.27	28.40		
Calcite powder	1.25	1.25		
DCP	1.00	1.00		
Vitamins	0.05	0.05		
Vitamin B <sub>12</sub>	0.01	0.01		
Trace minerals	0.10	0.10		
Choline Chloride 60%	0.10	0.10		
Lysine	0.25	0.16		
Methionine	0.18	0.15		
Phytase	0.01	0.01		
Enzyme	0.05	0.05		
Salt	0.10	0.10		
Sodium Bicarbonate	0.36	0.35		
Liver Tonic	0.10	0.10		
Immuno-modulator	0.05	0.05		
Toxin Binder	0.10	0.10		
Growth promoter	0.02	0.02		
Anti-coccidial	0.05	0.05		
Emulsifier	0.05	0.05		
Oil	1.90	3.90		
Total (Kg)	100.00	100.00		
Cal. ME (Kcal/Kg)	2936	3083		

**Table 1:** Ingredients composition of feed

Table 2: Proximate composition of experimental feeds (on %DM Basis)

Nutrient	Treatments							
Nutrient	Starter Feed			Finisher Feed				
	<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	$T_2$	<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>	<b>T</b> <sub>1</sub>	$T_2$	<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	T <sub>4</sub>
DM	89.90	90.26	89.46	90.00	90.86	89.73	89.93	90.03
OM	93.00	92.60	92.60	92.50	92.80	93.10	92.70	92.40
СР	22.44	22.31	22.44	22.30	20.21	20.42	20.21	20.47
EE	4.33	5.33	4.49	4.49	4.50	4.99	4.16	4.99
CF	4.25	3.75	3.75	4.25	3.00	3.00	3.25	2.75
NFE	61.98	61.21	61.92	61.46	65.09	64.69	65.08	64.19
TA	7.00	7.40	7.40	7.50	7.20	6.90	7.30	7.60
Ca	0.92	0.95	0.94	0.93	0.98	0.93	0.97	0.95
Р	0.46	0.51	0.53	0.52	0.53	0.51	0.52	0.54

EE=Ether extract, DM=Dry matter, OM=Organic matter, CP=Crude protein, CF=Crude fiber, NFE=Nitrogen free extract, TA= Total ash, Ca= Calcium, P=Phosphorus

Table 3: Performance of experimental birds

Particulars		p value			
	<b>T</b> 1	<b>T</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>T</b> 3	T4	p value
Initial body weight (g)	45.00	44.98	44.60	44.40	NS
Av. total feed intake (g)	4055.62	3972.94	3989.28	4022.60	NS
Final body weight (g)	2160.90	2167.84	2199.30	2235.68	NS
Total weight body gain (g)	2115.70 <sup>b</sup>	2122.86 <sup>ab</sup>	2154.70 <sup>ab</sup>	2191.28 <sup>a</sup>	*
Feed conversion ratio	1.92	1.87	1.85	1.84	NS

Means with different superscripts within the treatment groups differs significantly (\*P<0.05, NS: Not significant)

Table 4: Cost of feeds under different feed supplement groups

Particulars	$T_1$	$T_2$	<b>T</b> 3	<b>T</b> 4
Type of feed				
Broiler starter (Rs./kg)	24.79	24.81	24.83	24.85
Broiler finisher (Rs./kg)	25.53	25.55	25.57	25.59

Table 5: Return over feed cost realized under different feed supplement groups

Particulars	<b>T</b> 1	T2	<b>T</b> 3	<b>T</b> 4
Total income through sale of birds (Rs.)	7128	7161	7260	7359
Total return over feed cost (Rs.)	1997.4	2129.9	2204.6	2257.5
Profit/ chick (Rs.)	39.94	42.60	44.09	45.15
Profit over control/chick (Rs.)	-	2.65	4.14	5.20

#### 4. Conclusion

It may be concluded that dietary supplementation of organic chromium @ 1200  $\mu$ g/kg diet significantly increased body weight gain. It also numerically improved feed intake, feed conversion ratio and growth performance. It can be inferred that use of organic chromium @ 1200  $\mu$ g/kg supplementation improves overall performance, return over feed cost and profit per bird in commercial broiler chickens without any adverse effect.

# 5. Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the facilities and financial support provided for the present study from Director of Research, Junagadh Agricultural University, Junagadh, Gujarat, India.

#### 6. References

- 1. Asghar A, Farooq M, Mian MA, Khurshid A. Economic of Broiler production of Mardan Division. Journal of Rural Development. 2000; 32(3):56-65.
- Underwood EJ. The Mineral Nutrition of Livestock. 2<sup>nd</sup> edn. Commonwealth Agricultural Bureaux, Farnham Royal, UK, 1981, 1.
- Anderson RA. Stress effects on chromium nutrition of humans and farm animals. In: Lyons, TP and Jacques, KA (eds.): Biotechnology in Feed Industry. Univ. Press. Nothingam, England, 1994, 267-274.
- 4. McCarty DG, Sartin JL, Kemppainen RJ, Willams JC. Insulin and growth hormone responses to glucose infusion in mature and first lactation dairy cows. Animal Journal of Veterinary Research. 1988; 49(6):1702-1704.
- 5. Walker M. Chromium: The essential mineral. Health. Foods Bus. 1993; 5(3):51-52.
- 6. Jackson AR, Powell S, Johnston S, Shelton JL, Bidner TD, Valdez FR *et al.* The effect of chromium propionate on growth performance and carcass traits in broilers. Journal of Applied Poultry Research. 2008; 17:476-481.
- Samanta S, Haldar S, Bahadur V, Ghosh TK. Chromium picolinate can ameliorate the negative effects of heat stress and enhance performance, carcass and meat traits in broiler chickens by reducing the circulatory cortisol level. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture. 2008; 88:787-796.
- Kulkarni R, Mandal A, Bhanja S, Goel A, Mehara M. Dietary supplementation of chromium picolinate on productive performance and cost economics of coloured broiler chicken during hot-humid summer. International Journal of Livestock Research. 2018; 8(10):132-139.
- 9. Kashyap S, Shukla P, Bhattacharyya A, Sirohi R. Effect of dietary supplementation of graded levels of chromium picolinate on the production performance, immune competence traits, development of digestive organs and carcass quality traits of coloured chicken. International Journal of Livestock Research. 2018; 8(4):65-70.
- BIS. Nutrient requirements for poultry. In: 9863-1992 (Reaffirmed 2002), Bureau of Indian Standards, First revision. Manak Bhawan, 9, Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi, India, 2002.
- AOAC. Official methods of analysis. 5<sup>th</sup> edition. Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC), Washington D. C., USA, 1999.
- Snedecor GW, Cochran WG. In: Statistical methods. 8<sup>th</sup> edn. Oxford and IBH Publishing Co. New Delhi India, 1994, 312-317.

- Tukey J. Comparing Individual Means in the Analysis of Variance. Biometrics. 1949; 5(2):99-114.
- 14. Essa HA, Dhia KI, Luma KA. Effect of supplementing different levels of chromium yeast to diet on broiler chickens performance. International Journal of Poultry Science. 2010; 9(4):376-381.
- 15. Moeini MM, Bahrami A, Ghazi S, Targhibi MR. The effect of different levels of organic and inorganic Chromium supplementation on production performance, carcass traits and some blood parameters of broiler chicken under heat stress condition. Biological Trace Element Research. 2011; 144(1-3):715-724.
- 16. Ghazi SH, Habibian M, Moeini MM, Abdolmohammadi AR. Effects of different levels of organic and inorganic chromium on growth performance and immunocompetence of broilers under heat stress. Biological Trace Element Research. 2012; 146(3):309-317.
- Mohammed HH, Badawi, El-Sayed M, Abd El-Razik WM, Ali MA, Abd El-Aziz RM. The influence of chromium sources on growth performance, economic efficiency, some maintenance behaviour, blood metabolites and carcass traits in broiler chickens. Global Veterinaria. 2014; 12(5):599- 605.
- Huang Y, Yang J, Xiao F, Lloyd K, Lin Xi. Effects of supplemental chromium source and concentration on growth performance, carcass traits and meat quality of broilers under heat stress conditions. Biological Trace Element Research. 2016; 170(1):216-223.
- Kaoud HA. Functional-food supplementation and health of broilers. Journal of Natural Sciences. 2010; 8(5):181-189.
- 20. Ebrahimzadeh S, Farhoomand P, Noori K. Effects of chromium methionine supplementation on performance, carcass traits, and the Ca and P metabolism of broiler chickens under heat-stress conditions. The Journal of Applied Poultry Research. 2013; 22(4):382-387.
- Abd El-Mageed MA, Khalifa MA, Abd El-Azeem AM, Bahnas MS. Effect of dietary organic chromium supplementation on growth performance of broiler during summer season in Egypt. Egyptian Poultry Science Journal. 2016; 36(4):1075-1085.
- 22. Chaudhri PP, Ranade AS, Patil MB, Desai DN, Avari PE, Deshmukh AD *et al.* Effect of chromium picolinate on performance of broilers. Journal of Bombay Veterinary College. 2006; 14(1-2):38-40.
- Rao SV, Raju MV, Panda AK, Poonam NS, Murthy OK, Sunder GS. Effect of dietary supplementation of organic Chromium on performance, carcass traits, oxidative parameters, and immune responses in commercial broiler chickens. Biological Trace Element Research. 2012; 147(1-3):135-141.
- Xiao F, Degulina A, Zhou B, Spears JW, Lin X, Huang Y. Effects of supplemental chromium propionate on serum lipids, carcass traits, and meat quality of heatstressed broilers. Biological Trace Element Research. 2017; 176(2):401-406.
- 25. Mertz W. Chromium: history and nutritional importance. Biological Trace Element Research. 1992; 32:3-8.
- 26. Haldar S, Ghosh TK. Chromium as a tool against heat stress. Asian Poultry Magazine. 2008; 3:2-5.
- 27. Anandhi M, Mathivanan R, Viswanathan K, Mohan B. Dietary inclusion of organic chromium on production and carcass characteristics of broilers. International Journal of Poultry Science. 2006; 5(9):880-884.

Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies

- 28. Hossain SM, Barreto SL, Silva CG. Growth performance and carcass composition of broilers fed supplemental chromium from chromium yeast. Animal Feed Science and Technology. 1998; 71(3-4):217-228.
- 29. Sathyabama T, Nanjappan K, Ravi R, Jagadeeswaran A, Visha P. Effect of various forms of chromium supplementation on growth performance, bloodbiochemical constituents of broiler chickens. Indian Veterinary Journal. 2017; 94(3):22-24.